

Co.—Abandonment—Goshen, 360 I.C.C. 91 (1979). To address whether this condition adequately protects affected employees, a petition for partial revocation under 49 U.S.C. 10505(d) must be filed.

Provided no formal expression of intent to file an offer of financial assistance (OFA) has been received, this exemption will be effective on April 21, 1995, unless stayed pending reconsideration. Petitions to stay that do not involve environmental issues,¹ formal expressions of intent to file an OFA under 49 CFR 1152.27(c)(2),² and trail use/rail banking requests under 49 CFR 1152.29³ must be filed by April 3, 1995. Petitions to reopen or requests for public use conditions under 49 CFR 1152.28 must be filed by April 11, 1995, with: Office of the Secretary, Case Control Branch, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, DC 20423.

A copy of any pleading filed with the Commission should be sent to applicant's representative: Sarah J. Whitley, 3800 Continental Plaza, 777 Main St., Fort Worth, TX 76102-5384.

If the notice of exemption contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*.

BN has filed an environmental report which addresses the abandonment's effects, if any, on the environmental and historic resources. The Section of Environmental Analysis (SEA) will issue an environmental assessment (EA) by March 27, 1995. Interested persons may obtain a copy of the EA by writing to SEA (Room 3219, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, DC 20423) or by calling Elaine Kaiser, Chief of SEA, at (202) 927-6248. Comments on environmental and historic preservation matters must be filed within 15 days after the EA is available to the public.

Environmental, historic preservation, public use, or trail use/rail banking conditions will be imposed, where appropriate, in a subsequent decision.

Decided: March 16, 1995.

¹ A stay will be issued routinely by the Commission in those proceedings where an informed decision on environmental issues (whether raised by a party or by the Commission's Section of Environmental Analysis in its independent investigation) cannot be made prior to the effective date of the notice of exemption. See *Exemption of Out-of-Service Rail Lines*, 5 I.C.C.2d 377 (1989). Any entity seeking a stay on environmental concerns is encouraged to file its request as soon as possible in order to permit this Commission to review and act on the request before the effective date of this exemption.

² See *Exempt. of Rail Abandonment—Offers of Finan. Assist.*, 4 I.C.C.2d 164 (1987).

³ The Commission will accept a late-filed trail use request as long as it retains jurisdiction to do so.

By the Commission, David M. Konschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings.

Vernon A. Williams,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-7054 Filed 3-21-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-P

[Finance Docket No. 32433]

Chicago & North Western Railway Co.—Construction Exemption—Douglas County, Wisconsin

The Chicago & North Western Railway Co. (CNW) has petitioned the Interstate Commerce Commission (Commission) for authority to construct and operate a 2,900-foot rail line extension which would provide CNW with access to the Midwest Energy Resources Company coal dock facility in Superior, Wisconsin. The Commission's Section of Environmental Analysis (SEA) has prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) for this project. Based on the information provided and the environmental analysis conducted to date, this EA concludes that this proposal should not significantly affect the quality of the human environment if the recommended mitigation measures set forth in the EA are implemented. Accordingly, SEA preliminarily recommends that the Commission impose on any decision approving the proposed construction and operation conditions requiring CNW to implement the mitigation contained in the EA.

The EA will be served on all parties of record as well as all appropriate Federal, state and local officials and will be made available to the public upon request. SEA will consider all comments received in response to the EA in making final environmental recommendations to the Commission. The Commission will then consider SEA's final recommendations and the environmental record in making its final decision in this proceeding.

Comments (an original and 10 copies) and any questions regarding this Environmental Assessment should be filed with the Commission's Section of Environmental Analysis, Office of Economic and Environmental Analysis, Room 3219, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, DC 20423, to the attention of Dana White (202) 927-6214. Requests for copies of the EA should also be directed to Ms. White.

Date made available to the public: March 22, 1995.

Comment due date: April 21, 1995.

By the Commission, Elaine K. Kaiser, Chief, Section of Environmental Analysis,

Office of Economic and Environmental Analysis.

Vernon A. Williams,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-6898 Filed 3-21-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Immigration and Naturalization Service

[INS No. 1626ROD-94]

Record of Decision for the Program of Protecting the Southwest Border Through the Interdiction of Illegal Drugs With the Support of Joint Task Force Six

AGENCY: The Immigration and Naturalization Service, Department of Justice (lead); Joint Task Force Six, Department of Defense (cooperating); and Environmental Protection Agency (cooperating).

ACTION: Notice of availability of the record of decision.

SUMMARY: This Notice is to announce that the Record of Decision (ROD) for the continuation of the Joint Task Force Six (JTF-6) activities along the United States (U.S.)/Mexico border, jointly signed by JTF-6 and the Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS), is available.

