

Pursuant to 25 CFR 83.8(a) (formerly 25 CFR 54.8(a)) notice is hereby given that the Occaneechi Band of Saponi Nation, c/o Lawrence Dunmore, 4006 Mary's Grove Church Road, Mebane, North Carolina 27302 has filed a petition for acknowledgment by the Secretary of the Interior that the group exists as an Indian tribe. The petition was received by the Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) on January 6, 1995, and was signed by members of the group's governing body.

This is a notice of receipt of petition and does not constitute notice that the petition is under active consideration. Notice of active consideration will be sent by mail to the petitioner and other interested parties at the appropriate time.

Under Section 83.8(d) (formerly 54.8(d)) of the Federal regulations, interested parties and informed parties may submit factual and/or legal arguments in support of or in opposition to the group's petition. Any information submitted will be made available on the same basis as other information in the BIA's files. Such submissions will be provided to the petitioner upon receipt by the BIA. The petitioner will be provided an opportunity to respond to such submissions prior to a final determination regarding the petitioner's status.

The petition may be examined, by appointment, in the Department of the Interior, Bureau of Indian Affairs, Branch of Acknowledgment and Research, Room 1362-MIB, 1849 C Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20240, Phone: (202) 208-3592.

Dated: February 23, 1995.

**Ada E. Deer,**

*Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.*

[FR Doc. 95-6141 Filed 3-10-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-02-M

## National Park Service

### General Management Plan; Grand Canyon National Park, Arizona; Notice of Availability; Draft Environmental Impact Statement

*Summary:* Pursuant to section 102 (2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (Pub. L. 91-190, as amended), the National Park Service, Department of the Interior, has prepared a draft environmental impact statement (DEIS) that describes and analyzes a proposed action and four alternatives for the general management plan for Grand Canyon National Park. The official responsible for a decision on the proposed action is the Regional

Director, Western Region, National Park Service.

*Comments:* Comments on the DEIS should be received no later than April 24, 1995. Dates for public meetings regarding the DEIS will be from March 25 to 29, 1995 in various locations in Arizona and Utah. Written responses to the DEIS should be submitted to Planning Team Leader, Grand Canyon General Management Plan, National Park Service, Denver Service Center-TWE, P.O. Box 25287, Denver, CO 80225-0287.

*Public Meetings:* All of the public meetings are to be held evenings from 6:00-9:00 pm. The March 25 meeting will be at the Best Western Red Hills Hotel, 124 W. Center, Kanab, Utah. The March 27 meeting will be at the Grand Canyon School Multipurpose Room, Boulder St., Grand Canyon, Arizona. The March 28 meeting will be at the Woodlands Plaza Hotel, 1175 W. Route 66, Flagstaff, Arizona. The March 29 meeting will be at the Ramada Camelback Hotel, 502 W. Camelback Rd., Phoenix, Arizona.

*Review Copies:* Public reading copies of the DEIS will be available for review at three locations: [1] Office of Public Affairs, National Park Service, Department of the Interior, 18th and C Streets, NW, Washington, DC 20240 (202) 208-6843; [2] Western Regional Office, National Park Service, 600 Harrison St., Suite 600, San Francisco, CA 94107-1372 (415) 415-744-3968; [3] Headquarters, Grand Canyon National Park, P.O. Box 129, Grand Canyon, AZ 86023 (602) 638-7701.

A limited number of copies of the DEIS are available on request from: Rob Arnberger, Superintendent, Grand Canyon National Park, P.O. Box 129, Grand Canyon, AZ 86023 (602) 638-7701; or the Planning Team Leader, Grand Canyon General Management Plan, National Park Service, Denver Service Center-TWE, P.O. Box 25287, Denver, CO 80225-0287 (303) 969-2210.

*Supplementary Information:* This general management plan provides management objectives and visions for the entire park, with alternative plans for the park's developed areas (South Rim, North Rim, Tuweep, and corridor trails). The proposed action, the no-action alternative, and three other alternatives are presented in this document, and their environmental consequences are analyzed.

The proposed action (alternative 2) would emphasize regional cooperation for information distribution, regional resource preservation, and a quality visitor experience. A major shift away from the use of private automobiles

would occur. Alternative modes of transportation would be emphasized throughout the region, with staging areas linked to regional private transit services in outlying communities and a public transit system within the park. Private vehicles would be removed from the heaviest use areas in the park, creating pedestrian-only areas. The number of private vehicles allowed into the park at any one time would be limited in certain areas. The adaptive use of historic structures and other structures would be maximized. The construction of new facilities within the park would be almost entirely within disturbed areas. The visitor experience would be defined by the unique qualities of each individual area, and the number of visitors allowed into some areas of the park would be determined by a carrying capacity analysis. With respect to environmental consequences, the proposed action would stabilize the growth of infrastructure within the park, enhance natural and cultural resource preservation, improve significantly the visitor experience, create better living and working conditions for park employees, and benefit local economies.

The other alternatives include: Continuing existing conditions (the no-action alternative), a minimum requirements alternative (alternative 1), reduced development within the park (alternative 3), and increased development within the park (alternative 4).

The responsible official for a decision on the proposed action is the Regional Director, Western Region, National Park Service.

Dated: February 28, 1995.

