

thousands of acres of intensely-burned vegetation, altered soils, and increased fuel loads. The slopes in the area are steep and subject to severe erosion. This analysis was initiated to identify treatments that will lessen long-term losses in productivity and increase the rate of recovery of the ecosystems in the area. The analysis area is approximately 14,210 acres in size. About 280 acres of the area are unoccupied spotted owl habitat, with approximately 100 acres of this habitat being within a Late Successional Reserve. In addition, about 6,400 acres of the analysis area is unroaded.

The proposed action is to treat: (1) Approximately 4,700 acres in the ponderosa pine zone; (2) approximately 1,600 acres in the mesic Douglas-fir zone; and (3) approximately 340 acres in the high elevation zone. Treatments will be made through a combination of activities including: fuel disposal through the use of prescribed fire; harvest of dead and damaged trees; thinning; and slope stabilization. This proposal will include helicopter yarding as the preferred method of tree removal, but may require the construction of approximately 3 miles of temporary access roads. A transportation plan for the unroaded portion of the project area would also be developed.

To date, the following key issues have been identified:

- Roadless Area management
- Late Successional Reserves
- Public safety and property
- Economics
- Cultural resources
- Control of noxious weeds
- Channel protection/restoration
- Access management
- Forest fuel management
- Scenic quality
- Recreation opportunities
- Wildlife habitat
- Revegetation
- Water quality
- Biodiversity/forest health
- Fish/water/soil stability

The decision to be made through this analysis is where, how, and to what extent should the various vegetation management, fuels reduction and slope stabilization treatments be implemented within the First Creek analysis area, and what roading, if any, should occur within the currently unroaded area.

A range of alternatives will be considered, including a no action alternative, and an alternative that maintains the unroaded character of the area. Other alternatives will be developed in response to issues received during scoping. All alternatives will need to respond to specific conditions in the First Creek Basin.

Public participation will be especially important at several points during the analysis. The Forest Service will be seeking information, comments, and assistance from Federal, State, tribes, and local agencies, as well as individuals or organizations who may be interested in or affected by the proposed actions. This information will be used in preparation of the draft EIS. The scoping process includes:

1. Identifying potential issues.
2. Identifying issues to be analyzed in depth.
3. Eliminating non significant issues or those which have been covered by a relevant previous environmental process.
4. Exploring additional alternatives.
5. Identifying potential environmental effects of the proposed action and alternatives (i.e. direct, indirect, and cumulative effects and connected actions).
6. Determining potential cooperating agencies and task assignments.

The draft EIS is expected to be filed with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and to be available for public review in June, 1995. At that time, copies of the draft EIS will be distributed to interested and affected agencies, organizations, tribes, and members of the public for their review and comment. EPA will publish a notice of availability of the draft EIS in the **Federal Register**.

The comment period on the draft EIS will be 45 days from the date the EPA notice appears in the **Federal Register**. It is very important that those interested in the management of the Wenatchee National Forest participate at that time.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft EIS should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft EIS. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft EIS or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. (Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing those points).

At this early stage, the Forest Service believes it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of a draft EIS must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions.

(*Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC*, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978)). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft EIS stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final EIS may be waived or dismissed by the courts. (*City of Angoon v. Hodel*, 803 f. 2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir, 1986)) and (*Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris*, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980)). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final EIS.

The final EIS is scheduled to be completed in August 1995. In the final EIS, the Forest Service is required to respond to comments and responses received during the comment period that pertain to the environmental consequences discussed in the draft EIS and applicable laws, regulations, and policies considered in making the decision regarding this proposal. Sonny O'Neal, Forest Supervisor, Wenatchee National Forest, is the responsible official. As the responsible official he will document the decision and reasons for the decision in the Record of Decision. That decision will be subject to Forest Service appeal regulations (36 CFR 215).

Dated: March 9, 1995.

**Mark Morris,**

*Administrative Officer.*

[FR Doc. 95-6628 Filed 3-16-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

---

## COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

### Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting of the Michigan Advisory Committee

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a meeting of the Michigan Advisory Committee to the Commission will convene from 1:00 p.m. until 6:00 p.m. on Thursday, April 6, 1995, at the Westin Hotel, Renaissance Center, Detroit, Michigan 48243. The purpose of the meeting is to discuss current issues and plan future activities.

