

claim for duties on softwood lumber from Canada. This compromise resolved all remaining claims of the United States arising from the countervailing duty order on softwood lumber from Canada.

Since there is no further basis for conducting administrative reviews of the countervailing duty order on softwood lumber from Canada, the Department is terminating the administrative review for the period March 12, 1992 through March 31, 1993, and will not initiate the administrative review for the period April 1, 1993 through March 16, 1994.

Dated: March 7, 1995.

Paul L. Joffe,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 95-6256 Filed 3-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-834-802]

Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Investigation on Uranium From Kazakhstan

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Amendment to the Agreement Between the United States Department of Commerce and the Republic of Kazakhstan Suspending the Antidumping Investigation on Uranium from Kazakhstan.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) and the Republic of Kazakhstan (Kazakhstan) have signed an Amendment (the Amendment) to the Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Investigation on Uranium from Kazakhstan (the Agreement).

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 7, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James Doyle or Maureen Price, Office of Agreements Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0172 or (202) 482-0159, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 16, 1992, the Department and Kazakhstan signed the Agreement and, on October 30, 1992, the Agreement was published in the **Federal Register** (57 FR 49220, 49222). On November 9, 1994, the Department and Kazakhstan initialled an Amendment to include highly enriched

uranium (HEU) within the product coverage of the Agreement. The Department subsequently released the Amendment to interested parties for comment. After careful consideration by the Department of the comments submitted and further consultations between the parties, the Department and Kazakhstan signed a final Amendment on February 7, 1995. The text of the final Amendment follows this notice.

Date: March 6, 1995.

Paul L. Joffe,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Amendment to the Agreement Between the United States Department of Commerce and the Republic of Kazakhstan Suspending the Antidumping Investigation on Uranium from Kazakhstan

The United States Department of Commerce and the Republic of Kazakhstan hereby amend their Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Investigation on Uranium from Kazakhstan, signed October 16, 1992, as follows:

Section III, "Product Coverage," is amended to include the following paragraph:

Highly enriched uranium ("HEU") is within the scope of this investigation, and HEU is covered by this Agreement. For the purpose of this Agreement, HEU means uranium enriched to 20 percent or greater in the isotope uranium-235, whether in the form of metal, uranium oxide, fuel rods, or powder.

Section IV is amended to include the following paragraph L:

L.1. Exports of HEU pursuant to the Purchase Agreement Between the Government of the United States of America and the Republic of Kazakhstan, completed November 17, 1994 ("Purchase Agreement") will not be counted against the export limits established in accordance with paragraph C of this section. The disposition of the HEU is in the public interest because: (1) the HEU or products from it will be processed or delivered by the United States Department of Energy, its governmental successors, its contractors, assigns, or U.S. private parties acting in a manner not inconsistent with the Purchase Agreement; (2) any utility-owned uranium products delivered pursuant to enrichment contracts affected by the purchase of HEU or HEU products will not be resold in the United States, either as natural uranium or as low enriched uranium ("LEU") produced in excess of the contractually-specified amount; (3) contracts for the purchase of HEU or

HEU products from Kazakhstan will be provided to the Department; (4) annual summaries of utilization of HEU and HEU products and associated utility feed will be provided to the Department; and (5) the Department determines that permitting importation of all or any portion of the HEU in question is consistent with the purposes of this Agreement.

2. Exports of HEU must be accompanied by an export certificate endorsed by the Republic of Kazakhstan specifying the amount of the export.

This Amendment constitutes an integral part of the Agreement Between the United States Department of Commerce and the Republic of Kazakhstan Suspending the Antidumping Investigation on Uranium from Kazakhstan.

Signed on this 7th day of February, 1995.

For the Republic of Kazakhstan:

Tuleutai S. Suleimenov,

Ambassador to the United States.

For the United States Department of Commerce:

Paul L. Joffe,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 95-6257 Filed 3-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 33510-DS-P

Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be filed within 20 days with the Statutory Import Programs Staff, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 95-008. *Applicant:* U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Ave., SW, Washington, DC 20585. *Instrument:* Fuel Cell. *Manufacturer:* Fuji Electric Company, Japan. *Intended Use:* The instrument will be used to convert hydrogen and oxygen into electrical power during studies of the use of a phosphoric acid fuel cell to propel an urban transit bus.

Application Accepted by Commissioner of Customs: February 2, 1995.

Docket Number: 95-009. *Applicant:* University of Texas at Austin, Center for Space Research, WRW 402, Campus Code C0605, Austin, TX 78712.