**Stanley T. Albright,**

*Regional Director, Western Region.*

[FR Doc. 95-6101 Filed 3-10-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Clean Air Act

In accordance with Departmental policy, 28 C.F.R. 50.7, notice is hereby given that a proposed consent decree in *United States v. Mundet-Hermetite, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 95-0009-L, was lodged on February 24, 1995 with the United States District Court for the Western District of Virginia. The Consent Decree settles an action brought under Section 113 of the Clean Air Act (the "Act"), 42 U.S.C. 7413, seeing an injunction and civil penalties for defendant's violation of the Prevention of Significant

Deterioration (PSD) requirements of 42 U.S.C 7470, *et. seq.*, and the regulations promulgated thereunder. Pursuant to the Consent Decree, defendant has agreed to pay a civil penalty of \$90,000, to cease the plant process which was the source of the violation, and not to recommence that process except in compliance with the Clean Air Act.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to *United States v. Mundet-Hermettite, Inc.*, DOJ Ref. #90-5-2-1-1949.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney, 105 Franklin Rd. SW, Suite 1, Roanoke, VA 24011; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW., 4th Floor, Washington, DC 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the proposed consent decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW., 4th Floor, Washington, DC 20005. In requesting a copy please refer to the referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of \$3.75 (25 cents per page reproduction costs), payable to the Consent Decree Library.

**Joel M. Gross,**

*Acting Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 95-6048 Filed 3-10-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

#### **Notice of Lodging of Partial Consent Decree for Claims Under Section 107(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act**

In accordance with Department policy notice is hereby given that on February 10, 1995, a proposed Partial Consent Decree in *United States v. Smuggler-Durant Mining Corporation, et al.*, Civil Action No. 89-C-1802, was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of Colorado. The Complaint in this case was brought under Section 107(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. § 9601 *et seq.*, against several parties who are owners or operators of facilities at which hazardous substances are being released into the environment, or who owned or operated facilities at a time when

hazardous substances were disposed of there. The United States' Complaint sought recovery of costs incurred and to be incurred by the United States in connection with the clean up of hazardous substances at the Smuggler Mountain Superfund Site ("Site") in and adjacent to the City of Aspen, Colorado.

The proposed partial Consent Decree involves the MAXXAM, Inc. and Top of Aspen, Inc. ("MAXXAM"). This decree settles claims brought by the United States against MAXXAM under Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), and provides the MAXXAM a covenant not to sue for past and future response costs or response actions under Sections 106 and 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606 and 9607(a), and Section 7003 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6973 as well as a limited covenant for natural resource damages on Operable Unit 1 of the Site. In return, MAXXAM will reimburse the United States \$1,700,000.00 for response costs incurred in connection with the Site. Finally, the decree resolves potential counterclaims by MAXXAM against the United States for any activities conducted on-site by any instrumentality of the United States.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of entry of this publication comments relating to the proposed Partial Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, P.O. Box 7611, Ben Franklin Station, Washington, D.C. 20044, and should refer to *United States v. Smuggler-Durant Mining Corporation, et al.*, DOJ Ref. No. 90-11-2-174.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Region VIII Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 999 18th Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado 80202; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, DC 20005, 202-624-0892. Copies of the proposed Consent Decrees may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005. In requesting a copy, please refer to the referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of \$8.25 (25 cents per page reproduction costs), payable to the Consent Decree Library.

**Joel M. Gross,**

*Acting Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 95-6049 Filed 3-10-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

#### **Antitrust Division**

##### **Proposed Termination of Final Judgment; Bardahl Manufacturing Corporation, et al.**

Notice is hereby given that defendant Bardahl Manufacturing Corporation ("Bardahl") has filed with the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington a motion to terminate the Final Judgment in *United States v. Bardahl Manufacturing Corporation, et al.*, Civil No. 83-71; and that the Department of Justice ("Department"), in a stipulation also filed with the Court, has consented to termination of the Final Judgment but has reserved the right for at least seventy (70) days after the publication of the notice to withdraw its consent. The complaint in this case (filed June 30, 1969) alleged that Bardahl and other companies affiliated with Bardahl had conspired to fix uniform prices and allocate exclusive geographical sales territories for the sale of motor oils, greases and lubricants manufactured by Bardahl and sold by Bardahl distributors in the United States.

The Final Judgment (entered August 11, 1969) enjoined the defendants from selling any finished Bardahl products to any person upon any conditions which restrict the persons to whom, the prices at which, or the territory within which such products may be sold.

The Department has filed with the court a memorandum setting forth the reasons why the Government believes that termination of the Final Judgment would serve the public interest. Copies of the Complaint and Final Judgment, Bardahl's motion papers, the stipulation containing the Government's consent, the Government's memorandum, and all further papers filed with the court in connection with this motion will be available for inspection at Room 10-437, Antitrust Division, Department of Justice, 10th Street and Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20001, and at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington, 1010 Fifth Avenue, Room 215, Seattle, Washington 98104. Copies of any of these materials may be obtained from the Antitrust Division upon request and payment of the copying fee set by Department of Justice regulations.

Interested persons may submit comments regarding the proposed termination of the decree to the Government. Such comments must be received by the Division within sixty (60) days and will be filed with the court by the Government. Comments should be addressed to Christopher S.