

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, it is proposed that title 7 of the CFR part 1220 be amended as follows:

PART 1220—SOYBEAN PROMOTION, RESEARCH, AND CONSUMER INFORMATION

1. The authority citation for 7 CFR Part 1220 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 6301-6311.

2. Section 1220.201 is amended by revising the section heading and paragraph (a), removing paragraph (f), and redesignating paragraph (g) as paragraph (f) as follows:

§ 1220.201 Membership of board.

(a) For the purposes of nominating and appointing producers to the Board, the United States shall be divided into 30 geographic units and the number of Board members from each unit, subject to paragraphs (d) and (e) of this section shall be as follows:

Unit	No. of members
Illinois	4
Iowa	4
Minnesota	3
Indiana	3
Missouri	3
Ohio	3
Arkansas	3
Nebraska	3
Mississippi	2
Kansas	2
Louisiana	2
South Dakota	2
Tennessee	2
North Carolina	2
Kentucky	2
Michigan	2
Virginia	2
Maryland	2
Wisconsin	2
Georgia	1
South Carolina	1
Alabama	1
North Dakota	1
Delaware	1
Texas	1
Pennsylvania	1
Oklahoma	1
New Jersey	1
Eastern Region (New York, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Florida, Rhode Island, Vermont, New Hampshire, Maine, West Virginia, District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico)	1
Western Region (Montana, Wyoming, Colorado, New Mexico, Idaho, Utah, Arizona, Washington, Oregon, Nevada, California, Hawaii, and Alaska)	1

* * * * *

2. In § 1220.212, paragraph (a) is revised to read as follows:

§ 1220.212 Duties.

* * * * *

(a) To meet not less than three times annually, or more often if required for the Board to carry out its responsibilities pursuant to this subpart.

* * * * *

Dated: March 15, 1995.

Lon Hatamiya,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 95-6915 Filed 3-21-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 94-NM-36-AD]

Airworthiness Directives; Aerospatiale Model ATR72-100 and -200 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking; reopening of comment period.

SUMMARY: This document revises an earlier proposed airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Model ATR72-100 and -200 series airplanes, that would have required a one-time dye penetrant inspection to detect cracking in certain hinge pins of the nose landing gear (NLG), and replacement of cracked pins with crack-free pins. That proposal was prompted by reports of cracking of certain hinge pins in the NLG. This action revises the proposed rule by shortening the compliance time to perform the inspection of the hinge pins of the NLG. The actions specified by this proposed AD are intended to prevent collapse of the NLG due to cracking of the hinge pins.

DATES: Comments must be received by May 1, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 94-NM-36-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Aerospatiale, 316 Route de Bayonne,

31060 Toulouse, Cedex 03, France. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sam Grober, Aerospace Engineer, Standardization Branch, ANM-113, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (206) 227-1187; fax (206) 227-1320.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 94-NM-36-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 94-NM-36-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

Discussion

A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to add an airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Aerospatiale Model ATR72-100 and -200 series airplanes, was published as a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) in the **Federal Register** on May

18, 1994 (59 FR 25846). That NPRM would have required a one-time dye penetrant inspection to detect cracking in certain hinge pins in the nose landing gear (NLG), and replacement of cracked pins with crack-free pins. That NPRM was prompted by a report that cracking has been found on the hinge pins during routine overhaul of the NLG. That condition, if not corrected, could result in collapse of the NLG due to cracking of the hinge pins.

Since the issuance of that NPRM, the FAA has received a comment from the manufacturer that has caused the FAA to reconsider the proposed compliance time to perform the inspection of the hinge pins of the NLG. Aerospatiale requests that the proposed compliance time of 10,000 landings be shortened to 1,000 landings for airplanes that have accumulated 10,000 or more total landings, and 1,500 landings for airplanes that have accumulated less than 10,000 total landings. Aerospatiale suggests that the proposed compliance time may be too long for these airplanes to fly with a potential for the NLG to collapse due to cracking of the hinge pins. The commenter's suggested compliance time would allow older airplanes that are at greater risk to be inspected earlier, while newer airplanes that pose a lower risk would be inspected later. Further, this staggered compliance time would allow the manufacturer additional time to produce an adequate number of replacement pins.

