

interested persons and can be requested as described above.

The Draft PEIS evaluates siting and technology alternatives related to tritium supply and recycling. Four technologies for tritium supply are assessed in the PEIS: Heavy Water Reactor, Modular High-Temperature Gas-Cooled Reactor, Advanced Light Water Reactor, and Accelerator Production of Tritium. Five sites for new tritium supply facilities and tritium recycling facilities are assessed: the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory (Idaho Falls, Idaho); the Nevada Test Site (Las Vegas, Nevada); the Pantex Plant (Amarillo, Texas); the Savannah River Site (Aiken, South Carolina); and the Oak Ridge Reservation (Oak Ridge, Tennessee). Two options for tritium recycling are evaluated: upgrade of existing tritium recycling facilities at the Savannah River Site, or collocation of a new tritium recycling facility with the tritium supply facility at one of the other sites.

Two of the three reactor technologies (Advanced Light Water Reactor and Modular High Temperature Gas-Cooled Reactor) are also evaluated in the Draft PEIS as "triple play" reactors which are capable of "burning" plutonium in addition to producing tritium and generating electricity. Additionally, the Draft PEIS includes an assessment of the generic environmental impacts of producing tritium in an existing commercial reactor, either as a contingency option in the event of a national emergency, or if the Department should choose to purchase such a reactor and convert it to defense purposes. The Department does not have a preferred alternative at this time for tritium supply and recycling sites, or for a tritium supply technology. The Final PEIS will include any preferred alternative. However, the Department may choose to identify a preferred alternative prior to issuing the Final PEIS.

Six public hearings to receive comments on the Draft PEIS will be held as follows:

Washington, DC—April 5, 1995, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue, S.W., Morning Hearing 8:30am–1:00pm; Afternoon Hearing 1:30pm–6:00
 Las Vegas, NV—April 5, 1995, Cashman Field Convention Center, 850 North Las Vegas Blvd., Morning Hearing 8:30am–1:00pm; Evening Hearing 6:00pm–10:30pm
 Oak Ridge, TN—April 12, 1995, Pollard Auditorium, 210 Badger Avenue, Morning Hearing 8:30am–1:00pm; Evening Hearing 6:00pm–10:30pm

Pocatello, ID—April 12, 1995, Quality Inn Pocatello Park Hotel, 1555 Pocatello Creek Road, Morning Hearing 8:30am–1:00pm; Evening Hearing 6:00pm–10:30pm
 North Augusta, SC—April 20, 1995, North Augusta Community Center, 101 Brookside Drive, Afternoon Hearing 1:00pm–5:30pm; Evening Hearing 6:00pm–10:30pm
 Amarillo, TX—April 20, 1995, Sunset Convention Center, 3701 Plains Blvd., Suite 135, Afternoon Hearing 1:00pm–5:30pm; Evening Hearing 6:00pm–10:30pm

The public comment period will extend until May 15, 1995. A Final PEIS for Tritium Supply and Recycling is expected to be completed by October 1995. A Record of Decision would be completed no sooner than 30 days after the Final PEIS is issued.

Signed in Washington, D.C. this 13th day of March, 1995.

Victor H. Reis,

Assistant Secretary for Defense Programs.

[FR Doc. 95-6793 Filed 3-17-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management; Waste Acceptance Issues

AGENCY: Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management, Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notice of Availability of the Response Summary Report for the Department of Energy's Notice of Inquiry on Waste Acceptance Issues.

SUMMARY: The Department of Energy published a Notice of Inquiry on May 25, 1994, to elicit the views of affected parties on: (1) The Department's preliminary view that it does not have a statutory obligation to accept spent nuclear fuel in 1998 in the absence of an operational repository or a suitable storage facility constructed under the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, as amended; (2) the need for an interim, away-from-reactor storage facility prior to repository operations; and (3) options for offsetting, through the use of the Nuclear Waste Fund, a portion of the financial burden that may be incurred by utilities in continuing to store spent nuclear fuel at reactor sites beyond 1998 (59 FR 27007). The Notice of Inquiry implemented the Secretary's initiative to invite the views of interested parties and to advance the consensus-building process on resolving these important issues.

The Notice of Inquiry requested written comments on or before September 22, 1994. In response to a

request from six organizations, the Department published a Notice on October 18, 1994, announcing the reopening of this comment period until December 19, 1994 (59 FR 52524). The Department received more than 1,100 comment letters in response to the Notice of Inquiry.

The purpose of this Notice is to announce the availability of the *Notice of Inquiry on Waste Acceptance Issues — Response Summary Report* which the Department prepared to present a summary of the comments received in response to the Notice of Inquiry. The Department is currently reviewing the comments for the purpose of preparing its response to the issues set forth in the Notice of Inquiry.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the report may be obtained by writing: OCRWM Information Center, P.O. Box 44375, Washington, DC 20026, or by phone: (800) 225-NWPA (6972), (202) 488-5513 (in the Washington, DC area).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. David Zabransky at the address above, or by telephone at (202) 586-7346 or Mr. Robert Waxman of the Office of General Counsel at (202) 586-6975.

