

*Porterville Recorder*, Porterville, California  
Greenhorn District Ranger decisions:  
*Porterville Recorder*, Porterville, California  
Hot Springs District Ranger decisions:  
*Porterville Recorder*, Porterville, California  
Hume Lake District Ranger decisions:  
*Porterville Recorder*, Porterville, California  
Tule River Ranger District decisions:  
*Porterville Recorder*, Porterville, California

#### Shasta-Trinity National Forest

Shasta-Trinity National Forest decisions:  
*Record Searchlight*, Redding, Shasta County, California  
Big Bar District Ranger decisions:  
*Record Searchlight*, Redding, Shasta County, California  
Hayfork District Ranger decisions:  
*Record Searchlight*, Redding, Shasta County, California  
McCloud District Ranger decisions:  
*Record Searchlight*, Redding, Shasta County, California  
Mount Shasta District Ranger decisions:  
*Record Searchlight*, Redding, Shasta County, California  
Shasta Lake District Ranger decisions:  
*Record Searchlight*, Redding, Shasta County, California  
Weaverville District Ranger decisions:  
*Record Searchlight*, Redding, Shasta County, California  
Yolla Bolla District Ranger decisions:  
*Record Searchlight*, Redding, Shasta County, California

#### Sierra National Forest

Sierra Forest Supervisor decisions:  
*Fresno Bee*, Fresno, California  
Kings River District Ranger decisions:  
*Fresno Bee*, Fresno, California  
Pineridge District Ranger decisions:  
*Fresno Bee*, Fresno, California  
Mariposa District Ranger decisions:  
*Fresno Bee*, Fresno, California  
Minarets District Ranger decisions:  
*Fresno Bee*, Fresno, California

#### Six Rivers National Forest

Six Rivers Forest Supervisor decisions:  
*Times Standard*, Eureka, California  
Gasquet District Ranger decisions:  
*Del Norte Triplicate*, Crescent City, California  
Lower Trinity District Ranger decisions:  
*The Kourier*, Willow Creek, California  
Mad River District Ranger decisions:  
*Times Standard*, Eureka, California  
Orleans District Ranger decisions:  
*The Kourier*, Willow Creek, California

#### Stanislaus National Forest

Stanislaus Forest Supervisor decisions:

*The Union Democrat*, Sonora, California  
Calaveras District Ranger decisions:  
*The Union Democrat*, Sonora, California  
Groveland District Ranger decisions:  
*The Union Democrat*, Sonora, California  
Mi-Wok District Ranger decisions:  
*The Union Democrat*, Sonora, California  
Summit District Ranger decisions:  
*The Union Democrat*, Sonora, California

#### Tahoe National Forest

Tahoe Forest Supervisor decisions:  
*Grass Valley Union*, Grass Valley, California  
Downville District Ranger decisions:  
*Mountain Messenger*, Downieville, California  
Foresthill District Ranger decisions:  
*Auburn Journal*, Auburn, California  
Nevada City District Ranger decisions:  
*Grass Valley Union*, Grass Valley, California  
Sierraville District Ranger decisions:  
*Mountain Messenger*, Downieville, California  
Newspapers providing additional notice of Sierraville decisions:  
*Sierra Booster*, Loyalton, California  
*Portola Recorder*, Portola, California  
Truckee District Ranger decisions:  
*Sierra Sun*, Truckee, Nevada County, California  
Newspaper providing additional notice of Truckee decisions:  
*Tahoe World*, Tahoe City, Placer County, California

Dated: March 8, 1995.

**James A. Lawrence**,  
Deputy Regional Forester.

[FR Doc. 95-6317 Filed 3-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-02-M

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

[Docket A(32b1)-1-95

#### Foreign-Trade Zones Board; Foreign-Trade Zone 84—Houston, Texas; Tuboscope Vetco International, Inc., (Steel Tubular Products), Harris County, Texas; Request for Modification of Restriction

A request has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) by the Port of Houston Authority, grantee of FTZ 84, pursuant to § 400.32(b)(1) of the Board's regulations for modification of the export-only restriction in FTZ Board Order 609 authorizing Subzone 84I at the Tuboscope Vetco International, Inc. (Tuboscope) plant in Harris County,

Texas. It was formally filed on February 8, 1995.

The FTZ Board approved subzone status for the Tuboscope steel tubular products inspection and coating facility in Harris County, Texas, in 1992 (Subzone 84I; Board Order 609; 57 FR 57729; 12/7/92). The approval was subject to a restriction that allowed the use of zone procedures for export activity only.

Tuboscope is now requesting that the export-only restriction be modified so that Customs duties can be deferred while the company conducts activity for the domestic market under zone procedures. The modified restriction would require the company to elect privileged foreign status on all merchandise admitted to the subzone. While the change would not result in significant new Customs savings, it would allow the company to operate the plant under a single inventory control system that meets Customs requirements. The request indicated that the use of zone procedures as proposed would help the facility maintain its international competitiveness.

Public comment on the proposal is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is May 1, 1995.

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at the following location: Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 3716, 14th and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Dated: March 6, 1995.

**John J. Da Ponte, Jr.**,  
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-6388 Filed 3-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### International Trade Administration

#### Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of initiation of antidumping and countervailing duty administrative reviews.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) has received requests to conduct administrative reviews of various antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings with February