The FAA concurs. The FAA has reconsidered the compliance time for performing the inspection of the hinge pins of the NLG and finds that the compliance time must be shortened based upon the degree of urgency associated with addressing the subject unsafe condition and the availability of replacement pins. Therefore, the FAA finds that to ensure safety of the fleet, the compliance time for paragraph (a) must be shortened. For airplanes that have accumulated 10,000 or more total landings, the compliance time has been shortened to 1,000 landings; and for airplanes that have accumulated less than 10,000 total landings, the compliance time has been shortened to 1,500 landings. (This change has necessitated the reitemization of the paragraphs. Paragraphs (b) and (c) were formerly identified in the proposal as paragraphs (a)(1) and (a)(2).)

Since this change in the proposed compliance times expands the scope of the originally proposed rule, the FAA has determined that it is necessary to reopen the comment period to provide additional opportunity for public comment.

As a result of recent communications with the Air Transport Association (ATA) of America, the FAA has learned that, in general, some operators may misunderstand the legal effect of AD's on airplanes that are identified in the applicability provision of the AD, but that have been altered or repaired in the area addressed by the AD. The FAA points out that all airplanes identified in the applicability provision of an AD are legally subject to the AD. If an airplane has been altered or repaired in the affected area in such a way as to affect compliance with the AD, the owner or operator is required to obtain FAA approval for an alternative method of compliance with the AD, in accordance with the paragraph of each AD that provides for such approvals. A note has been included in this notice to clarify this requirement.

The FAA has recently reviewed the figures it has used over the past several years in calculating the economic impact of AD activity. In order to account for various inflationary costs in the airline industry, the FAA has determined that it is necessary to increase the labor rate used in these calculations from \$55 per work hour to \$60 per work hour. The economic impact information, below, has been revised to reflect this increase in the specified hourly labor rate.

The FAA estimates that 28 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, that it would take approximately 6 work hours per airplane to accomplish the proposed actions, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$10,080, or \$360 per airplane.

The total cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not

a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. App. 1354(a), 1421 and 1423; 49 U.S.C. 106(g); and 14 CFR 11.89.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive: AEROSPATIALE: Docket 94-NM-36-AD.

Applicability: Model ATR72-100 and -200 series airplanes equipped with hinge pins installed at the nose landing gear (NLG) that are manufactured by Nardi, have part number D56867, and have serial numbers beginning with the letter "N"; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must use the authority provided in paragraph (e) to request approval from the FAA. This approval may address either no action, if the current configuration eliminates the unsafe condition; or different actions necessary to address the unsafe condition described in this AD. Such a request should include an assessment of the effect of the changed configuration on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD. In no case does the presence of any modification, alteration, or repair remove any airplane from the applicability of this AD.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent collapse of the NLG due to cracking of the hinge pins, accomplish the following:

(a) Perform a dye penetrant inspection to detect cracking in the hinge pins of the NLG in accordance with Avions de Transport Regional Service Bulletin ATR72-32-1021, dated January 17, 1994, at the time specified in either paragraph (a)(1) or (a)(2) or this AD, as applicable.

(1) For airplanes that have accumulated 10,000 total landings or more as of the effective date of this AD: Within 1,000 landings after the effective date of this AD.

(2) For airplanes that have accumulated less than 10,000 total landings as of the effective date of this AD: Within 1,500 landings after the effective date of this AD.

(b) If no cracking is found, prior to further flight, reinstall that hinge pin in accordance with Avions de Transport Regional Service Bulletin ATR72-32-1021, dated January 17, 1994.

(c) If cracking is found, prior to further flight, install a new hinge pin or a pin that has been previously inspected and found to be crack-free, in accordance with the Avions de Transport Regional Service Bulletin ATR72-32-1021, dated January 17, 1994.

(d) As of the effective date of this AD, no hinge pin manufactured by Nardi having part number D56867 and any serial number beginning with the letter "N," shall be installed on the NLG of any airplane, unless that pin has been previously inspected and has been found to be crack-free, in accordance Avions de Transport Regional Service Bulletin ATR72-32-1021, dated January 17, 1994.

