

for "Experimental Investigation of Vibration-Induced Bulk Solids Transport and Segregation".

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** U.S. Department of Energy, Pittsburgh Energy Technology Center, Acquisition and Assistance Division, P.O. Box 10940, MS 921-143, Pittsburgh, PA 15236-0940, Attn.: Robyn L. Knappek, Telephone: (412) 892-6201.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**  
Grant No. DE-FG22-95PC95203  
Title of Research Effort—"Experimental Investigation of Vibration-Induced Bulk Solids Transport Segregation"  
Awardee—The Foundation at NJIT and The New Jersey Institute of Technology  
Term of Assistance Effort—Twelve (12) Months

Cost of Assistance Effort—The total estimated value is \$67,557,00. There will be cost-sharing involved in this transaction; financial assistance of 53.5% (\$34,451.00) will be provided by the U.S. Department of Energy.

#### Objective

In accordance with 10 CFR 600.14, The Foundation at NJIT and The New Jersey Institute of Technology has been selected as the grant recipient as the result of the evaluation of an unsolicited proposal.

The objective of this project is to analyze the effects of a number of parameters on the behavior of particles in vibration beds, with particular attention paid to induced flows and their influence on the motion of an embedded large particle. With the help of flow visualization technique and computer simulation proposed, it is anticipated that various flow behavior would be revealed, and this may shed light on the possible mechanisms for particle segregation.

The benefits include a study of the effects (quantitative as well as qualitative) on convective transport and segregation due to various parameters (wall conditions, surface particle properties, size ratio, etc.).

This proposed project, resulting from the submission of an unsolicited proposal, represents a unique or innovative idea, method, or approach which would not be eligible for financial assistance under a recent, current, or planned solicitation. The DOE has determined that a competitive solicitation would be inappropriate. This award will not be made for at least 14 days to allow for public comment.

**Dale A. Siciliano,**

*Contracting Officer.*

[FR Doc. 95-6521 Filed 3-15-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

#### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

#### Pacific Gas Transmission Co.; Notice of Amendment

[Docket No. CP93-618-004]

March 10, 1995.

Take notice that on March 3, 1995, Pacific Gas Transmission Company (PGT), 160 Spear Street, San Francisco, California, 94105-1570, filed an application in Docket No. CP93-618-004. In this case, PGT is seeking an amendment to a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued in Docket Nos. CP93-618, *et al.* under Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, and Part 157, Subpart A of the commission's Regulations. The Certificate that PGT seeks to amend was issued by the Commission's Order of January 12, 1995, in the above dockets. PGT wants to partially increase the size of the Medford Extension, one of the two pipeline extensions approved by the January 12, 1995, Order. PGT's proposal is more fully set forth in the amendment which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

PGT now seeks to construct and operate about 22 miles of 16-inch pipeline on the Medford Extension, from the interconnection with PGT's mainline to Klamath Falls, Oregon, rather than 12-inch pipeline for that segment. The remainder of the 86.5 miles of the Medford Extension, which continues on to Medford, Oregon, would be a 12-inch pipeline, as previously approved. The increased diameter pipe would allow for additional capacity on the Medford Extension so PGT can provide up to 90,000 MMBtu per day of additional firm transportation service to Diamond Energy, Inc. (Diamond Energy). Diamond Energy, a subsidiary of Mitsubishi Corp., and the City of Klamath Falls have plans to jointly build a gas-fired electric generation station which would be operational in 1998.

PGT states that it seeks to take advantage of the short-term opportunity to install the larger pipe when the Medford Extension is initially constructed this summer. PGT says that this will avoid unnecessary future environmental disruptions and higher project costs associated with the future construction of pipeline looping or compressor facilities in three years time. PGT says that the additional cost of the larger pipe is estimated to be \$2.3 million, including AFUDC. The increased costs of the 16-inch pipe would be held out of the rate base of the

Medford Extension until after service to Diamond Energy begins.

PGT proposes to recover the incremental cost-of-service of the increased pipe size through surcharges to its existing FTS-1 Rate Schedule. Diamond Energy would pay the FTS-1 rate for mainline service and a surcharge for service on the Medford Extension.

PGT says that the surcharge that Diamond Energy would pay is a negotiated rate based on the avoided costs of Diamond Energy; Diamond Energy would not have to build its own pipeline to PGT. The negotiated reservation surcharge is \$0.090388 for the first 45,000 MMBtu per day of service and \$0.035477 for the second 45,000 MMBtu per day of service.

PGT says that incremental revenues from the rates paid by Diamond Energy will reduce costs of WP Natural Gas, a division of The Washington Water Power Company (Wash. Water), the only other customer on the Medford Extension. In the January 12, 1995, Order the Commission approved a special deferred cost recovery account/rate mechanism for Wash. Water. PGT says that Wash. Water's obligations under the deferred cost recovery mechanism will be reduced and shortened in time from 30 years to 18 years. PGT says it will include this revenue crediting information in its annual reports/filings containing the adjusted Wash. Water rate and related information on any deferred balance.

