

associated with the payment of price differential costs under realigned gas supply contracts or contract buyout costs associated with continuing realignment efforts during the period November 1, 1994 through January 31, 1995. These GSR costs have arisen as a direct result of customers' elections during restructuring to terminate their sales entitlements under Order No. 636. Southern submitted the following tariff sheets to its FERC Gas Tariff, Seventh Revised Volume No. 1, with the proposed effective date of April 1, 1995.

Sixteenth Revised Sheet No. 15
 Sixteenth Revised Sheet No. 17
 Sixteenth Revised Sheet No. 18
 First Alternate Sixteenth Revised Sheet No. 15
 First Alternate Sixteenth Revised Sheet No. 17
 Thirteenth Revised Sheet No. 29
 Thirteenth Revised Sheet No. 30
 Thirteenth Revised Sheet No. 31

Southern submits alternate sheets to comply with the Commission's November 30, 1994 Order requiring Southern to recover its Account No. 858 costs and Southern Energy LNG costs through the same type of quarterly mechanism as the one currently in place for the recovery of Southern's gas supply realignment costs. Southern notes that the instant filing is made without prejudice to Southern's request for rehearing of the November 30, 1994 Order.

Southern states that copies of the filing were served upon Southern's customers and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before March 10, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of Southern's filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-5732 Filed 3-8-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP95-221-000]

Southern Natural Gas Co.; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

March 3, 1995.

Take notice that on February 23, 1995, Southern Natural Gas Company (Southern), P.O. Box 2563, Birmingham, Alabama 35202-2563, filed in Docket No. CP95-221-000 a request pursuant to § 157.205 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) for authorization to construct and operate a delivery point, including measurement and appurtenant facilities for service to South Carolina Pipeline Corporation (SCPC), in Jasper County, South Carolina, under Southern's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-406-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Southern states that SCPC, in turn, would provide natural gas service to South Carolina Electric and Gas Company for service to a new residential retirement community known as Sun City Hilton Head. The estimated cost of construction, it is said, is approximately \$178,400 and the Maximum Daily Delivery Quantity would be 1,032 Mcf per day.

Southern states further that the installation of the proposed facilities would have no adverse effect on its ability to provide its firm deliveries.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to § 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-5733 Filed 3-8-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP95-197-000]

Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp.; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

March 3, 1995.

Take notice that on March 1, 1995, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco), tendered for filing certain tariff sheets to Third Revised Volume No. 1 and Original Volume No. 2 of its FERC Gas Tariff. The proposed changes would generate additional jurisdictional revenues of approximately \$132 million annually based upon the 12 month period ended November 30, 1994, as adjusted. The proposed effective date of the filing is April 1, 1995.

Transco states that the principal causes of the rate increase are (1) an increase in rate base resulting from additional plant and higher working capital requirements and a reduction in Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes; (2) an increase in operation and maintenance expenses; and (3) an increase in Transco's cost of capital resulting from an increase in the equity component of the capital structure used (this filing is based on the Transco pipeline capital structure) and in the cost of equity from the pre-filed rate of 14.45 percent to the proposed rate of return on equity of 15.25 percent.

Transco states that since its last rate case filing the Commission approved, in a series of orders in Transco's restructuring proceeding under Order No. 636 in Docket Nos. RS92-86 and RP92-137, the basic rate design and cost allocation methods which are reflected in this filing. Specifically, the instant filing reflects, among other things, continuation of the following Commission-approved methods: (1) straight fixed-variable (SFV) rate design for Transco's firm transportation rates; (2) the unbundling of system storage pursuant to order No. 636 reflected in Transco Rate Schedule ESS contract storage service; (3) the roll-in of Transco's Mobile Bay facilities; (4) separately-stated gathering charges; (5) a tracking mechanism to recover costs incurred by Transco on CNG Transmission Corporation in rendering Transco's Rate Schedule GSS service; and (6) a tracking mechanism to recover stranded Account No. 858 costs incurred by Transco under arrangements with upstream pipelines.

Transco states that the instant filing also reflects the continuation of other rate design and cost allocation methodologies which are being litigated in Transco Docket Nos. RP92-137, *et al.*