Persons desiring additional information, or planning a presentation to the Committee, should contact Committee Chairperson Janice G. Frazier at 313-259-8180, or Constance M. Davis, Director of the Midwestern Regional Office, 312-353-8311 (TDD

312-353-8326). Hearing-impaired persons who will attend the meeting and require the services of a sign language interpreter should contact the Regional Office at least five (5) working days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, DC, March 7, 1995.

**Carol-Lee Hurley,**

*Chief, Regional Programs Coordination Unit.*

[FR Doc. 95-6552 Filed 3-16-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6335-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 8-95]

#### **Foreign-Trade Zone 24—Pittston, PA; Application for Subzone Status J. Schoeneman, Inc., Plant (Wearing Apparel), State Line, PA**

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) by the Eastern Distribution Center, Inc., grantee of FTZ 24, requesting special-purpose subzone status for the apparel manufacturing plant of the J. Schoeneman, Inc. (JSI) (subsidiary of the Plaid Clothing Group, Inc.), located in State Line, Pennsylvania. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on March 10, 1995.

This application involves the proposed transfer of subzone activity from JSI's plant (FTZ Subzone 99A) in Wilmington, Delaware, to JSI's new Pennsylvania plant. Subzone status for the company's Wilmington plant was authorized by the Board in 1984 (Subzone 99A; Board Order 257, 49 FR 24757, 6-15-84). The scope of FTZ authority for Subzone 99A is limited to non-manufacturing activity. JSI plans to close the Wilmington facility in 1995 and transfer the activity to its new plant in State Line, Pennsylvania. The activity at the proposed subzone would be the same as that now conducted at the Delaware plant, and no expansion of manufacturing authority is being requested.

The new JSI plant (10 acres, 126,000 sq. ft) is located at 15276 Molly Pitcher Highway (U.S. 11), State Line (Franklin County), Pennsylvania, some 6 miles north of Hagerstown, Maryland. The facility (120 employees) will be used to

store, measure, and cut foreign and domestic fabric into tailored garment pieces that are shipped to other JSI plants for assembly into finished apparel (mens' and boys' suits, sport coats, raincoats, and trousers). Fabrics purchased from abroad (about 35% of total) include wool, silk, polyester, and polyester/wool (duty rates range up to 36.1%).

As is the case at the Delaware plant, FTZ procedures would exempt JSI from Customs duty payments on the foreign status fabric that is reexported from the proposed subzone. On domestic production, JSI would be able to defer duty payments on the foreign fabric until it is formally entered for consumption prior to cutting. No manufacturing would be conducted under FTZ procedures, and the same restrictions that are contained in Board Order 257 would apply at this plant. The application indicates that the savings from zone procedures will continue to help maintain the international competitiveness of JSI's domestic operations.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ Staff has been appointed examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment on the application is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and three copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is May 16, 1995. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to May 31, 1995).

A copy of the application and the accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at each of the following locations:

Office of the Port Director, U.S. Customs Service, Harrisburg International Airport, Building 135, Second Floor, room 7, Middletown, PA 17057-5035

Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, room 3716, 14th Street & Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230

Dated: March 13, 1995.

**John J. Da Ponte, Jr.,**

*Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-6680 Filed 3-16-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## International Trade Administration

[A-570-807]

### **Ceiling Fans From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Revocation of Antidumping Duty Order**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Final Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Revocation of Antidumping Duty Order.

**SUMMARY:** On December 9, 1991, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** an antidumping duty order on ceiling fans from the People's Republic of China (PRC). We are now revoking the order, based on the fact that this order is no longer of interest to domestic parties.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 17, 1995.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Andrea Chu or Michael Rill, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-4733.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

On December 9, 1991, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (56 FR 64249) an antidumping duty order on ceiling fans from the PRC (the order). On September 27, 1994, Lasko Metal Products, Inc. (Lasko), the petitioner in this proceeding, submitted a request for a changed circumstances administrative review and revocation of the order on the basis that the order no longer is of interest to the petitioner. On October 14, 1994, Lasko reaffirmed its September 27, 1994, request for the revocation of the order.

On January 17, 1995, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation and preliminary results of changed circumstances review to determine whether to revoke the order. (See Ceiling Fans from the People's Republic of China: Termination of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Intent to Revoke Order, 60 FR 3390.) We found that Lasko's affirmative statement of no interest constitutes good cause for conducting a changed circumstances review. We gave