

comments should refer to *United States v. California Almond Growers Exchange d/b/a Blue Diamond Growers*, D.J. Ref. 90-5-2-1-1679.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney, 650 Capital Mall, Sacramento, California; the Region IX office of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105, and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the proposed Consent Decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check in the amount of \$6.50 for a copy of the consent decree (25 cents per page reproduction costs) payable to "Consent Decree Library."

**Joel M. Gross,**

*Acting Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 95-7170 Filed 3-22-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

## National Institute of Corrections

### Request for Proposals

The National Institute of Corrections, U.S. Department of Justice, is seeking applications from organizations and individuals able to develop and distribute a quarterly newsletter on jail mental health services. A grant of up to \$20,000 will be awarded for a 12-month period beginning June 1, 1995.

Applications must be received by April 28, 1995. For more information and application procedures, contact Linda Wood, National Institute of Corrections, Jails Division, 1960 Industrial Circle, Suite A, Longmont CO 80501; 1-800-995-6429, ext. 134; or fax 1-303-682-0469.

**Morris L. Thigpen,**

*Director.*

[FR Doc. 95-7103 Filed 3-22-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-36-M

## NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

### Meeting of Humanities Panel

**AGENCY:** National Endowment for the Humanities.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92-463, as amended),

notice is hereby given that the following meeting of the Humanities Panel will be held at the Old Post Office, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20506.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David C. Fisher, Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Endowment for the Humanities, Washington, D.C. 20506; telephone (202) 660-8322. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter may be obtained by contacting the Endowment's TDD terminal on (202) 606-8282.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The proposed meeting is for the purpose of panel review, discussion, evaluation and recommendation on applications for financial assistance under the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965, as amended, including discussion of information given in confidence to the agency by the grant applicants. Because the proposed meeting will consider information that is likely to disclose: (1) Trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential; or (2) information of a personal nature the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, pursuant to authority granted me by the Chairman's Delegation of Authority to Close Advisory Committee meetings, dated July 19, 1993, I have determined that this meeting will be closed to the public pursuant to subsections (c) (4), and (6) of section 552b of Title 5, United States Code.

*Date:* April 20-21, 1995.

*Time:* 9:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

*Room:* 430.

*Program:* This meeting will review applications for Special Projects program for the March 1995 deadline, submitted to the Division of Public Programs, for projects beginning after July 1, 1995.

**David C. Fisher,**

*Advisory Committee Management Officer.*

[FR Doc. 95-7131 Filed 3-22-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7536-01-M

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Opportunity for Public Comment on the Fourth Biennial Revision of the U.S. Arctic Research Plan

In accordance with the Arctic Research and Policy Act of 1984, Pub. L. 98-373, the National Science Foundation announces an Opportunity for Public Comment on the Fourth Biennial Revision of the U.S. Arctic Research Plan.

**PURPOSE:** Section 109(a) of the Arctic Research and Policy Act requires a biennial revision of the U.S. Arctic Research Plan (due in July 1995). Section 109(a) of the Act further requires the Interagency Arctic Research Policy Committee to consult with a number of groups during development of the Plan. The Interagency Committee and its staff and working groups have prepared a draft revision to the Plan, which will be available for review in the following locations:

Arlington, Virginia: Office of Polar Programs, Room 755, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia;  
Anchorage, Alaska: The Alaska Resource Library, Federal Building, 222 W. 7th St., 1st Floor;  
Juneau, Alaska: The Alaska State Library, State Office Building, 333 Willoughby Avenue, Circulation Desk, 8th Floor;  
Fairbanks, Alaska: The Rasmuson Library, University of Alaska, Fairbanks, Reserve Desk.

Representatives of the groups named in section 109(a) of the Act (residents of the Arctic, the private sector and public interest groups) as well as members of the general public, are invited to obtain a copy of the draft revision for review. The biennial revision to the Arctic Research Plan is organized to address research needs in the following area:

1. Arctic Oceans and Marginal Seas;
2. Atmosphere and Climate;
3. Land and Offshore Resources;
4. Land-Atmosphere Interactions;
5. Engineering and Technology;
6. Social Science; and
7. Health.