(e) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Standardization Branch, ANM-113, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Standardization Branch, ANM-113.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Standardization Branch, ANM-113.

(f) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on March 16, 1995.

Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.
[FR Doc. 95-6999 Filed 3-21-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Air Force

32 CFR Part 855

RIN 0701-AA42

Civil Aircraft Use of United States Air Force Airfields

AGENCY: Department of the Air Force, DOD.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Air Force is proposing to revise its regulations on civil aircraft use of United States Air Force airfields to reflect current policies and statutes. This revision establishes responsibilities and prescribes procedures for requesting and granting civil aircraft access to Air Force airfields. The public is invited to participate in this rulemaking by submitting comments to the point of contact listed under ADDRESSES. On September 24, 1993, the Air Force published, at 58 FR 49951, what is now subpart A of this proposed rule for comment. That proposed rule is hereby canceled and comments will be accepted on the version contained in this proposed rule in place of that previous version.

DATES: Comments must be received no later than May 22, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be submitted to HQ USAF/XOBC, Attn: Mrs. R.A. Young, 1480 Air Force Pentagon, Room 5C966, Washington DC 20330-1480.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mrs. R.A. Young, 703 697-5967.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department of the Air Force has determined that this proposed rule is not a major rule because it will not have an annual adverse effect on the economy of \$100 million or more. The Assistant Secretary of the Air Force (Manpower, Reserve Affairs, Installations & Environment) has certified that this rule is exempt from the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601-612 because this rule does not have a significant economic impact on small entities as defined by the Act, and does not impose any obligatory information requirements beyond internal Air Force use. This proposed rule revises and replaces Air Force Regulation (AFR) 55-20, Use of United States Air Force Installations By Other Than United States Department of Defense Aircraft, 10 April 1987.

List of Subjects in 32 CFR Part 855

Aircraft, Federal buildings and facilities.

Therefore, 32 CFR part 855 is proposed to be revised to read as follows:

PART 855—CIVIL AIRCRAFT USE OF UNITED STATES AIR FORCE AIRFIELDS

Subpart A—General Provisions

Sec.

- 855.1 Policy.
- 855.2 Responsibilities.
- 855.3 Applicability.

Subpart B—Civil Aircraft Landing Permits

- 855.4 Scope.
- 855.5 Responsibilities and authorities.
- 855.6 Aircraft exempt from the requirement for a civil aircraft landing permit.
- 855.7 Conditions for use of Air Force airfields.
- 855.8 Application procedures.
- 855.9 Permit renewal.
- 855.10 Purpose of use.
- 855.11 Insurance requirements.
- 855.12 Processing a permit application.
- 855.13 Civil fly-ins.
- 855.14 Unauthorized landings.
- 855.15 Detaining an aircraft.
- 855.16 Landing, parking, and storage fees.
- 855.17 Aviation fuel and oil purchases.
- 855.18 Supply and service charges.

Subpart C—Agreements for Civil Aircraft Use of Air Force Airfields

- 855.19 Joint-use Agreements.
- 855.20 Procedures for sponsor.
- 855.21 Air Force procedures.
- 855.22 Other agreements.

Table 1—Purpose of Use/Verification/Approval Authority/Fees

Table 2—Aircraft Liability Coverage Requirements

Table 3—Landing Fees

Table 4—Parking and Storage Fees

Attachment 1 to Part 855—Definitions

Attachment 2 to Part 855—Weather Alternate List

Attachment 3 to Part 855—Landing Permit Application Instructions

Attachment 4 to Part 855—Sample Joint-Use Agreement

Attachment 5 to Part 855—Sample Temporary Agreement.

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 44502 and 47103.

Subpart A—General Provisions

§ 855.1 Policy.

The Air Force establishes and uses its airfields to support the scope and level of operations necessary to carry out missions worldwide. The Congress funds airfields in response to Air Force requirements, but also specifies that civil aviation access is a national priority to be accommodated when it does not jeopardize an installation's military utility. The Air Force engages in dialogue with the civil aviation