

through a land border port; none were imported from Mexico through a land border port. Over 97 percent of these sheep and goats were wethers or were imported for immediate slaughter. Wethers and sheep and goats imported through land border ports for immediate slaughter continue to be exempt from the requirement for an import permit. Based on these numbers, we expect that only 3 percent of sheep and goats imported from Canada or Mexico through land border ports will be required to be accompanied by an import permit under this rule. There is no fee for the import permit.

Under these circumstances, the Administrator of the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service has determined that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

**Executive Order 12778**

This rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12778, Civil Justice Reform. This rule: (1) Preempts all State and local laws and regulations that are inconsistent with this rule; (2) has no retroactive effect; and (3) does not require administrative proceedings before parties may file suit in court challenging this rule.

**Paperwork Reduction Act**

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), the information collection or recordkeeping requirements included in this rule have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), and there are no new requirements. The assigned OMB control number is 0579-0040.

**List of Subjects in 9 CFR Part 92**

Animal diseases, Imports, Livestock, Poultry and poultry products, Quarantine, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Accordingly, 9 CFR part 92 is amended as follows:

**PART 92—IMPORTATION OF CERTAIN ANIMALS AND POULTRY AND CERTAIN ANIMAL AND POULTRY PRODUCTS; INSPECTION AND OTHER REQUIREMENTS FOR CERTAIN MEANS OF CONVEYANCE AND SHIPPING CONTAINERS THEREON**

1. The authority citation for part 92 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 1622; 19 U.S.C. 1306; 21 U.S.C. 102-105, 111, 114a, 134a, 134b, 134c, 134d, 134f, 135, 136, and 136a; 31 U.S.C. 9701; 7 CFR 2.17, 2.51, and 371.2(d).

2. Section 92.400 is amended by adding a definition for *Wether*, in alphabetical order, to read as follows:

**§ 92.400 Definitions.**

\* \* \* \* \*

*Wether.* A castrated male sheep or goat.

\* \* \* \* \*

3. Section 92.417 is amended as follows:

a. In paragraph (a), the introductory text is amended by adding, immediately before the colon at the end of the text, the word: "is".

b. Paragraphs (a)(1) and (a)(2) are redesignated as paragraphs (a)(3)(i) and (a)(3)(ii), respectively; and new paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(2), and (a)(3) are added to read as follows:

**§ 92.417 Import permit and declaration for ruminants.**

(a) \* \* \*

(1) A wether;

(2) A sheep or goat imported for immediate slaughter; or

(3) A ruminant other than a sheep or goat and that ruminant:

\* \* \* \* \*

4. Section 92.424 is amended as follows:

a. In paragraph (a), the introductory text is amended by adding, immediately before the colon at the end of the text, the word "is".

b. Paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(2), and (a)(3) are redesignated as paragraphs (a)(3)(i), (a)(3)(ii), and (a)(3)(iii), respectively; and new paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(2), and (a)(3) are added to read as follows:

**§ 92.424 Import permits and applications for inspection of ruminants.**

(a) \* \* \*

(1) A wether;

(2) A sheep or goat imported for immediate slaughter; or

(3) A ruminant other than a sheep or goat and that ruminant:

\* \* \* \* \*

Done in Washington, DC, this 10th day of March 1995.

**Terry L. Medley,**

*Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.*

[FR Doc. 95-6372 Filed 3-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-34-P

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

**Federal Aviation Administration**

**14 CFR Part 71**

[Airspace Docket No. 95-AWP-4]

**Alteration of Class D Airspace; Williams Air Force Base (AFB), AZ**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This action changes the name of the Class D airspace area to Williams Gateway, AZ. This alteration is necessary due to the closure of Williams AFB, AZ, and the renaming of the airport to Williams Gateway Airport, AZ. This action reflects the name change and title description of the Class D airspace area to Williams Gateway, AZ.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** 0901 UTC, May 25, 1995.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Scott Speer, System Management Specialist, System Management Branch, AWP-530, Air Traffic Division, Western-Pacific Region, Federal Aviation Administration, 15000 Aviation Boulevard, Lawndale, California 90261, telephone (310) 297-0010.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**The Rule**

This amendment to part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 71) changes the name of the Class D airspace area at Williams AFB, AZ, to the Class D airspace area at Williams Gateway, AZ. This alteration is necessary due to the closure of the Williams AFB, AZ, and the renaming of the airport to Williams Gateway Airport, AZ. This action reflects the name change and title description of the Class D airspace area to Williams Gateway, AZ. This action is editorial in nature. I find that notice and public procedure under 5 U.S.C. 553(b) are unnecessary, because this action is a minor technical amendment in which the public is not particularly interested. Class D airspace areas designations are published in paragraph 5000 of FAA Order 7500.9B dated July 18, 1994, and effective September 16, 1994, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class D airspace designation listed in this document will be published subsequently in this Order.

