

Constitution Avenue, N.W.,
Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 94-100. *Applicant:* University of Massachusetts Dartmouth, N. Dartmouth, MA 02747. *Instrument:* Pneumatic Drive Accessory for stopped-flow kinetics apparatus, Model Opt.12P. *Manufacturer:* Hi-Tech Scientific, United Kingdom. *Intended Use:* See notice at 59 FR 49645, September 29, 1994.

Comments: None received. *Decision:* Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States.

Reasons: This is a compatible accessory for an existing instrument purchased for the use of the applicant. The National Institutes of Health advises in its memorandum dated January 9, 1995, that the accessory is pertinent to the intended uses and that it knows of no comparable domestic accessory.

We know of no domestic accessory which can be readily adapted to the existing instrument.

Frank Creel

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff
[FR Doc. 95-6265 Filed 3-13-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-F

COMMISSION ON IMMIGRATION REFORM

Phoenix Roundtables

AGENCY: Commission on Immigration Reform.

ACTION: Announcement of Commission Roundtables.

This notice announces a roundtable to be held by the U.S. Commission on Immigration Reform in Phoenix, AZ on March 22, 1995. The Commission, created by Section 141 of the Immigration Act of 1990, is mandated to review the Implementation and impact of U.S. Immigration policy and report its findings to Congress. An interim report, "U.S. Immigration Policy: Restoring Credibility," was issued on September 30, 1994; the final report is due in 1997.

The roundtable participants will include the Commissioners, researchers, government officials, representatives of local organizations, and other experts. The roundtable will examine immigration as a contributor to population change and the resulting effects on services, the economy and natural resources. This subject will be examined from both the local and national perspectives.

DATES: March 22, 1995.

TIMES: 9:00 AM-1:00 PM (Impact on Population Change).

ADDRESSES: Sheraton Mesa Hotel, 200 North Centennial Way, Mesa, AZ 85201, 602-898-8300.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: Paul Donnelly (202) 673-5348.

Dated: March 7, 1995.
Susan Martin,
Executive Director.
[FR Doc. 95-6151 Filed 3-13-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6820-97-M

CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

Notice of Information collection request

AGENCY: Corporation for National and Community Service.

ACTION: Information Collection Request submitted to the Federal Office of Management and Budget (FOMB) for review.

SUMMARY: This notice provides information about a data collection proposal by AmeriCorps *National Civilian Community Corps (A*NCCC) currently under review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). The revised AmeriCorps*NCCC Team Leader Application is a document, based on the previously approved AmeriCorps Leaders application (OMB Approval No. 3045-0005). It is to be used for the purpose of screening applicants in the recruitment process for AmeriCorps*NCCC Team Leaders. The revisions are as follows:
A. Page One, section I., "Personal Information", was expanded to include a section requesting the applicant's preferred campus location on which to serve.

B. Page One, section III., "National and Community Service Background," was redesigned with the, "Skills and Employment History," title. This section requests Team Leader applicants complete the following "Skills Self-Assessment" and to attach a resume-type document which includes a listing of professional experience and service organizations with whom the applicant has worked.

C. The section IV., "Skills in National Service Priority Areas," was replaced with a "Skills Self-Assessment".

D. The applicant reference form was expanded to include a skills assessment from the reference. The skills assessment form works with the same list as the self-assessment form.

E. The section VII., "Legal" was added to request from applicants

information on existence of criminal convictions or adjudications.

DATES: An expedited review has been requested in accordance with the Act, since allowing for the normal review period would adversely affect the public interest. OMB and AmeriCorps*NCCC will consider comments on the proposed collection of information and record keeping requirements on or before April 13, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Fred Peters, Deputy Director, AmeriCorps*NCCC, 1201 New York Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20525.

SEND COMMENTS TO BOTH: Dan Chenok, Desk Officer for Corporation for National Service, Office of Management and Budget, 3002 New Executive Office ¹ Bldg., Washington, D.C. 20503.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Peters (202) 606-5000 ext. 102.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3517 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 3517) requires that the Director of OMB provide interested Federal agencies and persons an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests.

Office of Action Issuing Proposal: AmeriCorps*NCCC.

Title of Forms: AmeriCorps*NCCC Team Leader Application.

Needs and Use: AmeriCorps*NCCC is requesting information to meet requirements of federal law. This information is used for program management, planning, and required record keeping.

Type of Request: Submission of a new collection.

Respondent's Obligation to Reply: Required to receive benefits.

Frequency of Collection: On occasion.
Estimated Number of Responses: 500.

Average Burden Hours Per Response: 2 hours (reporting and record keeping).

Estimated Annual Reporting or Disclosure Burden: 1,000 hours.

Regulatory Authority: 1990 National Service Act (as amended).

Dated: March 7, 1995.

Lew R. Heffner,

*Deputy Director, AmeriCorps*NCCC.*

[FR Doc. 95-6165 Filed 3-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6050-28-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army

U.S. Army Command and General Staff College Advisory Committee

AGENCY: U.S. Army Command and General Staff College, DOD.

ACTION: Meeting Notice.

In accordance with section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463) announcement is made of the following committee meeting.

Name: U.S. Army Command and General Staff College (CGSC) Advisory Committee.

Date: 5-7 April 1995.

Place: Bell Hall, Room 113, Fort Leavenworth, Kansas 66027-6900.

Time: 1700-2200—5 April 1995, 0730-2100—6 April 1995, 0730-1400—7 April 1995.

Proposed Agenda: 1700-2200, 5 April: Review of CGSC educational program. 0730-2100, 6 April: Continuation of review. 0730-1030, 7 April: Continuation of review. 1030-1130, 7 April: Executive Session. 1300-1400, 7 April: Report to Commandant

The purpose of the meeting is for the Advisory Committee to examine the entire range of college operations and, where appropriate, to provide advice and recommendations to the College Commandant and faculty.

