1986 (Pub. L. 99–502) and section 207 of title 35, United States Code, the Department of the Army, as represented by the U.S. Army Research Laboratory, intends to grant a limited term exclusive license for the above mentioned patents to Princeton Microwave Technology, Inc., a New Jersey manufacturer with principal offices at 3 Nami Lane, Unit 10, Mercerville, New Jersey 98619.

Pursuant to 37 CFR 404.7(a)(I)(i), any interested party may file written objections to this prospective exclusive license arrangements. Written objections should be directed to the above address.

Kenneth L. Denton,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 95–6834 Filed 3–20–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710–08–M

Corps of Engineers

Joint Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)/Environmental Impact Report (EIR)

AGENCY: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Sacramento District, DOD.

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: To prepare a joint draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)/Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the proposed aggregate mining of approximately 1250 acres of land within the Morrison Creek Drainage Basin north of Highway 16, Sacramento County, California. The area evaluated by the EIR/EIS is bounded roughly by Kiefer Boulevard to the north, Excelsior Road to the east, Jackson Road (Highway 16) to the south and Bradshaw Road to the west.

ADDRESSES: U.S. Army Engineer District, Sacramento, 1325 J Street, Sacramento, California 95814–2922.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Karen Shaffer, (916) 557–5269.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: There are three mining areas within the 1250 acre study area. They are known as Aspen V North, Aspen VI and Granite I. Teichert Aggregates and Granite Construction Company have each applied to the Corps of Engineers for Department of the Army permits pursuant to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. These applications are being evaluated jointly because they are adjacent to one another and propose to share planning and cost of reclamation and mitigation. Teichert proposes to impact 42.88 acres of wetlands and other waters subject to DOA jurisdiction on their Aspen VI site, while Granite's impacts amount to 16.16 acres of wetlands and other waters subject to DOA jurisdiction on their Granite I site. Aspen VI and Granite I, combine for a total of 1061 acres in size with a total of 59.04 acres of waters of the United States being impacted. The Teichert site known as Aspen V North is approximately 184 acres in size with no jurisdictional waters of the United States. Presently, Aspen VI and Granite I consist of relatively flat terrain with Morrison Creek running through roughly from north east to south west and Mather Drain running through roughly from north to south. Granite I and Aspen VI are used for grazing and Aspen V North is relatively flat and is used for farming except in some areas that have already been mined. Because Aspen V North has no waters of the United States and can continue to be mined without a Department of the Army permit, the applicants have proposed to use the reclaimed pit as the mitigation area for vernal pool creation. In this way, the pools can be built on the Aspen V North site as they are impacted on the Aspen VI and Granite I sites with less temporal loss. The applicants proposed to reroute Mather Drain and Morrison Creek into a bypass channel that will be construed at the present grade. Low flows and flood flows will be directed to the pit floor where the applicants propose to mitigate for project impacts to seasonal wetlands and creek channel. The pit floor will also serve as a detention basin for storm water during peak flows.

Alternatives

The alternatives being considered at this time are:

a. Aggregate mining on site as proposed by the applicants.

b. Downsizing mining operation on site so as to avoid some waters of the United States.

c. Alternative site location.

d. No action (no project alternative).

Significant Issues

The significant issues which have been identified to date and which will be analyzed in the report are:

a. The need for additional aggregate material in Sacramento.

b. Impacts to wetlands and ability to create vernal pools on a reclaimed pit floor.

c. Impacts to threatened and endangered species.

d. Impacts to wildlife.

e. Impacts to the hydrology of the Morrison Creek drainage basin.

f. Need for flood storage on the Morrison Creek drainage basin.

g. Impacts to water quality.

h. Impacts to traffic (alternative site location).

i. Impacts to aesthetics.

j. Impacts to noise levels.

Other Environmental Review and Consultation

Environmental review and consultation as required by Sections 401 and 404 of the Clean Water Act, as amended (33 U.S. Code 1341 and 1344); the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (16 U.S. Code 661 *et seq.*); the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended (16 U.S. Code 470 *et seq.*); the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S. Code 1531 *et seq.*); Executive Order 11990, ''Protection of Wetlands,'' 24 May 1977; and other applicable statutes or regulations will be conducted concurrently with the EIR/EIS review process.

Another joint draft EIR/EIS is being prepared concurrently for the Morrison Creek drainage basin south of Highway 16. This report will focus on the following mining projects: Granite Vineyard, Aspen III South, Aspen IV South and Aspen V South. Both EIR/EIS documents will evaluate impacts to the entire Morrison Creek drainage basin within cumulative impacts.

The Sacramento District of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers will issue a 30-day public notice, concurrently with this notice, to initiate the scoping process. The public notice will be sent to all known, interested parties; and will request that the reviewers provide comments on the topical scope, alternatives, and major issues to be covered in the Draft EIR/EIS. We intend to accomplish the scoping process in this manner; however, if it is perceived that this method is not adequate, the need for a public scoping meeting will be considered.

Schedule

We estimate the draft EIR/EIS will be made available to the public in May 1995.

Questions concerning the proposed action and Draft EIR/EIS should be addressed directly to Ms. Karen Shaffer, Regulatory Branch, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1325 J Street, Room 1444, Sacramento, California 95814–2922, telephone (916) 557–5269.

Kenneth L. Denton,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 95–6833 Filed 3–20–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710–GH–M

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Notice of Proposed Information Collection Request

AGENCY: Department of Education.

ACTION: Notice of proposed information collection request.