

associated with the payment of price differential costs under realigned gas supply contracts or contract buyout costs associated with continuing realignment efforts during the period November 1, 1994 through January 31, 1995. These GSR costs have arisen as a direct result of customers' elections during restructuring to terminate their sales entitlements under Order No. 636. Southern submitted the following tariff sheets to its FERC Gas Tariff, Seventh Revised Volume No. 1, with the proposed effective date of April 1, 1995.

Sixteenth Revised Sheet No. 15  
 Sixteenth Revised Sheet No. 17  
 Sixteenth Revised Sheet No. 18  
 First Alternate Sixteenth Revised Sheet No. 15  
 First Alternate Sixteenth Revised Sheet No. 17  
 Thirteenth Revised Sheet No. 29  
 Thirteenth Revised Sheet No. 30  
 Thirteenth Revised Sheet No. 31

Southern submits alternate sheets to comply with the Commission's November 30, 1994 Order requiring Southern to recover its Account No. 858 costs and Southern Energy LNG costs through the same type of quarterly mechanism as the one currently in place for the recovery of Southern's gas supply realignment costs. Southern notes that the instant filing is made without prejudice to Southern's request for rehearing of the November 30, 1994 Order.

Southern states that copies of the filing were served upon Southern's customers and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before March 10, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of Southern's filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-5732 Filed 3-8-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP95-221-000]

**Southern Natural Gas Co.; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization**

March 3, 1995.

Take notice that on February 23, 1995, Southern Natural Gas Company (Southern), P.O. Box 2563, Birmingham, Alabama 35202-2563, filed in Docket No. CP95-221-000 a request pursuant to § 157.205 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) for authorization to construct and operate a delivery point, including measurement and appurtenant facilities for service to South Carolina Pipeline Corporation (SCPC), in Jasper County, South Carolina, under Southern's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-406-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Southern states that SCPC, in turn, would provide natural gas service to South Carolina Electric and Gas Company for service to a new residential retirement community known as Sun City Hilton Head. The estimated cost of construction, it is said, is approximately \$178,400 and the Maximum Daily Delivery Quantity would be 1,032 Mcf per day.

Southern states further that the installation of the proposed facilities would have no adverse effect on its ability to provide its firm deliveries.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to § 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-5733 Filed 3-8-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP95-197-000]

**Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp.; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff**

March 3, 1995.

Take notice that on March 1, 1995, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco), tendered for filing certain tariff sheets to Third Revised Volume No. 1 and Original Volume No. 2 of its FERC Gas Tariff. The proposed changes would generate additional jurisdictional revenues of approximately \$132 million annually based upon the 12 month period ended November 30, 1994, as adjusted. The proposed effective date of the filing is April 1, 1995.

Transco states that the principal causes of the rate increase are (1) an increase in rate base resulting from additional plant and higher working capital requirements and a reduction in Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes; (2) an increase in operation and maintenance expenses; and (3) an increase in Transco's cost of capital resulting from an increase in the equity component of the capital structure used (this filing is based on the Transco pipeline capital structure) and in the cost of equity from the pre-filed rate of 14.45 percent to the proposed rate of return on equity of 15.25 percent.

Transco states that since its last rate case filing the Commission approved, in a series of orders in Transco's restructuring proceeding under Order No. 636 in Docket Nos. RS92-86 and RP92-137, the basic rate design and cost allocation methods which are reflected in this filing. Specifically, the instant filing reflects, among other things, continuation of the following Commission-approved methods: (1) straight fixed-variable (SFV) rate design for Transco's firm transportation rates; (2) the unbundling of system storage pursuant to order No. 636 reflected in Transco Rate Schedule ESS contract storage service; (3) the roll-in of Transco's Mobile Bay facilities; (4) separately-stated gathering charges; (5) a tracking mechanism to recover costs incurred by Transco on CNG Transmission Corporation in rendering Transco's Rate Schedule GSS service; and (6) a tracking mechanism to recover stranded Account No. 858 costs incurred by Transco under arrangements with upstream pipelines.

Transco states that the instant filing also reflects the continuation of other rate design and cost allocation methodologies which are being litigated in Transco Docket Nos. RP92-137, *et al.*

These methodologies are (1) Transco's IT-feeder rate design; (2) a systemwide cost of service; (3) interruptible transportation (IT) rates designed on a 100 percent load factor basis; (4) Transco's so-called volumetric costs being recovered on the basis of the same charge being applied to each dekatherm of throughput (rather than on a distance-sensitive basis); and (5) a single separately-stated gathering charge applicable to all non-Tilden gathering facilities and a different gathering charge applicable to some of the Tilden facilities.

