

the Forms CE-63A/B, "Annual Solar Thermal Collector Manufacturers Survey" and "Annual Photovoltaic Module/Cell Manufacturers Survey."

DATES: Written comments must be submitted by April 13, 1995. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact person listed below of your intention to do so as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to Peter Holihan, EI-522, Energy Information Administration, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. 20585. Phone: (202) 254-5432.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the form and instructions should be directed to Peter Holihan at the address listed above.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

- I. Background
- II. Current Actions
- III. Request for Comments

I. Background

In order to fulfill its responsibilities under the Federal Energy Administration Act of 1974 (Pub. L. 93-275) and the Department of Energy Organization Act (Pub. L. 95-91), the Energy Information Administration is obliged to carry out a comprehensive, and integrated energy data and information program for the collection, evaluation, assembly, analysis, and dissemination of data and information relating to energy resource reserves, production, demand, technology and relevant economic and statistical information relating to the adequacy of energy resources to meet demands in the near and longer term future for the Nation's economic and social needs.

Form CE-63A collects data on solar thermal collector shipments, solar thermal systems, and solar-related manufacturing, marketing, and geographic information from companies in the United States that are engaged in manufacturing or importing solar thermal collectors. Solar thermal shipments are in terms of square feet.

Form CE-63B collects data on photovoltaic cell and module shipments, photovoltaic systems, and photovoltaic-related manufacturing and marketing information from companies in the United States that are engaged in manufacturing or importing photovoltaic cells and modules. Photovoltaic cell and module shipments are in terms of electrical capacity expressed in peak kilowatts.

II. Current Actions

At this time, the EIA is proposing a three-year extension of Forms CE-63A/B. The EIA is planning to meet with solar industry companies and associations, DOE personnel, and other interested parties to discuss Forms CE-63A/B. If changes are made to Forms CE-63A and/or CE-63B as a result of this notice, the meeting, or other activities, the changes will be highlighted in the **Federal Register** notice announcing the submission of the forms to the Office of Management and Budget later this year.

III. Request for Comments

Prospective respondents and other interested parties should comment on the proposed extension of the Forms CE-63A/B. The following general guidelines are provided to assist in the preparation of responses. When commenting, please indicate to which form your comments apply.

As a potential respondent:

- A. Are the instructions and definitions clear and sufficient? If not, which instructions require clarification?
- B. Can the data be submitted using the definitions included in the instructions?
- C. Can data be submitted in accordance with the response time specified in the instructions?
- D. Public reporting burden for this collection is estimated to average 3 hours per response. How much time, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information, do you estimate it will require you to complete and submit the required form?
- E. What is the estimated cost of completing this form, including the direct and indirect costs associated with the data collection? Direct costs should include all costs, such as administrative costs, directly attributable to providing this information.
- F. How can the form be improved?
- G. Do you know of any other Federal, State, or local agency that collects similar data? If you do, specify the agency, the data element(s), and the means of collection.

As a potential user:

- A. Can you use data at the levels of detail indicated on the form?
- B. For what purpose would you use the data? Be specific.
- C. How could the form be improved to better meet your specific needs?
- D. Are there alternate sources of data and do you use them? What are their deficiencies and/or strengths?

EIA is also interested in receiving comments from persons regarding their

views on the need for the information contained in the "Annual Solar Thermal Collector Manufacturers Survey" and "Annual Photovoltaic Module/Cell Manufacturers Survey."

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of the forms; they also will become a matter of public record.

Statutory Authority: Section 2(a) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, (Pub. L. 96-511), which amended Chapter 35 of Title 44 United States Code (See 44 U.S.C. § 3506(a) and (c)(1)).

Issued in Washington, DC, March 7, 1995.

Yvonne M. Bishop,

Director, Statistical Standards, Energy Information Administration.

[FR Doc. 95-6246 Filed 3-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER95-529-000 et al.]

Kentucky Utilities Company et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

March 7, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Kentucky Utilities Co.

[Docket No. ER95-529-000]

Take notice that on February 21, 1995, Kentucky Utilities Company tendered for filing an amendment to its February 1, 1995 filing in the above-referenced docket.

Comment date: March 21, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

2. Ohio Edison Co.

[Docket No. ER95-550-000]

Take notice that on February 17, 1995, Ohio Edison Company tendered for filing an amendment to its February 3, 1995 filing in the above-referenced docket.

Comment date: March 21, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

3. Wisconsin Power and Light Co.

[Docket No. ER95-649-000]

Take notice that on February 27, 1995, Wisconsin Power and Light Company (WP&L), tendered for filing a signed Service Agreement under WP&L's Bulk Power Sales Tariff between itself and InterCoast Power Marketing Company. WP&L respectfully requests a waiver of the Commission's notice requirements, and an effective date of February 1, 1995.