Issued in Washington, DC, March 15, 1995.

Daniel A. Dreyfus,

Director, Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management.

[FR Doc. 95-6791 Filed 3-17-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER94-1593-001, et al.]

National Power Exchange, et al. Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

March 10, 1995

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. National Power Exchange

[Docket No. ER94-1593-001]

Take notice that on February 21, 1995, National Power Exchange filed certain information as required by the Commission's order issued October 7, 1994.

2. Associated Power Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER95-7-002]

Take notice that on February 10, 1995, Associated Power Services, Inc. tendered for filing additional information in the above-referenced docket.

Comment date: March 24, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

3. Pacific Gas and Electric Company

[Docket No. ER95-262-000]

Take notice that on February 15, 1995, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) tendered for filing an amendment (Amendment) to the Control Area and Transmission Service Agreement (Agreement) between PG&E and Destec Power Services, Inc. (DPS) which was filed previously with the Commission on December 6, 1994 in the above docket.

The filing seeks to: (1) Modify the rate approach for Distribution Service provided for in the Agreement; (2) confirm that PG&E will file for approval of the construction agreement if DPS is requested to pay for any incremental transmission system upgrades; (3) provide more detailed description of Inter-Hour Load Balancing Service; (4) clarify the rate derivation for ancillary services, the Monthly Billing Charge and the charges for Power Deviations.

PG&E is requesting certain waivers.

Copies of this filing were served upon DPS, various intervenors and the California Public Utilities Commission.

Comment date: March 24, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

4. Illinois Power Company

[Docket No. ER95-431-000]

Take notice that on March 2, 1995, Illinois Power Company (Illinois) tendered for filing an amendment between Illinois and Citizens Lehman Power Sales (CLP Sales). Illinois states that the purpose of this amendment is to revise the charges when Illinois is buying from a third party and selling to CLP Sales.

Comment date: March 24, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

5. Connecticut Valley Electric Co. Central Vermont Public Service Corporation

[Docket Nos. ER95-679-000 and ER95-680-000]

Take notice that on March 1, 1995, Central Vermont Public Service Corporation tendered for filing a tariff providing for comprehensive transmission service. Central Vermont states that the tariff provides for transmission service on a basis comparable to the uses the Company makes of its transmission system to serve its own requirements customers. Central Vermont also tendered for filing a notice of cancellation of service by its subsidiary, Connecticut Valley Electric Company Inc., to New Hampshire Electric Cooperative.

Comment date: March 27, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

6. Blackstone Valley Electric Company

[Docket No. ER95-682-000]

Take notice that on March 2, 1995, Blackstone Valley Electric Company filed a Notice of Cancellation of Rate Schedule No. 31. Rate Schedule No. 31 provided for subtransmission service by Blackstone to Roosevelt Hydro Electric Company. The provision for subtransmission service to Roosevelt expired by its own terms on October 31, 1994.

Comment date: March 27, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

7. Delmarva Power & Light Company

[Docket No. ER95-683-000]

Take notice that on March 2, 1995, Delmarva Power & Light Company (Delmarva) of Wilmington, Delaware, filed under the provisions of section 205 of the Federal Power Act a twelve-year power supply contract (the Service Agreement) under which Delmarva will provide all requirements service to the Town of Middletown, Delaware (Middletown). Delmarva states that the Service agreement supersedes Delmarva's Rate Schedule No. 65 under which Middletown currently receives service.

Delmarva, with Middletown's concurrence, requests an effective date of March 1, 1995 for the new Service Agreement. This effective date is specified by the terms of the Service Agreement.

The Service Agreement provides for the continuation of the requirements service previously furnished Middletown under Rate Schedule No. 65, but changes certain terms and conditions. The chief differences between the Service Agreement and Rate Schedule No. 65 are that the Service Agreement provides for all requirements service as a change from the partial requirements service Middletown was receiving, establishes a new rate for Middletown which is below the level of the rate currently charged Middletown and provides for future adjustments to the Middletown rate based on changes in the level of Delmarva's retail rates. The Service Agreement has a twelve year term.

Delmarva states that the filing has been posted and has been served upon the affected customer and the Delaware Public Service Commission.

Comment date: March 27, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

8. Central Illinois Public Service Company

[Docket No. ER95-684-000]

Take notice that on March 2, 1995, Central Illinois Public Service Company (CIPS), submitted for filing a Letter Agreement dated December 1, 1994, modifying the Interconnection Agreement dated November 1, 1969, between Tennessee Valley Authority, Central Illinois Public Service Company, Illinois Power Company and Union Electric Company.

The Letter Agreement provides for TVA's notification and consultation with CIPS, IP and UE regarding any changes TVA plans to make to the rental facilities at the Shawnee 345 kV Interconnection Point.

Copies of this filing have been mailed to Illinois Power Company, Union Electric Company, Tennessee Valley Authority, the Illinois Commerce Commission and the Missouri Public Service Commission.

Comment date: March 27, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

9. Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.

[Docket No. ER95-686-000]

Take notice that on March 2, 1995, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. (Con Edison) tendered for filing an agreement to provide interruptible transmission service for Electric Clearinghouse, Inc. (ECI).

Con Edison states that a copy of this filing has been served by mail upon ECI.

Comment date: March 27, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

10. Northeast Utilities Service Company

[Docket No. ER95-687-000]

Take notice that on March 2, 1995, Northeast Utilities Service Company (NUSCO), tendered for filing a Service Agreement with Rochester Gas & Electric Corporation (RG&E) under the NU System Companies System Power Sales/Exchange Tariff No. 6.

NUSCO states that a copy of this filing has been mailed to RG&E.

NUSCO requests that the Service Agreement become effective on March 15, 1995.

Comment date: March 27, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

11. Southern California Edison Company

[Docket No. ER95-688-000]

Take notice that on March 3, 1995, Southern California Edison Company tendered for filing a supplemental

agreement, associated procedure and letter agreement to the 1990 Integrated Operations Agreement with the City of Azusa (Azusa), Commission Rate Schedule No. 247.

The supplemental agreement procedure and letter agreement establish the terms and conditions for the integration of Replacement Capacity Resources purchased by Azusa under the Conformed Western Systems Power Pool Agreement. Edison is requesting waiver of the Commission's 60 day notice requirements and is requesting an effective date of March 4, 1995.

Copies of this filing were served upon the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California and all interested parties.

Comment date: March 27, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

12. Southern California Edison Company

[Docket No. ER95-689-000]

Take notice that on March 3, 1995, Southern California Edison Company tendered for filing a supplemental agreement, associated procedure and letter agreement to the 1990 Integrated Operations Agreement with the City of Anaheim (Anaheim), Commission Rate Schedule No. 246.

The supplemental agreement, procedure and letter agreement establish the terms and conditions for the integration of Replacement Capacity Resources purchased by Anaheim under the Conformed Western Systems Power Pool Agreement. Edison is requesting waiver of the Commission's 60 day notice requirements and its requesting an effective date of March 4, 1995.

Copies of this filing were served upon the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California and all interested parties.

Comment date: March 27, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding.

Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-6746 Filed 3-17-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket No. EL95-30-000, et al.]

West Penn Power Company, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

March 13, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. West Penn Power Company

[Docket No. EL95-30-000]

Take notice that on March 10, 1995, West Penn Power (West Penn") filed a Petition for Issuance of a Declaratory Order ("Petition"). The Petition requests that this Commission: (1) Issue a declaratory order stating that West Penn has no current purchase obligation from Washington Power Company, L.P. ("Washington Power"); (2) find that the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 prohibits purchase rates for capacity that exceeds current avoided costs when (a) such rates are based on stale avoided cost data, (b) the utility no longer needs the capacity, and (c) the proposed generating plant is unbuilt; (3) find that a state utility commission may not modify a privately negotiated purchase agreement or, in the alternative, if a state utility commission may modify such an agreement, the utility must have the opportunity to demonstrate the purchase price is higher than the utility's avoided cost as of the date of the modification; and (4) find that a change in the type of qualifying facility from that originally granted rate approval voids that purchase agreement and requires that capacity charges must be recalculated at current avoided cost rates.

Comment date: April 3, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

2. Orange and Rockland Utilities Inc.

[Docket Nos. ER94-1262-000, ER94-1264-000, ER94-1292-000, ER94-1293-000, ER94-1327-000, and ER94-1360-000]

Take notice that on February 24, 1995, Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc. tendered for filing additional information requested by staff in the above-referenced dockets.

Comment date: March 27, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

3. Western Regional Transmission Association

[Docket No. ER94-1288-002]

Take notice that on March 1, 1995, Western Regional Transmission Association tendered for filing revised copies of the amended Western Regional Transmission Association Governing Agreement filed on January 25, 1995.

Comment date: March 27, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

4. Kimball Power Company

[Docket No. ER95-232-001]

Take notice that on March 3, 1995, Kimball Power Company tendered for filing revised copies of FERC Rate Schedule No. 1 pursuant to the Commission's order issued February 1, 1995 in the above-referenced docket.

Comment date: March 27, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

5. Commonwealth Electric Company, Cambridge Electric Light Company

[Docket No. ER95-453-000]

Take notice that on March 2, 1995, Commonwealth Electric Company and Cambridge Electric Light Company each filed amendments to their respective FERC Electric Tariffs for Power Sales and Exchanges. The amendments reflect that the maximum duration of a transaction under the Tariff is one month and that the System Reservation Charge is calculated on a per-hour basis.

Comment date: March 27, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

6. Potomac Electric Power Company

[Docket No. ER95-543-000]

Take notice that on February 3, 1995, Potomac Electric Power Company tendered for filing supplemental information to its February 2, 1995 filing in the above-referenced docket.

Comment date: March 27, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

7. Metropolitan Edison Company

[Docket No. ER95-685-000]

Take notice that on March 2, 1995, Metropolitan Edison Company tendered for filing Supplement Nos. 3 and 15 of Exhibit C-1 (Revised November 23, 1994).

Comment date: March 27, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.