

safeguards the Commission has put into place to protect against anticompetitive practices by the BOCs. The Commission described how the ONA model had evolved, and the forms of network unbundling it encompasses today and is likely to cover in the future. The Notice of Proposed Rulemaking also outlined the other safeguards that are designed to work in concert with ONA to protect against anticompetitive practices by the BOCs. Parties were asked to comment on the specific issue identified by the court: Whether these nonstructural safeguards are sufficient for the BOCs to be granted full structural relief.

6. The Commission also asked parties to comment on broader issues regarding the relative merits of structural and nonstructural safeguards. The Commission noted that, although there is evidence to suggest that nonstructural safeguards have been effective, various parties have argued that structural separation should be reimposed on the BOCs. In order to provide it with information to make an informed decision, the Commission asked commenters to provide specific evidence as to the relative costs and benefits of structural separation and nonstructural safeguards.

7. The Notice of Proposed Rulemaking also sought comment on the protection against discrimination necessary to allow ESPs and BOCs to compete effectively without creating unnecessary burdens, whether certain types of enhanced services may require greater protection than others, and whether structural separation or additional nonstructural safeguards are needed for specific enhanced services. Parties were asked to identify any specific unbundled network services that BOCs do not currently provide which meet the criteria established in *Computer III* for service unbundling. To the extent that parties propose a reimposition of structural separation, the Commission asked that they identify the benefits that they believe will accrue for the provision of enhanced services to consumers from such action, and articulate why these benefits cannot be achieved under a regime of nonstructural safeguards.

8. Finally, the Commission recognized that a return to some form of structural separation requirements at this time would impose certain transition costs on the BOCs, and could result in service disruption and customer confusion. The Commission therefore asked parties to identify transitional expenses that would be borne by customers of BOC enhanced services, and to indicate whether a return to structural separation requirements would result in

disruptions of service or confusion among customers. To the extent that parties believe structural separation is appropriate, the Commission asked them to describe particular scenarios and timetables under which BOCs would be required to move from the existing partially integrated CEI plan regime, and to identify the specific costs and benefits of those scenarios.

#### Ordering Clauses

1. Accordingly, *it is ordered* That, pursuant to the authority contained in sections 1, 4, and 201-205 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 151, 154, and 201-205, a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking is hereby adopted.

#### List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 64

Communications common carriers, Computer technology.

Federal Communications Commission.  
William F. Caton,  
*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-5491 Filed 3-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

#### 47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 95-29, RM-8596]

#### Radio Broadcasting Services; Iron Mountain, MI

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** This document requests comments on a petition filed by Superior Media Group, Inc., proposing the allotment of Channel 294A to Iron Mountain, Michigan, as that community's third local FM service. The channel can be allotted to Iron Mountain without a site restriction at coordinates 45-49-12 and 88-04-06. Canadian concurrence will be requested for this allotment.

**DATES:** Comments must be filed on or before April 24, 1995, and reply comments on or before May 9, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner's counsel, as follows: Matthew H. McCormick, Reddy, Begley, Martin & McCormick, 1001 22nd Street, NW, Suite 350, Washington, D. C. 20037.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kathleen Scheuerle, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418-2180.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a summary of the Commission's *Notice of*

*Proposed Rule Making*, MM Docket No. 95-29, adopted February 21, 1995, and released March 2, 1995. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the Commission's Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW, Washington, D.C. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractors, International Transcription Services, Inc., 2100 M Street, NW, Suite 140, Washington, D.C. 20037, (202) 857-3800.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contact.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

#### List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos,

*Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.*

[FR Doc. 95-5492 Filed 3-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-F

#### DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

#### 48 CFR Parts 45, 52

#### Federal Acquisition Regulation; Government Property

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense.

**ACTION:** Notice of cancellation and rescheduling of public meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The public meetings originally scheduled for March 9, and 10, 1995, as part of the continuing initiative to rewrite the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Part 45, Government Property, have been canceled and rescheduled for April 6, 1995, and April 7, 1995.

**DATES:** Public Meetings: The public meetings will be conducted at the address shown below from 12:30 p.m. to 5:00 p.m., local time, on April 6, 1995; and from 9:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., local time, on April 7, 1995.

Statements: Statements from interested parties for presentation at the

public meeting should be submitted to the address below on or before April 3, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:**

*Draft Materials:* Interested parties may obtain drafts of the materials to be discussed at the April 6 and 7 public meetings from Ms. Angelena Moy, PDUSD(A&T)DP/MPI, 1211 S. Fern Street, Room C-109, Arlington, VA 22202-2808.

*Public Meeting:* The location of the public meeting is 1211 S. Fern Street, Room C-102, Arlington, VA 22202-2808. Individuals wishing to attend the meeting, including individuals wishing to make presentations on the topics scheduled for discussion, should contact Ms. Angelena Moy, PDUSD(A&T)DP/MPI, 1211 S. Fern Street, Room C-109, Arlington, VA 22202-2808.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Angelena Moy, telephone (703) 604-5385. FAX (703) 604-6709.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

Background

On September 16, 1994, (59 FR 47583) the Director of Defense Procurement, Department of Defense, announced an initiative to rewrite the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Part 45, Government Property, to make it easier to understand and to minimize the burdens imposed on contractors and contracting officers. The Director of Defense Procurement is providing a forum for an exchange of ideas and information with government and industry personnel by holding public meetings, soliciting public comments, and publishing notices of the public meetings in the Federal Register.