Comment date: March 21, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

4. Wisconsin Public Service Corp.

[Docket No. ER95-650-000]

Take notice that on February 27, 1995, Wisconsin Public Service Corporation (WPSC), tendered for filing an executed Supplement No. 2 to Transmission Service Agreement No. 2 between WPSC and Manitowoc Public Utilities. The Agreement provides for transmission service under the T-1 Transmission Tariff, FERC Original Volume No. 4.

WPSC asks that the agreement become effective June 1, 1995.

Comment date: March 21, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

5. The United Illuminating Co.

[Docket No. ER95-651-000]

Take notice that on February 27, 1995, The United Illuminating Company (UI), 157 Church Street, New Haven, Connecticut, submitted for filing a rate schedule confirming daily sales of system power by UI to Fitchburg Gas and Electric Company between November 16, 1991 and February 13, 1994. UI has sought waiver of the sixty-day notice requirement under 18 CFR 35.3 to permit an effective date of November 16, 1991. UI also requests that the rate schedule terminate on February 17, 1994.

Comment date: March 21, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

6. Arizona Public Service Co.

[Docket No. ER95-653-000]

Take notice that on February 27, 1995, Arizona Public Service Company (APS), tendered for filing a Service Agreement under APS-FERC Electric Tariff Original Volume No. 1 (APS Tariff) with the following entity:

LG&E Power Marketing, Inc.

A copy of this filing has been served on the above listed entity and the Arizona Corporation Commission.

Comment date: March 21, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

7. Idaho Power Co.

[Docket No. ER95-654-000]

Take notice that on February 27, 1995, Idaho Power Company (IPC), tendered for filing wholesale or transmission agreements between Idaho Power Company and Bonneville Power Administration, Sierra Pacific Power Company, Utah Associated Municipal Power Systems and Washington City, Utah regarding monthly contract

demand values or monthly capacity and energy values.

IPC has requested waiver of the notice provisions of § 35.3 of the Commission's regulations in order to permit the revisions to become effective as of January 1, 1995.

Comment date: March 21, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

8. Niagara Mohawk Power Corp.

[Docket No. ER95-655-000]

Take notice that on February 27, 1995, Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (Niagara Mohawk), tendered for filing an agreement between Niagara Mohawk and CNG Power Services Corporation (CNG) dated February 22, 1995 providing for certain transmission services to CNG.

Copies of this filing were served upon CNG and the New York State Public Service Commission.

Comment date: March 21, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

9. Jersey Central Power & Light Co., Metropolitan Edison Co., Pennsylvania Electric Co.

[Docket No. ER95-656-000]

Take notice that on February 28, 1995, GPU Service Corporation (GPU), on behalf of Jersey Central Power & Light Company, Metropolitan Edison Company and Pennsylvania Electric Company (jointly referred to as the GPU Operating Companies), filed an executed Service Agreement between GPU and Catex Vitrol Electric Inc. (Catex Vitrol), dated February 15, 1995. This Service Agreement specifies that Catex Vitrol has agreed to the rates, terms and conditions of the GPU Operating Companies' Operating Capacity and/or Energy Sales Tariff (Sales Tariff) designated as FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 1. The Sales Tariff was accepted by the Commission by letter order issued on February 10, 1995 in *Jersey Central Power & Light Co., Metropolitan Edison Co. and Pennsylvania Electric Co.*, Docket No. ER95-276-000 and allows GPU and Catex Vitrol to enter into separately scheduled transactions under which the GPU Operating Companies will make available for sale, surplus operating capacity and/or energy at negotiated rates that are no higher than the GPU Operating Companies' cost of service.

GPU requests a waiver of the Commission's notice requirements for good cause shown and an effective date of February 15, 1995 for the Service Agreement.

GPU has served copies of the filing on regulatory agencies in New Jersey and Pennsylvania.

Comment date: March 21, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

10. Sierra Pacific Power Co.

[Docket No. ER95-657-000]

Take notice that on February 28, 1995, Sierra Pacific Power Company (Sierra) tendered for filing pursuant to Part 35 *et seq.* of the Commission's regulations, the Electric Service Agreement dated February 27, 1995 (the Agreement) between Sierra and Truckee Donner Public Utility District (the District).

Sierra states that the Agreement provides for a continuation of the existing firm electric service by Sierra to the District, but at rates that reflect a revenue reduction for such service. The Agreement provides for commencement of service thereunder as of March 1, 1995 or such later date as the Commission permits the filing to be effective. Sierra requests waiver of the 60-day notice requirement of section 205 of the Federal Power Act to provide for a March 1, 1995 effective date. While Sierra states its belief that no other waivers of the Act or the Commission's rules or regulations are necessary to make effective the Agreement pursuant to its terms, Sierra requests any such waiver necessary or desirable for that purpose.

Sierra asserts that the filing has been served on the District and on the regulatory commissions of Nevada and California.

