

in a three-part medical facility, the other two parts of which are owned by WANC, it would be very difficult to find a buyer other than WANC willing to offer a purchase price as favorable to the Plan as that offered by WANC.

7. In summary, the applicant represents that the proposed transaction satisfies the criteria of section 408(a) of the Act for the following reasons: (1) The Plan will receive a cash purchase price of no less than the Minimum Purchase Price, subject to possible upward adjustment pursuant to the Reappraisals, which the Trustee has determined to be no less than the fair market value of the Property; (2) The Plan will incur no costs or expenses relating to the transaction; (3) The Trustee has determined that retention of the Property would not be in the best interests of the Plan due to the necessity of renovation expenses; and (4) The Trustee has determined that the Plan is unlikely to secure an unrelated buyer willing to pay a purchase price for the Property as favorable to the Plan as the proposed purchase price under the Agreement.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ronald Willett of the Department, telephone (202) 219-8881. (This is not a toll-free number.)

#### General Information

The attention of interested persons is directed to the following:

(1) The fact that a transaction is the subject of an exemption under section 408(a) of the Act and/or section 4975(c)(2) of the Code does not relieve a fiduciary or other party in interest of disqualified person from certain other provisions of the Act and/or the Code, including any prohibited transaction provisions to which the exemption does not apply and the general fiduciary responsibility provisions of section 404 of the Act, which among other things require a fiduciary to discharge his duties respecting the plan solely in the interest of the participants and beneficiaries of the plan and in a prudent fashion in accordance with section 404(a)(1)(b) of the Act; nor does it affect the requirement of section 401(a) of the Code that the plan must operate for the exclusive benefit of the employees of the employer maintaining the plan and their beneficiaries;

(2) Before an exemption may be granted under section 408(a) of the Act and/or section 4975(c)(2) of the Code, the Department must find that the exemption is administratively feasible, in the interests of the plan and of its participants and beneficiaries and protective of the rights of participants and beneficiaries of the plan;

(3) The proposed exemptions, if granted, will be supplemental to, and not in derogation of, any other provisions of the Act and/or the Code, including statutory or administrative exemptions and transitional rules. Furthermore, the fact that a transaction is subject to an administrative or statutory exemption is not dispositive of whether the transaction is in fact a prohibited transaction; and

(4) The proposed exemptions, if granted, will be subject to the express condition that the material facts and representations contained in each application are true and complete, and that each application accurately describes all material terms of the transaction which is the subject of the exemption.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 8th day of March, 1995.

**Ivan Strasfeld,**

*Director of Exemption Determinations,  
Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration  
Department of Labor.*

[FR Doc. 95-6118 Filed 3-10-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-29-P

### NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

#### Meetings of Humanities Panel

**AGENCY:** National Endowment for the Humanities.

**ACTION:** Notice of meetings.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, as amended), notice is hereby given that the following meetings of the Humanities Panel will be held at the Old Post Office, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20506.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David C. Fisher, Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Endowment for the Humanities, Washington, D.C. 20506; telephone (202) 606-8322. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter may be obtained by contacting the Endowment's TDD terminal on (202) 606-8282.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The proposed meetings are for the purpose of panel review, discussion, evaluation and recommendation on applications for financial assistance under the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965, as amended, including discussion of information given in confidence to the agency by the grant applicants. Because the proposed meetings will consider information that

is likely to disclose: (1) Trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential; or (2) information of a personal nature the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, pursuant to authority granted me by the Chairman's Delegation of Authority to Close Advisory Committee meetings, dated July 19, 1993, I have determined that these meetings will be closed to the public pursuant to subsections (c) (4) and (6) of section 552b of Title 5, United States Code.

1. *Date:* April 3, 1995.

*Time:* 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

*Room:* 315.

*Program:* This meeting will review Subventions Program applications in Classics, the Renaissance and Early Modern Studies, submitted to the Division of Research Programs, for projects after September 1, 1995.

2. *Date:* April 10, 1995.

*Time:* 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

*Room:* 315.

*Program:* This meeting will review Subventions Program applications in History and American Studies, submitted to the Division of Research Programs, for projects beginning after September 1, 1995.