Coordinated interagency efforts and supporting programs are also discussed. These include research in the Arctic ocean, cultural and natural characteristics of the Bering region, environmental monitoring, arctic contamination studies, data and information, logistics, and international activities.

**PUBLIC PARTICIPATION:** Comments from representatives of groups named in the Arctic Research and Policy Act are encouraged. Written comments should be submitted to the address below by April 25, 1995.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you would like to review a copy of the draft biennial revision, but are unable to visit one of the above locations, please write to the following address: Arctic Research Staff, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22230, or call (703) 306-1031, or FAX to (703) 306-0139. Information will be available after March 24, 1995.

**COSTS:** None.

**Charles E. Myers,**

*Head, Arctic Staff, National Science Foundation.*

[FR Doc. 95-7096 Filed 3-22-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-410]

### Niagara Mohawk Power Corp.; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment To Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-69 issued to Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (the licensee) for operation of the Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, located in Oswego County, New York.

The proposed amendment would revise the Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit 2, Technical Specifications (TSs). Specifically, TS 4.6.1.2. a would be modified to allow the second Primary Containment Integrated Leakage Rate Test (Type A) to be performed at the fifth refueling outage (RF-05) or 72 months after the first Type A test instead of the fourth refueling outage (RF-04) as currently scheduled.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. The operation of Nine Mile Point Unit 2, in accordance with the proposed amendment, will not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

The proposed change for performance of the second Type A test until RF-05 does not increase the probability of a previously analyzed accident occurring. Primary containment leakage is not the precursor to any analyzed event. Type A testing is done to confirm the ability of the primary containment to limit leakage consistent with the safety analysis assumptions. Therefore, a change in the test interval will not result in an increase in the probability of an accident previously analyzed. This has also been confirmed by the risk assessment described above [in amendment proposal].

Extension of the second Type A test will not affect the containment's ability to maintain leakage below that assumed in the safety analysis. The previous Type A test was completed successfully, and there have been no plant modifications (other than those that required Type B or C testing) since the last test which could directly affect the test results. Type B and C testing of individual penetrations has been satisfactory and will continue to be performed in accordance with the Technical Specifications. There have been no pressure or temperature excursions in the containment which could have adversely affected containment integrity. Hence, the ability of the containment to maintain leakage within the Type A test limits will be maintained. This testing provides assurance that the consequences of radioactive leakage area within 10CFR100 and GDC-19 limits. Therefore, the proposed change will not involve a significant increase in the consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

2. The operation of Nine Mile Point Unit 2, in accordance with the proposed amendment, will not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

The proposed change for performance of the second Type A test until RF-05 will not affect the test methodology or acceptance criteria nor does it alter the physical containment structure or boundary in any way. There will be no addition or removal of plant hardware. No new plant operating modes are being introduced. The primary containment will continue to perform its accident mitigation function of minimizing leakage of radioactivity to the secondary containment. Results of the previous Type A tests are well below allowable limits, and there have been no plant modifications since the last test nor are any planned that could directly impact the previous Type A test results. The primary containment performs a mitigation function and is not an initiator of any analyzed event. A risk assessment was performed which indicates that deferral of the Type A ILRT will not result in any new accident scenarios.

Therefore, the proposed change will not create the possibility of a new or different accident from any previously evaluated.

3. The operation of Nine Mile Point Unit 2, in accordance with the proposed amendment, will not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

Safety margins are established through the Nine Mile Point Unit 2 safety analyses as reflected in the Technical Specification Limiting Conditions for Operation.

Containment leak rates assumed in the safety analyses are not increased by the proposed change to the surveillance interval. The acceptance criteria which must be met to verify that leak rates remain within assumed values will also not be changed.

Although the interval between the first and second Type A tests is 72 months, no plant modifications have been made nor are planned which would invalidate past leak test results which confirm acceptable containment integrity. Furthermore, Type B and C testing of individual penetrations has been satisfactory and will continue to be performed in accordance with the Technical Specifications to assure that containment integrity is maintained.

Therefore, the proposed change will not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Rules Review and Directives Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and should cite the publication date and page number of this **Federal Register** notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D22, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville,