

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Robert M. Bernero,

Director, Office of Nuclear Materials Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 95-6733 Filed 3-17-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

[Docket Nos. 50-317 and 50-318]

Baltimore Gas and Electric Co., Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant Unit Nos. 1 and 2; Notice of Partial Withdrawal of Application for Amendment to Facility Operating License

The United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has granted the request by the Baltimore Gas and Electric Company (BG&E) to withdraw a portion of its December 8, 1993, application for proposed amendments to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-53 and DPR-69 for the Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, located in Calvert County, Maryland.

The proposed amendments would revise Technical Specifications (TSs) Section 5.0, Design Features. The requested changes, for the most part, adopt the improved Standard Technical Specifications format and content for Combustion Engineering plants provided in NUREG-1432. Included in the initial December 8, 1993, application was a request to delete two subsections of the existing TSs. These subsections were Subsection 5.3.3, "Control Element Assemblies," and 5.6.3, "Drainage." Subsection 5.6.3 relates to inadvertent drainage of the spent fuel pool. By letter dated March 2, 1995, BG&E withdrew the request to delete these two subsections. The existing information in Subsection 5.3.3 will be retained in a new Subsection 5.2.2 and the information in Subsection 5.6.3 will be retained in a new Subsection 5.3.2. The new subsection designations are necessary to be consistent with the reformatting of the Design Features Section of the TSs.

The Commission has previously issued a Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-53 and DPR-69, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination and Opportunity for a Hearing, which was published in the **Federal Register** on January 19, 1994 (59 FR 2861).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendments dated December 8, 1993, as supplemented on March 2, 1995. The March 2, 1995, letter provided clarification of the initial application and withdrew the request to delete the

two subsections as detailed above. These documents are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Calvert County Library, Prince Frederick, Maryland 20678.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 14th day of March 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Daniel G. McDonald,

Senior Project Manager, Project Directorate I-1, Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95-6731 Filed 3-17-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket No. 50-213]

Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company; Notice of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (Commission) has issued Amendment No. 185 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-61 issued to the Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company (the licensee), which revised the Technical Specifications for operation of the Haddam Neck Plant located in Middlesex County, Connecticut. The amendment is effective as of the date of issuance to be implemented within 30 days of issuance.

The amendment revises the Haddam Neck Plant Technical Specifications (TS) to allow an increased limit for fuel enrichment. The change allows the storage of fuel with an enrichment not to exceed a nominal 5.0 weight percent (w/o) U-235 in the Haddam Neck Plant new and spent fuel storage racks. The current new and spent fuel storage rack maximum nominal enrichment is 3.9 w/o U-235 for Zircaloy clad fuel and 4.0 w/o U-235 for stainless steel clad fuel.

The application for the amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment.

Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment and Opportunity for Hearing in connection with this action was published in the **Federal Register** on June 14, 1994 (59 FR 30620). No request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene was filed following the notice.

The Commission has prepared an Environmental Assessment related to the action and has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement. Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission has concluded that the issuance of the amendment will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment (60 FR 7798).

For further details with respect to the action see (1) the application for amendment dated May 17, 1994, as supplemented September 9, 1994, and January 31, 1995, (2) Amendment No. 185 to License No. DPR-61, (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation, and (4) the Commission's Environmental Assessment. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street NW., Washington, DC 20555, and at the local public document room located at the Russell Library, 123 Broad Street, Middletown, Connecticut 06457.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 10th day of March 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Alan B. Wang,

Project Management, Project Directorate I-3, Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95-6734 Filed 3-17-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

POSTAL RATE COMMISSION

[Docket No. RM95-2]

Procedural Streamlining Inquiry; Notice of Request for Comments on Information Contained in Postal Service Requests

March 15, 1995.

Before Commissioners: Edward J. Gleiman, Chairman; W.H. "Trey" LeBlanc III, Vice-Chairman; George W. Haley; H. Edward Quick, Jr.; Wayne A. Schley

On December 14, 1994, the Commission issued an Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (Advance Notice) seeking suggestions concerning how the Commission's rules of practice and procedure could be amended or supplemented to improve the efficiency and expedition of consideration of requests for changes in postal rates and classifications conducted pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3624(a). Comments were due on or before February 21, 1995, and the Commission has received several thought provoking statements from frequent participants in these cases. Several comments emphasized the importance of timely access to Postal