

Amended Notices

EIS No. 940501, Draft EIS, AFS, ID, Stibnite Gold Mine Expansion Project, Construction and Operation, Plan of Operation Approval, NPDES Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Payette National Forest, Krassel Ranger District, Valley County, ID, Due: February 28, 1995, Contact: Jane Wurster (206) 634-0614.

Published FR 12-16-94—Officially Withdrawn by Preparing Agency.

EIS No. 950041, Draft EIS, SFW, NV, Desert Tortoises (*Gopherus agassizii*) Habitat, Issuance of Permit to Allow Incidental Take, Federal Land and Non-Federal Land, Clark County, NV, Due: April 11, 1995, Contact: Sherry Barrett (702) 784-5227.

Published FR-02-10-95 Due Date Correction

Dated: March 7, 1995.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 95-5998 Filed 3-9-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

[ER-FRL-4721-1]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared February 6, 1995 Through February 10, 1995 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 260-5076.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 10, 1994 (59 FR 16807).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-K65166-CA Rating EO2, Cottonwood Fire Restoration Project, Implementation, Tahoe National Forest, Sierraville Ranger District, Sierra County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections over the potential adverse impacts to soil productivity, hydrology, and water quality from the proposed extensive use of ground-based logging systems, the associated road creation, and proposed harvesting within the stream management zones (SMZs). EPA suggested modifications to the preferred alternative which would reduce the use of ground-based logging systems in the

most damaged or vulnerable watersheds, minimize entrance into the sensitive SMZ areas, and implement riparian rehabilitation activities and road obliterations as soon as possible.

ERP No. D-COE-E32193-GA Rating EC2, Savannah Harbor Navigation Project, Operation and Maintenance, Long Term Management Strategy Study, Chatham County, GA and Jasper County, SC.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns over the long-term environmental consequences of implementation of the management plan. EPA requested additional information to more precisely define the uncertainties associated with the proposed operational changes.

ERP No. D-FRC-K02023-00 Rating EC2, Tuscarora Natural Gas Pipeline Project, Construction and Operation, Right-of-Way Grant, Special-Use-Permit, NPDES Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Lassen County, CA; Washoe and Storey Counties, NV and Klamath County, OR.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns over the proposed project, and requested additional information on impacts to air quality, wetlands, and cultural resources.

ERP No. D-IBR-K39037-CA Rating EC2, Cachuma Water Supply Project, Implementation, Long-term Contract Renewal, Santa Ynez Valley, Bradbury Dam, Santa Barbara, CA.

Summary: EPA commented that the draft EIS present a good examination of a wide range of alternative, but also expressed concern that more information was needed regarding water conservation, groundwater injections and socio-economic impacts.

ERP No. D-NPS-K60100-AZ Rating EC2, Programmatic EIS—Juan Bautista de Anza National Historic Trail Comprehensive Management Plan, Implementation, several counties, AZ and CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns and requested that the final EIS include a “no action” alternative analysis, a conformity determination, analysis of potential trail carrying capacity, and address issues related to environmental justice, threatened and endangered species and wetlands.

ERP No. D-NRC-K01008-00 Rating EO2, Crownpoint Uranium Solution Mining Project, Construction and Operation, Leasing and Licensing, McKinley County, NM.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections to the proposed project based on its proximity to domestic supply wells and residences

and insufficient hydrogeologic modelling and field testing to ensure a completely closed system. Additional information is needed in the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) regarding the results of hydrogeologic modelling and field tests, including the potential for, and environmental impacts of, contaminated groundwater migrating off-site as a result of injection activities; aquifer restoration; and effects of drawdown of supply wells for the City of Crownpoint. The final EIS should also include additional information on permitting, spill response, management of sludge and process wastes, and Radionuclide National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants.

ERP No. DS-FAA-C51013-NJ Rating EC2, Expanded East Coast Plan, Changes in Aircraft Flight Patterns over the State of New Jersey, Updated Information, Implementation, NJ.

Summary: EPA continued to have environmental concerns about the noise impacts of the proposed project.

Additional information is needed to address the “conflict points” that were the basis for rejecting the Ocean Routing Proposal, to characterize noise levels in specific towns, and to justify the use of an unverified noise model.

Dated: March 7, 1995.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 95-5997 Filed 3-9-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION**Information Collection Submitted to OMB for Review**

AGENCY: Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

ACTION: Notice of information collection submitted to OMB for review and approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980.