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are

necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore—(1) is not a “significant regulation action” under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a “significant rule” under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1970); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will not affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

**List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71**

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

**Adoption of the Amendment**

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

**PART 71—[AMENDED]**

1. The authority citation for 14 CFR 71 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. app. 1348(a), 1354(a), 1510; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389; 49 U.S.C. 106(g); 14 CFR 11.69.

**§ 71.1 [Amended]**

2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of the Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9B, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated July 18, 1994, and effective September 16, 1994, is amended as follows:

*Paragraph 5000 Class D Airspace*

\* \* \* \* \*

**AWP AZ D Williams Gateway, AZ [Revised]**

Williams Gateway Airport, AZ  
(Lat. 33°18'28" N, long. 111°39'19" W)

That airspace extending upward from the surface to and including 3,900 feet MSL within a 5-mile radius of the Williams Gateway Airport. This Class D airspace area is effective during the specific dates and times established in advance by a Notice to Airmen. The effective date and time will thereafter be continuously published in the Airport/Facility Director.

\* \* \* \* \*

Issues in Los Angeles, California, on March 3, 1995.

**Dennis T. Koehler,**

*Acting Manager, Air Traffic Division,  
Western-Pacific Region.*

[FR Doc. 95–6380 Filed 3–14–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

**COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION**

**17 CFR Part 1**

**Risk Assessment for Holding Company Systems; Correction**

**AGENCY:** Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

**ACTION:** Correction to final rules.

**SUMMARY:** This document contains a correction to the final rules which were published Wednesday, December 28, 1994 (59 FR 66674). The rules implemented the risk assessment authority set forth in Section 4f(c) of the Commodity Exchange Act and imposed reporting and recordkeeping requirements for certain registered futures commission merchants (“FCMs”).

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 15, 1995.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lawrence B. Patent, Associate Chief Counsel, or Lawrence T. Eckert, Attorney Adviser, Division of Trading and Markets, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, 2033 K Street N.W., Washington D.C. 20581. Telephone (202) 254–8955.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On December 28, 1994, the Commission published notice of the adoption of Rules 1.14 and 1.15 to implement the risk assessment authority set forth in Section 4f(c) of the Commodity Exchange Act. The rules generally require FCMs that are subject to the rules to maintain and file with the Commission certain information concerning their financial activities and the activities of their material affiliates.

Rule 1.15(a)(1) required that each FCM subject to the rule file copies of its organizational chart and financial, operational and risk management policies, procedures and systems “with the regional office with which it files periodic financial reports and with its designated self-regulatory organization \* \* \*” Rule 1.15(a)(2), however, required that an FCM’s consolidated and consolidating financial statements be filed only with “the regional office with which [the FCM] files periodic financial reports \* \* \*” The inclusion of a filing requirement with the FCM’s designated self-regulatory organization (“DSRO”) in Rule 1.15(a)(1) was inadvertent and is at odds with the Commission’s intent as discussed in the preamble to the **Federal Register** release accompanying the risk assessment rules. In discussing the degree of confidentiality to be afforded

information filed by FCMs with the Commission under the risk assessment rules, and in particular the issue of whether risk assessment information should be made available to self-regulatory organizations, the Commission stated that “[t]he Commission recognizes the sensitivity of certain information required to be reported under these rules [and] \* \* \* plans to make the information reported to it available only on an as-needed basis, as determined in its sole discretion.”<sup>1</sup> Because the inclusion of a requirement that FCMs file certain information with their DSROs was not intended, the Commission is publishing this notice deleting from Rule 1.15(a)(1) and (a)(4) the references to a filing requirement with FCMs’ DSROs.

**Need for Correction**

As published, the final rules contain errors which may prove to be misleading and are in need of clarification.

**List of Subjects in 17 CFR Part 1**

Commodity futures consumer protection, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

**Correction of Publication**

Accordingly, the publication on December 28, 1994 of the final rules (59 FR 66674) which were the subject of FR Doc. 94–31828, is corrected as follows:

**PART 1—[CORRECTED]**

On pages 66690 and 66691 paragraphs (a)(1) introductory text and (a)(4) of § 1.15 are corrected to read as follows:

**§ 1.15 Risk assessment reporting requirements for futures commission merchants.**

(a) *Reporting requirements with respect to information required to be maintained by § 1.14.* (1) Each futures commission merchant registered with the Commission pursuant to Section 4d of the Act, unless exempt pursuant to paragraph (c) of this section, shall file the following with the regional office with which it files periodic financial reports by no later than April 30, 1995, provided that in the case of a futures commission merchant whose registration becomes effective after December 31, 1994, such futures commission merchant shall file the following within 60 calendar days after the effective date of such registration, or by April 30, 1995, whichever comes later:

\* \* \* \* \*

<sup>1</sup> 59 FR 66674, 66687 (December 28, 1994).