PGT proposes to recover the cost of Medford Extension based upon a straight-line depreciation schedule which is equal to the length of the transportation agreements for each extension. For service to Wash. Water over the Medford Extension the term of the contracts is 30 years, (there are two contracts between PGT and Wash. Water). For service to Diamond Energy over the Medford Extension the term of the contract is 27 years. Diamond Energy would begin service in November, 1998, three years after Wash. Water begins service.

PGT states that copies of its amendment have been sent to all interested state regulatory agencies and all parties on the official service list in Docket No. CP93-618, *et al.* PGT asks for expeditious approval of its proposal by June 1, 1995, so that the Medford Extension can be in-service by November, 1995. Otherwise, it will withdraw its request to install the 22 miles of 16-inch pipe and only build the approved 12-inch pipeline extension.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said amendment should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,

825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before March 24, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to the proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules. However, any person who has already filed an intervention(s) in Docket No. CP93-618, *et al.*, need not file again.

**Lois D. Cashell**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-6455 Filed 3-15-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. ER94-1480-000, *et al.*]

**Louisville Gas & Electric Company, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings**

March 9, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

**1. Louisville Gas & Electric Company**

[Docket No. ER94-1480-000]

Take notice that on February 15, 1995, Louisville Gas & Electric Company tendered for filing an amendment in the above-referenced docket.

*Comment date:* March 23, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

**2. Electric Clearinghouse Inc.**

[Docket No. ER94-968-004]

Take notice that on March 6, 1995, Electric Clearinghouse Inc. (ECI) tendered for filing a revised summary of ECI's activity for the quarter ending December 31, 1994.

**3. Long Island Lighting Company**

[Docket No. ER94-1599-000]

Take notice that on March 3, 1995, Long Island Lighting Company (LILCO), tendered for filing an amendment to its filing in this docket.

Copies of this filing have been served by LILCO on Northeast Utilities Service Company and the New York State Public Service Commission.

*Comment date:* March 23, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

**4. Mid-American Resources, Inc.**

[Docket No. ER95-78-000]

Take notice that on February 27, 1995, Mid-American Resources, Inc. tendered for filing an amendment in the above-referenced docket.

*Comment date:* March 23, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

**5. Southern California Edison Company**

[Docket No. ER95-361-000]

Take notice that on February 24, 1995, Southern California Edison Company (Edison) submitted supplemental information regarding its filing in the above captioned docket.

Copies of this filing were served upon the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California and all interested parties.

*Comment date:* March 23, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

**6. Westcoast Power Marketing Inc.**

[Docket No. ER95-378-000]

Take notice that on February 21, 1995, Westcoast Power Marketing Inc. tendered for filing supplemental information to its January 3, 1995 filing in the above-referenced docket.

*Comment date:* March 23, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

**7. The Empire District Electric Company**

[Docket No. ER95-446-000]

Take notice that The Empire District Electric Company (EDE), on March 2, 1995, tendered for filing proposed changes in its Transmission Peaking Service Contract between EDE and the Kansas Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. (KEPCo).

This filing is to amend Docket No. ER95-446-000.

Copies of the filing were served upon the Arkansas Public Service Commission, Kansas Corporation Commission, the Missouri Public Service Commission, Oklahoma Corporation Commission, and KEPCo.

*Comment date:* March 23, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

**8. NorAm Energy Services, Inc.**

[Docket No. ER95-512-000]

Take notice that on February 27, 1995, NorAm Energy Services, Inc. tendered for filing a Notice of Withdrawal in the above-referenced docket.

*Comment date:* March 23, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

**9. New England Power Company**

[Docket No. ER95-554-000]

Take notice that on March 3, 1995, New England Power Company tendered for filing supplemental information to its February 6, 1995 filing in the above-referenced docket.

*Comment date:* March 23, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

**10. Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company**

[Docket No. ER95-644-000]

Take notice that on February 24, 1995, Oklahoma Gas and Purchase Company (OG&E) tendered for filing a Supplemental Power Purchase Agreement with the Oklahoma Municipal Power Authority (OMPA).

*Comment date:* March 23, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

**11. New England Power Company**

[Docket No. ER95-645-000]

Take notice that on February 24, 1995, New England Power Company (NEP) tendered for filing an amendment to its FERC Rate Schedule No. 439. NEP states that the effect of the amendment does not increase or decrease the rates to be charged.

*Comment date:* March 23, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

**12. PacifiCorp**

[Docket No. ER95-646-000]

Take notice that on February 24, 1995, PacifiCorp tendered for filing a Power Sales Agreement (Agreement) dated September 20, 1994 between PacifiCorp and City of Anaheim, California (Anaheim).

PacifiCorp requests an effective date of May 1, 1995 be assigned to the Agreement.

Copies of this filing were supplied to Anaheim, the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission and the Public Utility Commission of Oregon.

*Comment date:* March 23, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

**13. San Diego Gas & Electric Company**

[Docket No. ER95-647-000]

Take notice that on February 24, 1995, San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E) tendered for filing an Interchange Agreement (Agreement) between SDG&E and LG&E Power Marketing Inc., (LPM).

SDG&E requests that the Commission allow the Agreement to become effective