SUMMARY: In accordance with requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the FDIC hereby gives notice that it has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget a request for OMB review of the information collection system described below.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Title: Transfer Agent Registration and Amendment Form.

Form Number: Form TA-1.

OMB Number: 3064-0026.

Expiration Date of OMB Clearance: November 30, 1996.
Frequency of Response: On occasion.
Respondents: Insured nonmember banks wishing to register with the FDIC as transfer agents.
Number of Respondents: 37.
Number of Responses Per Respondent: 1.
Total Annual Responses: 37.
Average Number of Hours Per Response: 0.52.
Total Annual Burden Hours: 19.25.
OMB Reviewer: Milo Sunderhauf, (202) 395-7340, Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project 3064-0026, Washington, DC 20503.
FDIC Contact: Steven F. Hanft, (202) 898-3907, Office of the Executive Secretary, Room F-400, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, 550 17th Street N.W., Washington, DC 20429.
Comments: Comments on this collection of information are welcome and should be submitted before May 9, 1995.

ADDRESSES: A copy of the submission may be obtained by calling or writing the FDIC contact listed above. Comments regarding the submission should be addressed to both the OMB reviewer and the FDIC contact listed above.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 17A(c)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78q) requires a bank to register with the appropriate federal regulator prior to performing any transfer agent function. Under FDIC regulation 12 CFR 341, an insured nonmember bank uses Form TA-1 to register with the FDIC.

Dated: March 6, 1995.

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

Robert E. Feldman,

Acting Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-5831 Filed 3-9-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6714-01-M

Information Collection Submitted to OMB for Review

AGENCY: Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

ACTION: Notice of information collection submitted to OMB for review and approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980.

SUMMARY: In accordance with requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the FDIC hereby gives notice that it has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget a request for OMB review of the information collection system described below.

Type of Review: Extension of the expiration date of a currently approved collection without any change in the substance or method of collection.

Title: Unsafe and Unsound Practices—Extension of Credit to Executive Officers.

Form Number: N/A.

OMB Number: 3064-0108.

Expiration Date of OMB Clearance: May 31, 1995.

Frequency of Response: On occasion.
Respondents: Executive officers of insured nonmember banks.

Number of Respondents: 4,000.

Annual Hours Per Recordkeeper: 2.0.

Total Recordkeeping Hours: 8,000.

OMB Reviewer: Milo Sunderhauf, (202) 395-7316, Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (3064-0108), Washington, D.C. 20503.

FDIC Contact: Steven F. Hanft, (202) 898-3907, Office of the Executive Secretary, Room F-400, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, 550 17th Street N.W., Washington, D.C. 20429.

Comments: Comments on this collection of information are welcome and should be submitted before April 10, 1995.

ADDRESSES: A copy of the submission may be obtained by calling or writing the FDIC contact listed above. Comments regarding the submission should be addressed to both the OMB reviewer and the FDIC contact listed above.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Executive officers of insured nonmember banks must file a report with their bank's Board of Directors within ten days of incurring any indebtedness to any other bank in an amount in excess of the amount the insured nonmember bank could lend to the officer.

Dated: March 6, 1995.

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

Robert E. Feldman,

Acting Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-5942 Filed 3-9-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6714-01-M

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

[Docket No. FEMA-1044-DR]

California; Amendment to Notice of a Major Disaster Declaration

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice amends the notice of a major disaster for the State of

California, (FEMA-1044-DR), dated January 10, 1995, and related determinations.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 27, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pauline C. Campbell, Response and Recovery Directorate, Federal Emergency Management Agency, Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646-3606.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The notice of a major disaster for the State of California dated January 10, 1995, is hereby amended to include the following area among those areas determined to have been adversely affected by the catastrophe declared a major disaster by the President in his declaration of January 10, 1995:

Kings County for Individual Assistance and Public Assistance.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 83.516, Disaster Assistance)

Richard W. Krimm,

Associate Director, Response and Recovery Directorate.

[FR Doc. 95-5975 Filed 3-9-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-02-P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Cass Commercial Corporation, et al.; Acquisitions of Companies Engaged in Permissible Nonbanking Activities

The organizations listed in this notice have applied under § 225.23(a)(2) or (f) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.23(a)(2) or (f)) for the Board's approval under section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.21(a) of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.21(a)) to acquire or control voting securities or assets of a company engaged in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.25 of Regulation Y as closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, such activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

Each application is available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound