

Company, Mississippi Power Company, and Savannah Electric and Power company (the "Southern Companies") tendered for filing amendments to various unit power sale and/or transmission service agreements between Southern Companies and various neighboring electric utilities. The purpose of the amendments is to ensure the required adoption of SFAS No. 109 will have no effect on billings under the agreements.

Comment date: March 22, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

9. Gulf Power Company

[Docket No. ER95-665-000]

Take notice that on March 1, 1995, Gulf Power Company filed a letter agreement dated January 6, 1995 revising the contract executed by the United States of America, Department of Energy, acting by and through the Southeastern Power Administration and Gulf Power Company. The letter agreement extends the term of the existing Contract until the effective date of new arrangements or the filing of a notice of termination, whichever occurs first.

Comment date: March 22, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

10. Southern California Edison Company

[Docket No. ER95-666-000]

Take notice that Southern California Edison Company on March 1, 1995, tendered for filing a Notice of Cancellation of FERC Rate Schedule Nos. 247.27, 248.27 and 249.27.

Comment date: March 22, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

11. Tampa Electric Company

Docket No. ER95-667-000

Take notice that on March 1, 1995, Tampa Electric Company (Tampa Electric) tendered for filing an agreement to provide non-firm transmission service for Enron Power Marketing, Inc. (Enron).

Tampa Electric proposes that the agreement be made effective on the earlier of the date it is accepted for filing or April 30, 1995, and therefore requests waiver of the Commission's notice requirement.

Copies of the filing have been served on Enron and the Florida Public Service Commission.

Comment date: March 22, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

12. Unitol Power Corporation

[Docket No. ER95-668-000]

Take notice that on March 1, 1995, Unitol Power Corporation tendered for filing a Notice of Cancellation of FERC Rate Schedule No. 2.

Comment date: March 22, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

13. Exeter & Hampton Electric Company

[Docket No. ER95-669-000]

Take notice that on March 1, 1995, Exeter & Hampton Electric Company tendered for filing a Notice of Cancellation of FERC Rate Schedule No. 1.

Comment date: March 22, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-6311 Filed 3-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Project No. 11322-000 California]

Tuolumne Utilities District; Availability of Draft Environmental Assessment

March 9, 1995.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) regulations, 18 CFR Part 380 (Order No. 486, 52 F.R. 47897), the Office of Hydropower Licensing has reviewed the application for a minor license for the Columbia Water Supply Hydroelectric Project, located near the town of Sonora, in Tuolumne County, California, and has prepared a Draft Environmental Assessment (DEA) for the project. In the

DEA, the Commission's staff has analyzed the potential future environmental impacts of the project and has concluded that approval of the project, with appropriate environmental protection and enhancement measures, would not constitute a major federal action that would significantly affect quality of the human environment.

Copies of the DEA are available for review in the Public Reference Branch, Room 3104, of the Commission's offices at 941 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426.

Any comments should be filed within 30 days from the date of this notice and should be addressed to Lois D. Cashell, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 925 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. For further information, contact Michael Strzelecki, Environmental Coordinator, at (202) 219-2827.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-6305 Filed 3-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP94-793-001, et al.]

Southern Natural Gas Company, et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings

March 8, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Southern Natural Gas Company

[Docket No. CP94-793-001]

Take notice that on February 23, 1995, as amended on February 27, 1995, Southern Natural Gas Company (Southern), Post Office Box 2563, Birmingham, Alabama 35202-2563, filed in Docket No. CP94-793-001 an amendment to the request filed by Southern on September 22, 1994, in Docket No. CP94-793-000 pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.211) for authorization to construct and operate a delivery point for service to International Paper under Southern's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-406-000. Southern hereby requests authorization to construct the delivery point facilities authorized under Southern's Part 157 Blanket Certificate in the above-referenced proceeding to serve Alabama Gas Corporation (Alagasco) instead of International Paper, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Southern states that the construction of the facilities proposed in Docket No.