Comment date: March 21, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

11. Montaup Electric Co.

[Docket No. ER95-658-000]

Take notice that on February 28, 1995, Montaup Electric Company tendered for filing an annual report titled Conservation and Load Management Informational Report Proposed Surcharge—February 28, 1995—supporting surcharges for the period March 1, 1995 through February 28, 1996. This annual report filing is required under a conservation and load management (C&LM) clause applied to service to Montaup's affiliated M-rate customers as amended by Montaup in a filing approved by the Commission on May 4, 1993 in Docket No. ER93-79-000. The informational report shows the surcharges that will be required to true up collections for the twelve months ended December 31, 1994 with actual C&LM costs during that period.

Comment date: March 21, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

12. Tucson Electric Power Co.

[Docket No. ER95-659-000]

Take notice that on February 28, 1995, Tucson Electric Power Company (Tucson), tendered for filing (i) Service Schedule D, Power Exchange Agreement, between Tucson and M-S-R Public Power Agency (MSR) and (ii) Service Schedule E, Reserve Sharing, between Tucson and MSR. Service Schedule D and Service Schedule E were entered into pursuant to an Interconnection Agreement, dated as of September 20, 1982, Docket No. ER82-828-000. Service Schedule D provides for an exchange of capacity and energy between Tucson and MSR in which MSR will deliver its capacity and energy entitlement in San Juan Unit 4 to TEP at San Juan in exchange for a like amount of capacity and energy deliverable by Tucson at certain delivery points on Tucson's system. Service Schedule E provides for a reserve sharing arrangement involving MSR's San Juan capacity rights and Tucson's San Juan capacity rights which will enable the parties to reduce their respective reserve requirements. Tucson requests an effective date of May 1, 1995.

Copies of this filing have been served upon all parties affected by this proceeding.

Comment date: March 21, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-6178 Filed 3-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket Nos. CP94-682-000 and CP94-682-001]

Southern Natural Gas Company; Availability of the Environmental Assessment for the Proposed Cleveland Branch Line Project

March 8, 1995.

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission) has prepared this environmental assessment (EA) on the natural gas pipeline facilities proposed by Southern Natural Gas Company (Southern) in the above dockets.

The EA was prepared to satisfy the requirements of the Natural Environmental Policy Act. The staff concludes that approval of the proposed project, with appropriate mitigating measures, would not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.

The EA assesses the potential environmental effects of the construction and operation of Southern's proposed Cleveland Branch Line Project, which includes the following facilities:

- About 20.2 miles of 12-inch-diameter pipeline in Catoosa and Whitfield Counties, Georgia, and Hamilton and Bradley Counties, Tennessee. This pipeline, referred to as the "Cleveland Branch Line", would extend from milepost 101.44 on Southern's existing 12-inch Chattanooga Branch Line in Catoosa County, Georgia, to a proposed interconnection owned by East Tennessee Natural Gas Company in Bradley County, Tennessee;
- One new meter station in Bradley County. The meter station would consist of two 6-inch meter runs, pressure regulators, flow control valves, about 125 feet of miscellaneous buried piping, and an 8-foot by 10-foot instrumentation building; and
- One 1,452-horsepower turbine compressor unit and other facilities at Southern's existing McConnells Compressor Station in Tuscaloosa County, Alabama.

Southern indicates that proposed facilities would deliver a total firm and interruptible transportation service to nine municipal gas districts and two distribution companies in eastern Tennessee. These customers would receive about 11,350 thousand cubic feet per day of firm transportation from Southern.

The EA has been placed in the public files of the FERC and is available for public inspection at: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Public Reference and Files Maintenance Branch, 941 North Capitol Street, N.E.,

Room 3104, Washington, D.C. 20426, (202) 208-1371.

Copies of this EA have been mailed to Federal, state and local agencies, public interest groups, interested individuals, newspapers, and parties to this proceeding.

A limited number of copies of the EA are available from: Ms. Alisa Lykens, Environmental Project Manager, Environmental Review and Compliance Branch I, Office of Pipeline Regulation, Room 7312, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, (202) 208-0766.

Any person wishing to comment on the EA may do so. Written comments must reference Docket Nos. CP94-682-000 and CP94-682-001. Comments should be addressed to: Office of the Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426.

Comments should be filed as soon as possible, but must be received no later than April 10, 1995, to ensure consideration prior to a Commission decision on this proposal. A copy of any comments should be sent to Ms. Alisa Lykens, Environmental Project Manager, at the above address.

Comments will be considered by the Commission but will not serve to make the commentator a party to the proceeding. Any person seeking to become a party to the proceeding must file a motion to intervene pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214).

The date for filing timely motions to intervene in this proceeding has passed. Therefore, parties now seeking to file late interventions must show good cause, as required by § 385.214(b)(3), why this time limitation should be waived. Environmental issues have been reviewed as good cause for late intervention. You do not need intervener status to have your comments considered.

Additional information about this project is available from Ms. Alisa Lykens, Environmental Review and Compliance Branch I, Office of Pipeline Regulation, at (202) 208-0766.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-6179 Filed 3-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP89-661-030]

Algonquin Gas Transmission Co., Notice of Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

March 8, 1995.

Take notice that on March 3, 1995, Algonquin Gas Transmission Company