The JTF-6 program involves providing operational, engineering, and general support to law enforcement agencies (LEAs) that have drug interdiction responsibilities within the southwestern border states. The JTF-6's primary area of concern is within a 50-mile-wide corridor along the U.S./Mexico border from Port Arthur, Texas, to San Diego, California.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the ROD are available upon written request to either of the following addresses:

1. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Fort Worth District, CESWF-PL-RE, P.O. Box 17300, 819 Taylor Street, Fort Worth, Texas 76102-0300.
2. Immigration and Naturalization Service, 425 I Street NW, Facilities Branch (Room 2003), Washington, DC 20536.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Statutory Authority

This Notice of Availability (NOA) is being issued in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), Public Law 91-190, and Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of NEPA, 40 CFR 1500-1508.

Background

JTF-6 was activated on November 13, 1989, at Fort Bliss, Texas, by the Secretary of Defense in accordance with the President's National Drug Control Strategy.

The mission of JTF-6 is to plan and coordinate military training along the U.S. Southwest Land Border in support of counter-drug activities by Federal, State, and Local LEAs, as requested through Operation Alliance and approved by the Secretary of Defense or a designated representative.

The INS is responsible for the prevention of smuggling and unlawful entry of aliens into the United States. This task of the Border Patrol often results in the interdiction of drugs between the U.S. land Ports-of-Entry. The INS Border Patrol has been the primary beneficiary of most JTF-6 engineering actions to date, which have included reconnaissance operations, and fence and road construction. For this reason, the INS elected to act as lead agency for the preparation of a Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS). The PEIS analyzed cumulative environmental impacts of previous actions performed by JTF-6, and generically examined the impacts of future individual actions, which may be developed within the reasonably foreseeable future, based on experience with similar past actions. The PEIS also described the different types of actions performed by JTF-6. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and JTF-6 elected to act as cooperating agencies.

A Notice of Intent (NOI) to prepare the PEIS was published in the **Federal Register** on July 15, 1993, at 58 FR 38140. The Draft PEIS was filed with the EPA and published in the **Federal Register** on April 15, 1994, at 59 FR 18115; the Notice of Availability (NOA) of the Draft PEIS was published in the **Federal Register** on May 19, 1994, at 59 FR 26322. The Final PEIS was filed with the EPA on August 11, 1994, and published in the **Federal Register** on August 19, 1994, at 59 FR 42831; the NOA of the Final PEIS was published in the **Federal Register** on October 5, 1994, at 59 FR 50773. In accordance with NEPA, this ROD is the concluding step in the PEIS process.

Dated: March 9, 1995.

Doris Meissner,

Commissioner, Immigration and Naturalization Service.

[FR Doc. 95-7021 Filed 3-21-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-10-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**Employment and Training Administration****Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance**

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA-W) issued during the period of March, 1995.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act must be met.

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated,

(2) That sales or production, or both, of the firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely, and

(3) That increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the absolute decline in sales or production.

Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criterion (3) has not been met. A survey of customers indicated that increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-30,693; Hudson Valley Polymers, A Division of Alfa Laval Agri, Inc., Poughkeepsie, NY

TA-W-30,628 & TA-W-30,629; Artex Manufacturing Co., Abilene, KS and Overland, KS

TA-W-30,630, A & B; Artex Manufacturing Co., Boonville, MO, Manhattan, KS, Yates Center, KS

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

TA-W-30,542; Scott Paper Co., Oconto Falls, WI

U.S. imports of sanitary paper products were negligible in 1992 through 1994.

TA-W-30,638; MPI Warehouse Speciality Co., Williston, ND

The workers' firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

TA-W-30,621; TRW Technar, Inc., TRW Transportation Electronics Div., San Dimas & Irwindale, CA

The investigation revealed that worker separations at the San Dimas and Irwindale, CA, plants of TRW Transportation Electronics Div. of TRW Technar, Inc., were a result of a corporate restructuring effort to more efficiently utilize the capacity of all company plants.

TA-W-30,641; Camp Service Line, Standard Motor Products, Inc., Edwardsville, KS

Layoffs were a result of corporate restructuring effort to utilize more efficiently the capacity of all company plants.

TA-W-30,702; Bearings, Inc., Rahway, NJ

The worker's firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

TA-W-30,720; SNE Enterprises, Inc., Spokane, WA

Increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-30,630; Exxon Pipeline Co., La Porte, TX

Increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-30,760; Kennemetal, Inc., El Paso, TX

The workers' firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

TA-W-30,770; AT&T Communications of Southwest, Inc., Odessa, TX

The workers' firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

TA-W-30,658; Swift Adhesives, St. Joseph, MO

A certification was issued covering all workers separated on or after January 3, 1994.

TA-W-30,725, A & B; Gerrity Oil & Gas Corp., Denver, CO & Operating at Various Locations in the Following States: A; CO., B; WY

A certification was issued covering all workers separated on or after January 31, 1994.