CP94-793-000 was automatically authorized under Southern's Blanket Certificate pursuant to Section 157.206 of the Commission's Regulations on November 15, 1994. In the Amendment to the Request, Southern states that it proposes to construct and operate the measurement and other facilities at the delivery point to deliver gas to Alagasco instead of directly to International Paper at International Paper's Riverdale plant in Dallas County, Alabama. Southern states that the location and siting of the facilities, the configuration of the facilities, the estimated cost of the facilities and the projected load to be served all remains the same as filed in the Request. Further, Southern states that the only change to the Request is that Southern will serve Alagasco at the delivery point by providing Alagasco with transportation service under its Rate Schedule IT as set forth in its FERC Gas Tariff.

Comment date: April 24, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

2. NorAm Gas Transmission Company

[Docket No. CP95-225-000]

Take notice that on February 24, 1995, NorAm Gas Transmission Company (NGT), 1600 Smith Street, Houston, Texas 77002, filed in Docket No. CP95-225-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.216) for authorization to abandon certain facilities in Oklahoma under NorAm's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-384-000 and CP82-384-001 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

NorAm proposes to abandon in place and transfer by sale to Arkla (Arkla), a division of NorAm Energy Corp, at an estimated net book value of \$80,732.78, Lines ADM-8 and ADM-9 which are located in Pontotoc County, Oklahoma. Line ADM-8 is 13,700 feet in length of 2-inch pipe extending from Section 4, Township 4N, Range 5E to Section 16, Township 4N, Range 5E and serves 12 Arkla customers. NGT proposes to abandon the entire line in place from station 0+00 to 137+00. Line ADM-9 is 6,402 feet in length of 2-inch pipe extending from Section 4, Township 4N, Range 5E to Section 11, Township 4N, Range 5E and serves 13 Arkla customers. NGT proposes to abandon the entire line in place from station 0+00 to 64+02. After the transfer by sale, Arkla will operate these two lines and incorporate them into its distribution

system thus continuing service to its 25 existing rural domestic customers. No customers or service will be abandoned as a result of the facilities transfer.

Lines ADM-8 and ADM-9 were originally constructed in 1930 as gas supply lines and through a merger NGT acquired them from Southwest Natural Gas Company, they were later certificated in Docket No. CP62-216. Production has since depleted on these two lines.

Comment date: April 24, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

3. Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company

[Docket No. CP95-233-000]

Take notice that on March 1, 1995, Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company (Williston Basin), 200 North Third Street, Suite 300, Bismarck, North Dakota 58501, filed in Docket No. CP95-233-000 an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, requesting authorization to construct and operate approximately 13.4 miles of replacement mainline in Sheridan and Johnson Counties, Wyoming, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, Williston Basin states that it proposes to replace approximately 13.4 miles of the 8-inch Billy Creek-Sheridan transmission line beginning in Johnson County, Wyoming and terminating in Sheridan County, Wyoming. It is averred that the Billy Creek-Sheridan line was installed in 1930 and that severe corrosion and leaks have been found throughout the line. Williston Basin further states that this proposal represents the final replacement portion of the Billy Creek-Sheridan line. Williston Basin states that environmental and safety considerations has prompted it to relocate approximately 40 percent of the replacement line outside of its existing right-of-way. It is stated that the proposed replacement line will enable Williston Basin to maintain a safe and reliable gas transmission pipeline to the cities of Buffalo and Sheridan, Wyoming.

It is estimated that the cost of the proposed replacement facilities for this project will be approximately \$1,133,950, which Williston Basin proposes to finance through internally generated funds and/or interim short term bank loans.

Comment date: March 29, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

4. Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation

[Docket No. CP95-240-000]

Take notice that on March 2, 1995, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (CGT), PO Box 1273, 1700 MacCorkle Avenue, S.E., Charleston, West Virginia 26031, filed in an abbreviated application pursuant to Section 7(c) and 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing CGT to construct and operate approximately 12.1 miles of 24-inch pipeline, replacing approximately 11 miles of deteriorating bare, coupled 20-inch pipeline in two segments. The proposal will permit the replacement of certain deteriorating facilities as well as the provision of additional firm transportation services to WV Power and Westvaco under CGT's Part 284 Blanket Certificate.

Specifically, CGT is requesting authorization in this application for the following:

1a. The construction and operation of approximately 7.0 miles of 24-inch pipeline replacing approximately 6.4 miles of 20-inch pipeline designated as CGT's Line KA and a 0.1 mile extension of 8-inch Line KA-26 in Wyoming County, West Virginia.

b. The transfer of approximately 0.7 mile of the 20-inch Line KA being replaced in Segment 1a above to low pressure transmission service.

c. Abandonment of the remaining approximately 5.7 miles of 20-inch Line KA being replaced as described in Segment 1a above.