3. *Date:* April 12, 1995.

*Time:* 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

*Room:* 315.

*Program:* This meeting will review Subventions Program applications in Literature and Cultural Studies, submitted to the Division of Research Programs, for projects beginning after September 1, 1995.

4. *Date:* April 17-18, 1995.

*Time:* 9:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

*Room:* 430.

*Program:* This meeting will review applications submitted to Humanities Projects in Libraries and Archives during the March 10, 1995 deadline, submitted to Division of Public Programs, for projects beginning after June 1, 1995.

**David C. Fisher,**

*Advisory Committee Management Officer.*

[FR Doc. 95-6144 Filed 3-10-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7536-01-M

### NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

#### Call for Nominations for Nuclear Safety Research Review Committee

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Call for nominations.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is inviting nominations of qualified candidates to consider for appointment to its Nuclear Safety Research Review Committee (NSRRC). Nominations will be accepted until April 20, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit nominations to: Ms. Jude Himmelberg, Office of Personnel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. George Sege, Designated Federal Officer for the NSRRC, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555; telephone: 301-415-6593.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Committee advises the Director of the NRC's Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research—and through him the Commission—on the quality and conduct of NRC research activities and makes recommendations concerning the overall management and direction of the nuclear safety research program.

The 12-member Committee is composed of senior experts in nuclear engineering or science capable of providing technical advice on the broad range of topics that are the subject of nuclear safety research for nuclear power plants and other facilities. Maintaining balance and diversity of experience, knowledge, and judgment is an important consideration in the selection of members. Committee members serve a two-year term and may be reappointed for up to two additional two-year terms.

Over the next two years, the Committee will be examining such issues as quality of nuclear safety research relative to the needs of the user of the research products, the long-range direction of the nuclear safety research programs, the peer review process of research products and the capability of individuals and facilities where nuclear safety research is conducted.

In order to maintain a good balance of capabilities on the Committee, candidates should have expertise in nuclear engineering and nuclear safety, with emphasis on demonstrated capabilities in the area of thermal-hydraulic performance of nuclear power reactor systems.

Selection for membership will be based on demonstrated capability and professional accomplishment in the indicated area of specialization, in the conduct or management of scientific or engineering research and in applying research to nuclear safety issues.

Nominations for membership must include a résumé describing the educational and professional qualifications of the nominee and the nominee's current address and daytime telephone number. Nominees must be U.S. citizens and be able to devote about 80 hours per year to Committee business.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 7th day of March 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Andrew L. Bates,**

*Advisory Committee Management Officer.*

[FR Doc. 95-6060 Filed 3-10-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket No. 50-423]

**Northeast Nuclear Energy Co.;  
Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit  
No. 3, Environmental Assessment and  
Finding of No Significant Impact**

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-49, issued to Northeast Nuclear Energy Company (the licensee), for operation of the Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 3, located in New London County, Connecticut.

**Environmental Assessment**

*Identification of the Proposed Action*

The proposed action would relax the setpoint tolerance for the pressurizer safety valves and the main steam safety valves from  $\pm 1\%$  to  $\pm 3\%$ .

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for amendment dated August 4, 1994.

*The Need for the Proposed Action*

The proposed action would revise the setpoint tolerance to assure both pressurizer safety valves and main steam safety valves remain within technical specification tolerances and reduce the potential for filing unnecessary Licensee Event Reports.

*Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action*

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that the relaxation of the setpoint tolerance for the pressurizer safety valves and the main steam safety valves from  $\pm 1\%$  to  $\pm 3\%$  is acceptable.

The change will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does involve features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents

and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

*Alternatives to the Proposed Action*

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

*Alternative Use of Resources*

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 3.

*Agencies and Persons Consulted*

In accordance with its stated policy, the staff consulted with the Connecticut State official regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

**Finding of No Significant Impact**

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated August 4, 1994, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Learning Resource Center, Three Rivers Community-Technical College, Thames Valley Campus, 574 New London Turnpike, Norwich, CT 06360.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 28th day of February 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Phillip F. McKee,**

*Director, Project Directorate I-4, Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 95-6063 Filed 3-10-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M