Instrument: Precise Range and Range-rate Equipment Satellite Tracking Ground Station. *Manufacturer:* Dornier GmbH, Germany. *Intended Use:* The instrument will be used to provide ground based tracking support for European Space Agency and Earth-Remote Sensing Satellite. Knowing the precise location of the ERS-2 satellite, the satellite measurements can be accurately reduced to study climate and environmental related phenomenon.

Application Accepted by Commissioner of Customs: February 9, 1995.

Docket Number: 95-010. *Applicant:* Skidaway Institute of Oceanography, University System of Georgia, 10 Ocean Science Circle, Savannah, GA 31411.

Instrument: Laser Ablation Accessory, Electrothermal Vaporization System, Desolvating Nebulizer. *Manufacturer:* Fisons, United Kingdom. *Intended Use:* The instruments are accessories to an existing mass spectrometer used to enhance trace metal analysis capabilities when investigating water, sediment, tissue and any type of solid sample. *Application Accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* February 14, 1995.

Docket Number: 95-011. *Applicant:* Columbia University in the City of New York, Biological Sciences, 500 Fairchild Bldg., New York, NY 10027. *Instrument:* High Energy Xenon Flashlamp System, Model XF-10. *Manufacturer:* Hi-Tech Scientific, United Kingdom. *Intended Use:* The instrument will be used for flash photolysis of "caged" compounds including caged calcium, a technique used to examine the effect of local elevations of calcium in muscle cells on transmitter release from neurons which synapse on that muscle cell. These experiments are conducted with the hope of achieving a more complete understanding of how transmitter is released and how this release is controlled. *Application Accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* February 14, 1995.

Frank Creel

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff
[FR Doc. 95-6262 Filed 3-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-F

University of Colorado at Boulder, et al.; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Comments: None received. *Decision:* Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as each is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States.

Docket Number: 94-118. *Applicant:* University of Colorado at Boulder, Boulder, CO 80309. *Instrument:* Microvolume Stopped-flow Spectrometer, Model SX.17MV. *Manufacturer:* Applied Photophysics, United Kingdom. *Intended Use:* See notice at 59 FR 52958, October 20, 1994. *Reasons:* The foreign instrument provides: (1) pneumatic syringe drive, (2) computer acquisition of time-resolved emission spectra and (3) analysis of samples as small as 25 µl. *Advice Received From:* The National Institutes of Health, January 9, 1995.

Docket Number: 94-120. *Applicant:* Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, IL 60115. *Instrument:* Microvolume Stopped-Flow Spectrofluorimeter, Model SX.17MV. *Manufacturer:* Applied Photophysics Ltd., United Kingdom. *Intended Use:* See notice at 59 FR 52958, October 20, 1994. *Reasons:* The foreign instrument provides: (1) time resolution of 0.01 ms with 0.85 ms dead time and (2) detection of small absorbance changes at high absorbance values. *Advice Received From:* The National Institutes of Health, January 9, 1995.

The National Institutes of Health advises that (1) the capabilities of each of the foreign instruments described above are pertinent to each applicant's intended purpose and (2) they know of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value for the intended use of each instrument.

We know of no other instrument or apparatus being manufactured in the United States which is of equivalent scientific value to either of the foreign instruments.

Frank Creel

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff
[FR Doc. 95-6263 Filed 3-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-F

U.S. Bureau of Mines, et al.; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Electron Microscopes

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 94-143. *Applicant:* U.S. Bureau of Mines, Denver, CO 80225. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope, Model CM200. *Manufacturer:* Philips, The Netherlands. *Intended Use:* See notice at 60 FR 442, January 4, 1995. *Order Date:* August 25, 1994.

Docket Number: 94-147. *Applicant:* Wayne State University, Detroit, MI 48201. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope, Model JEM-1010. *Manufacturer:* JEOL, Japan. *Intended Use:* See notice at 60 FR 3394, January 17, 1995. *Order Date:* October 24, 1994.

Docket Number: 94-152. *Applicant:* University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22903. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope, Model JEM 2010F. *Manufacturer:* JEOL, Japan. *Intended Use:* See notice at 60 FR 3394, January 17, 1995. *Order Date:* June 29, 1994.

Comments: None received. *Decision:* Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as these instruments are intended to be used, was being manufactured in the United States at the time the instruments were ordered. *Reasons:* Each foreign instrument is a conventional transmission electron microscope (CTEM) and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring a CTEM. We know of no CTEM, or any other instrument suited to these purposes, which was being manufactured in the United States either at the time of order of each instrument or at the time of receipt of application by the U.S. Customs Service.

Frank Creel

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff
[FR Doc. 95-6260 Filed 3-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-F

University of California, Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational,