

Clark County Library District, Las Vegas
Nevada State Library, Carson City
University of Nevada, Reno Library,
Reno
University of Nevada at Las Vegas,
James Dickinson Library, Las Vegas

New Mexico

Albuquerque Public Library,
Albuquerque
New Mexico State Library, Santa Fe
New Mexico State University, Las
Cruces
University of New Mexico, Albuquerque

Utah

Brigham Young University, Harold B.
Lee Library, Provo
Cedar City Public Library, Cedar City
Kanab City Library, Kanab
Moab Public Library, Moab
Salt Lake City Public Library, Salt Lake
City
Salt Lake County Library System, Salt
Lake City
Southern Utah State University Library,
Cedar City
University of Utah, Marriott Library,
Salt Lake City
Utah State University, Merrill Library,
Logan
Utah State Library, Salt Lake City
Washington County Library, St. George
Weber State University, Stewart Library,
Ogden

Wyoming

Laramie County Library System,
Cheyenne
Rock Springs Public Library, Rock
Springs
University of Wyoming, Coe Library,
Laramie
Wyoming State Library, Cheyenne

Washington, DC

District of Columbia Public Library
Library of Congress
Natural Resources Library, Department
of the Interior
Other States — any U.S. Government
Regional Depository Library

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr.
Gordon S. Lind, Colorado River Studies
Office, Bureau of Reclamation, 125
South State Street, Room 6107, Salt
Lake City UT 84138-1102; telephone:
(801) 524-5479.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The
Secretary of the Interior called for a
reevaluation of dam operations to
determine specific options that could be
implemented, consistent with law, to
minimize adverse impacts on the
downstream environmental and cultural
resources and Native American interests
in Glen and Grand Canyons.

In accordance with the National
Environmental Policy Act and the

Grand Canyon Protection Act of 1992,
Reclamation has prepared the FEIS in
cooperation with other Interior
agencies—Bureau of Indian Affairs, U.S.
Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS), and
National Park Service. Other
cooperating agencies are the Department
of Energy's Western Area Power
Administration, Arizona Game and Fish
Department, Hopi Tribe, Hualapai Tribe,
Navajo Nation, San Juan Southern
Paiute Tribe, Southern Paiute
Consortium, and Zuni Pueblo.

The FEIS presents analyses of the
impacts of nine alternatives for
operating Glen Canyon Dam. Three
alternatives would provide steady flows
and six, including no action, would
provide various levels of fluctuating
flows. Additional measures have been
combined with the alternative
operations, where appropriate, either to
mitigate adverse impacts of the
alternative or to enhance resources. The
preferred alternative is the Modified
Low Fluctuating Flow Alternative.

As the result of comments on both the
draft EIS (DEIS) and draft biological
opinion, discussions with FWS, and
with the broad support of the
cooperating agencies, the preferred
alternative described in the DEIS was
modified in the FEIS. This modification
includes increasing the maximum flow
from 20,000 to 25,000 cubic feet per
second (cfs) and increasing the up ramp
rate from 2,500 to 4,000 cfs per hour.
The endangered fish research flows
described in the DEIS were moved from
the preferred alternative to a
scientifically based position within the
Adaptive Management Program. This
modification was made because
Reclamation believes that the potential
effects of steady flows should be further
studied before implementation to
evaluate uncertainties concerning the
interactions between native and non-
native fish.

In addition, Reclamation will request,
through the Federal appropriations
process, accelerated implementation of
selective withdrawal at Glen Canyon
Dam since temperature modification has
been determined to be the most critical
factor affecting the recovery of
endangered fish in Glen and Grand
Canyons.

Dated: March 21, 1995.

Willie R. Taylor,

*Director, Office of Environmental Policy and
Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 95-7291 Filed 3-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-94-P

AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

Public Information Collection Requirements Submitted to OMB for Review

The U.S. Agency for International
Development (USAID) submitted the
following public information collection
requirements to OMB for review and
clearance under the Paperwork
Reduction Act of 1980, Public Law 96-
511. Comments regarding these
information collections should be
addressed to the OMB reviewer listed at
the end of the entry. Comments may
also be addressed to, and copies of the
submissions obtained from the Records
Management Officer, Mary Ann Ball,
(202) 736-4743, M/AS/ISS, Room 930B,
N.S., Washington, DC 20523.

Dated Submitted: March 8, 1995.

Submitting Agency: U.S. Agency for
International Development.

OMB Number: OMB 0412-0011.

Form Number: USAID 1010-2

Type of Submission: Reinstatement.

Title: Application for Assistance-
American Schools and Hospitals
Aboard.

Purpose: USAID finances grant
assistance to U.S. founders or
sponsors who apply for grant
assistance from ASHA on behalf of
their institutions overseas. ASHA is a
competitive grants program. The
office of ASHA is charged with
judging which applicants may be
eligible for consideration and receive
what amounts of funding for what
purposes. To aid in such
determination, the Office of ASHA
has established guidelines as the basis
for deciding upon the eligibility of the
applicants and the resolution on
animal grant awards. These guidelines
are published in the Federal Register,
Doc. 79-36221.

Annual Reporting Burden: Respondents:
85; average hrs per response: 12;
annual burden hours: 1,020

Reviewer: Jeffrey Hill (202) 395-7340,
Office of Management and Budget,
Room 3201, New Executive Office
Building, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: March 5, 1995.

Genease E. Pettigrew,

*Bureau of Management, Office of
Administrative Service, Information Support
Services Division.*

[FR Doc. 95-7279 Filed 3-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6116-01-M