The public meetings scheduled for March 9 and 10, 1995, have been canceled and rescheduled for April 6 and 7, 1995.

As indicated in the February 9, 1995, Federal Register notice (60 FR 7744), interested parties are invited to present statements on (1) draft legislation permitting negotiated sales of low value Government property to holding contractors, (2) revisions to FAR 52.245-17, Special Tooling, (3) disposal of Government property, and (4) establishing the value of Government property for the purpose of determining appropriate rental charges.

Claudia L. Naugle,

*Executive Editor, Defense Acquisition Regulations Directorate.*

[FR Doc. 95-5605 Filed 3-3-95; 11:32 am]

**BILLING CODE 5000-04-M**

**DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR**

**Fish and Wildlife Service**

**50 CFR Part 17**

**Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Public Hearing and Reopening of Public Comment Period on Proposed Endangered or Threatened Status for Four Southwestern California Plants**

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; notice of public hearing and reopening of public comment period.

**SUMMARY:** The Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) provides notice that a public hearing will be held and the comment period reopened on proposed endangered status for *Atriplex coronata* var. *notatior* (San Jacinto Valley crownscale) and *Allium munzii* (Munz's onion), and proposed threatened status for *Brodiaea filifolia* (thread-leaved brodiaea) and *Navarretia fossalis* (spreading navarretia). The Service also proposes critical habitat for *Atriplex coronata* var. *notatior*. All parties are invited to comment on this proposal.

**DATES:** The public hearing will be held from 2:00 to 4:00 p.m. and from 6:00 to 8:00 p.m. on Thursday, March 23, 1995, in Riverside, California. The public comment period now closes on May 20, 1995. Any comments received by the closing date will be considered in the final decision on this proposal.

**ADDRESSES:** The hearing will be held at the Holiday Inn, Empire Ballroom, 3400 Market Street, Riverside, California. Written comments and materials may be submitted at the hearing or may be sent directly to the Field Supervisor, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2730 Loker Avenue West, Carlsbad, California 92008. Comments and materials received will be available for public inspection during normal business hours, by appointment, at the above address.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Field Supervisor (see **ADDRESSES** section) at (619) 431-9440.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

Background

*Allium munzii* (Munz's onion), a member of the lily family, is a white-flowered, single-leaved, scapose perennial originating from a bulb. *A. munzii* is restricted to mesic clay soils in western Riverside County, California. It is frequently associated with southern needlegrass grassland, mixed grassland, and open coastal sage scrub, or

occasionally in cismontane juniper woodlands. Twelve populations are currently known.

*Atriplex coronata* var. *notatior* (San Jacinto Valley crownscale or saltbush) is a low, grey-green, erect annual member of the goosefoot family. It is restricted to the Traver-Domino-Willows alkaline soils series of the San Jacinto, Perris, and Menifee Valleys of western Riverside County, California, in association with alkali sink, alkali playa, vernal pools, and alkali grassland habitats. This taxon occurs at 10 population centers. The number of individuals in any given year vary widely, depending on available rainfall, and the duration and extent of flooding.

*Brodiaea filifolia* (thread-leaved brodiaea), a member of the lily family, is a lavender-flowered scapose perennial herb. It typically occurs on gentle slopes, and in valleys and flood plains associated with mesic, southern needlegrass grassland and alkali grassland plant communities growing on clay, loamy sand, or alkaline silty-clay soils. The species is distributed in widely disjunct populations from the foothills of the San Gabriel Mountains in Los Angeles County, California, east to the foothills of the San Bernardino Mountains in San Bernardino County, south through western Riverside and eastern Orange Counties to central coastal San Diego County. About 18 out of 27 historical populations are known to exist throughout its range. The majority of the populations are centered on the Santa Rosa Plateau, Riverside County, California, and in the vicinity of Carlsbad, Vista, and San Marcos, San Diego County, California.

*Navarretia fossalis* (spreading navarretia) is a low, white-flowered annual of the phlox family that is found primarily in association with vernal pools, alkali grassland, and vernal alkali flood plains. *N. fossalis* is known from a single occurrence in Los Angeles County, California, the lowlands of western Riverside County, California, and coastal San Diego County south into northwestern Baja California, Mexico. Fewer than 30 populations of this species are known in the United States. These populations are concentrated in three locations: Otay Mesa in southern San Diego County, near Hemet and along the San Jacinto River in Riverside County.

These species are threatened by one or more of the following factors: Habitat destruction and fragmentation from agricultural and urban development, pipeline construction, alterations of wetland hydrology by draining or channelization, clay mining, off-road vehicle activity, cattle and sheep