The meeting will be open to the public to the extent that space limitations of the meeting location permit. Because of these limitations, interested parties are requested to reserve space by contacting the Committee's Executive Secretary: Philip J. Brooks, USACGSC Advisory Committee, Bell Hall, Room 123, Fort Leavenworth, Kansas 66027-6900; Phone: (913) 684-2741.

Kenneth L. Denton,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 95-6180 Filed 3-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-08-M

Corps of Engineers

Regulatory Guidance Letters Issued by the Corps of Engineers

AGENCY: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The purpose of this notice is to provide current Regulatory Guidance Letters (RGL's) to all interested parties. RGL's are used by the Corps Headquarters as a means to transmit guidance on the permit program (33 CFR 320-330) to its division and district engineers (DE's). Each future RGL will be published in the Notice Section of the **Federal Register** as a means to insure the widest dissemination of this information while reducing costs to the Federal Government. The Corps no longer maintains a mailing list to furnish copies of the RGL's to the public.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Ralph Eppard, Regulatory Branch, Office of the Chief of Engineers at (202) 272-1783.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: RGL's were developed by the Corps of Engineers as a system to organize and

track written guidance issued to its field agencies. RGL's are normally issued as a result of evolving policy; judicial decisions and changes to the Corps regulations or another agency's regulations which affect the permit program. RGL's are used only to interpret or clarify existing regulatory program policy, but do provide mandatory guidance to Corps district offices. RGL's are sequentially numbered and expire on a specified date. However, unless superseded by specific provisions of subsequently issued regulations or RGL's, the guidance provided in RGL's generally remains valid after the expiration date. The Corps incorporates most of the guidance provided by RGL's whenever it revises its permit regulations. There were two RGL's issued by the Corps during 1994, and both were published in the Notice Section of the **Federal Register** upon issuance. We are hereby publishing all current RGL's, beginning with RGL 91-1 and ending with RGL 94-2. We will continue to publish each RGL in the Notice Section of the **Federal Register** upon issuance and in early 1996, we will again publish the complete list of all current RGL's.

Dated: February 6, 1995.

James E. Crews,

Acting Chief, Operations, Construction and Readiness Division, Directorate of Civil Works.

Regulatory Guidance Letter (RGL 91-1)

RGL 91-1 DATE: Dec 31, 1991 EXPIRES: Dec 31, 1996

SUBJECT: Extensions of Time For Individual Permit Authorizations

1. The purpose of this guidance is to provide clarification for district and division offices relating to extensions of time for Department of the Army permits (See 33 CFR 325.6).

2. *General:* A permittee is informed of the time limit for completing an authorized activity by General Condition #1 of the standard permit form (ENG Form 1721). This condition states that a request for an extension of time should be submitted to the authorizing official at least one month prior to the expiration date. This request should be in writing and should explain the basis of the request. The DE may consider an oral request from the permittee provided it is followed up with a written request prior to the expiration date. A request for an extension of time will usually be granted unless the DE determines that the time extension would be contrary to the public interest. The one month submittal requirement is a workload management time limit designed to prevent permittees from filing last minute time extension requests. Obviously, the one month period is not sufficient to make a final decision on all time extension requests that are processed in accordance with 33 CFR 325.2. It should be noted that a permittee may choose to request a time extension sooner than this (e.g., six

months prior to the expiration date). While there is no formal time limit of this nature, a request for an extension of time should generally not be considered by the DE more than one year prior to the expiration date. A permit will automatically expire if an extension is not requested and granted prior to the applicable expiration date (See 33 CFR 325.6(d)).

3. *Requests for Time Extensions Prior to Expiration:* For requests of time extensions received prior to the expiration date, the DE should consider the following procedures if a decision on the request cannot be completed prior to the permit expiration date:

(a) The DE may grant an interim time extension while a final decision is being made; or

(b) The DE may, when appropriate, suspend the permit at the same time that an interim time extension is granted, while a final decision is being made.

4. *Requests for Time Extensions After Expiration:* A time extension cannot be granted if a time extension request is received after the applicable time limit. In such cases, a new permit application must be processed, if the permittee wishes to pursue the work. However, the DE may consider expedited processing procedures when: (1) The request is received shortly (generally 30 days) after the expiration date, (2) the DE determines that there have been no substantial changes in the attendant circumstances since the original authorization was issued, and (3) the DE believes that the time extension would likely have been granted. Expedited processing procedures may include, but are not limited to, not requiring that a new application form be submitted or issuing a 15 day public notice.

5. This guidance expires 31 December 1996 unless sooner revised or rescinded.

For the Director of Civil Works:

John P. Elmore, P.E.,

Chief, Operations, Construction and Readiness Division Directorate of Civil Works.

Regulatory Guidance Letter (RGL 92-1)

RGL 92-1 Date: 13 May 1992, Expires: 31 December 1997

Subject: Federal Agencies Roles and Responsibilities.

1. *Purpose:* The purpose of this guidance is to clarify the Army Corps of Engineers leadership and decision-making role as "project manager" for the evaluation of permit applications pursuant to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (CWA) and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act. This guidance is also intended to encourage effective and efficient coordination among prospective permittees, the Corps, and the Federal resource agencies (i.e., Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS), and National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS)). Implementation of this guidance will help to streamline the permit process by minimizing delays and ensuring more timely decisions, while providing a meaningful opportunity for substantive input from all Federal agencies.

2. *Background:* (a) The Department of the Army Regulatory Program must operate in an