Transco states that changes reflected in the instant filing compared to the pre-filed methods in place on the Transco system are principally: (1) elimination of the Non-Gas Demand Charge under Rate Schedule FS; (2) refunctionalization of certain jointly owned transmission facilities to the gathering function pursuant to Commission orders issued since Transco's last rate case filing; (3) elimination of the IT revenue crediting mechanism based upon Transco's allocation of almost \$87 million to interruptible transportation and gathering services which reflects the trend of Transco's operating experience under Order No. 636; (4) elimination of a reverse South Georgia adjustment due to the fact that as of September 1995 Transco will have flowed back to ratepayers the entirety of its formerly-overfunded deferred taxes; (5) elimination of revenue credits to cost of service for the transportation of liquefiables (because such transactions are herein reflected as part of the projection of IT throughput); (6) reduced FASB No. 106 expense resulting, in part, from flowback of a regulatory liability created during the Docket No. RP92-137 rate period; and (7) miscellaneous tariff revisions as described in the Statement of Nature, Reasons, and Basis.

Finally, the instant filing proposes on a prospective only basis the following changes to the pre-filed methods: (1) a new Rate Schedule ICTS (Interconnect Transfer Service) that provides short-haul transfer service between pipeline interconnects or third-party facilities connected to TGPL; (2) a new Rate Schedule GMS (Gas Management Service) that provides for the borrowing or parking of gas at designated pooling points; and (3) elimination of the "at-risk" certificate condition governing Transco's Mobile Bay facilities. These changes are proposed to be effective prospectively only after Commission approval.

Transco also has included in Statement Q a pro forma tariff sheet

reflecting market-based IT rates for non-IT-feeder transactions. If the Commission, in response to interventions in this docket, sets the issue of Transco's IT rate design for hearing in this docket, the pro forma tariff sheet gives notice of Transco's position on IT rate design, i.e., that rates for non-IT-feeder IT service should be marked-based.

Transco states that copies of the filing have been served upon Transco's customers and interested State Commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before March 10, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-5734 Filed 3-8-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP93-34-008]

#### **Transwestern Pipeline Co.; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff**

March 3, 1995.

Take notice that on February 28, 1995, Transwestern Pipeline Company (Transwestern), tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets, with a proposed effective date of April 1, 1995:

111th Revised Sheet No. 5  
7th Revised Sheet No. 5A.05

On November 23, 1993, Transwestern filed a Stipulation and Agreement (Settlement) to resolve all issues in Docket No. RP93-34-000. On March 30, 1994, the Commission issued an order approving the beforementioned Settlement. The Settlement became effective on April 1, 1994.

Under the terms of the Settlement Transwestern agreed to a four-year phase-in period to reach a Field Area Services ("FAS") rate that reflects the unbundling resulting from the net allocation to FAS of \$84 million of gross

plant. As part of the four-year phase-in mechanism under which the costs allocated to FAS are increased, there is a corresponding phase-in under which costs allocated to Transwestern's FTS-1 service from East of Thoreau to California are decreased.

Transwestern states that the purpose of the instant filing is to file tariff sheets in compliance with the terms of the Settlement.

Transwestern also states that copies of the filing were served on its gas utility customers, interested state commissions, and all parties to this proceeding.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C., 20426, in accordance with Rule 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. All such protests should be filed on or before March 10, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-5735 Filed 3-8-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP95-183-000]

#### **West Texas Gas, Inc.; Notice of Petition for Waiver**

March 3, 1995.

Take notice that on February 28, 1995, West Texas Gas, Inc. (WTG), filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission a request for waiver of Sections 154.304 and 154.308 of the Commission's Regulations, to the extent necessary to permit a 15-day extension of time within which to file its Quarterly PGA filing in the referenced docket, which is currently due to be filed on March 1, 1995, to be effective April 1, 1995.

WTG states that because of its small size, WTG has not had a chance to compile all of the information necessary to file WTG's Quarterly PGA filing by March 1. WTG requests that the Commission grant an extension of the filing deadline to March 15, 1995.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20426 in accordance with § 385.211