2a. The construction and operation of approximately 5.1 miles of 24-inch pipeline to replace approximately 4.6 miles of 20-inch pipeline designated as Line KA, and a 0.1 mile extension of 3-inch Line KA-14, both in Wyoming and Raleigh Counties, West Virginia, and

b. Abandonment of the 4.6 miles of 20-inch pipeline being replaced as described in Segment 2a., all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The construction cost is estimated by CGT to be \$12,541,000. WV Power and Westvaco have agreed to pay a portion (\$300,294) of the incremental costs associated with increasing the pipe size to 24-inch.

Comment date: March 28, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

5. Florida Gas Transmission Company

[Docket No. CP95-241-000]

Take notice that on March 3, 1995, Florida Gas Transmission Company (FGT), 1400 Smith Street, Houston,

Texas 77002, filed in Docket No. CP95-241-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.216) for permission and approval to abandon and remove a meter station and any related appurtenant facilities, located in San Patricio County, Texas. FGT makes such request, under its blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-553-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

FGT states that it is proposing to abandon facilities which once served as a point of measurement for natural gas which bypassed the Warren processing plant. It is stated that the Warren plant has not been used for some time and is not expected to be used in the future. The estimated cost of removal is \$15,800 with an estimated salvage value of \$15,825.

It is averred that the proposed activity is not prohibited by FGT's existing tariff and that it has sufficient capacity to continue all services without detriment or disadvantage to FGT's firm customers.

Comment date: April 24, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

6. Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company

[Docket No. CP95-242-000]

Take notice that on March 3, 1995, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee), P.O. Box 2511, Houston, Texas 77252, filed in Docket No. CP95-242-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.212) for authorization to establish a new delivery point under Tennessee's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-413-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Tennessee proposes to establish an additional delivery point in order to properly meter expanded volumes of up to 6,000 Dekatherms per day for Central Gas Company (Central Gas), a subsidiary of Alabama-Tennessee Natural Gas Company. Tennessee states that it would install a 3-inch meter and associated piping adjacent to an existing delivery meter (Meter No. 2-0431) located at M.P. 554-1+1.10 on the 500-1 Line and M.P. 554-3+1.10 on the 500-3 Line in Lauderdale County, Alabama.¹

Tennessee explains that the additional meter is necessary to handle gas measurement on peak days. Tennessee further states that while the increased deliveries of gas to Central Gas would be through release capacity, IT, or authorized overruns, the total quantities to be delivered for Central Gas' account after establishment of the new delivery meter would not exceed the total quantities authorized prior to this request. Tennessee states that it would be reimbursed for the cost of the project, estimated to be \$27,446.

Comment date: April 24, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs

F. Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before the comment date, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate and/or permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance

of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-6310 Filed 3-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket No. CP95-237-000]

Greeley Gas Company; Application for Service Area Determination

March 9, 1995.

Take notice that on March 2, 1995, Greeley Gas Company (Greeley), a Division of Atmos Energy Corporation, Three Lincoln Centre, 5430 LBJ Freeway, Dallas, Texas 75265, filed in Docket No. CP95-237-000, an application pursuant to Section 7(f) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for a service area determination for its Lamar System in the states of Colorado and Kansas, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Greeley states that its Lamar System serves consumers in approximately six towns in southwest Kansas and 16 towns in southeast Colorado, as well as certain irrigation customers and right-of-way grantors. Greeley submits that grant of this application would assist Greeley to maximize gas cost benefits and enhance supply options for its Lamar System customers.

Greeley asserts its Lamar System meets the Commission's criteria for granting a service area determination. Greeley states the following: All of its sales are regulated by either the Colorado or the Kansas public service commission; there are no sales for resale on the Lamar System, only retail sales and transportation for other customers; the Lamar System is essentially one integrated local distribution system with certain gathering areas; the system is limited to a specific geographical area in rural Kansas and Colorado, and connects to only one interstate pipeline, Colorado Interstate Gas Company; and

¹ See 34 FPC 452 (1965).