

[FR Doc. 95-5531 Filed 3-6-95; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

[TA-W-30,523]

**Xerox Corporation, Canadian, Latin American Manufacturing Organization, Pittsford, New York; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration**

By an application dated January 26, 1995, one of the petitioners requested administrative reconsideration of the subject petition for trade adjustment assistance, TAA. The denial notice was issued on January 12, 1995 and published in the Federal Register on February 10, 1995 (60 FR 8061).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;
- (2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or
- (3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

Investigation findings show that the workers of Canadian Latin American Manufacturing Organization (CLAMO) of Xerox Corporation in Pittsford, New York do not produce an article within the meaning of the Trade Act. The workers instead perform engineering and support services for articles produced overseas.

Only in very limited circumstances are service workers certified for TAA, namely, the worker separations must be caused by a reduced demand for their services from a parent or controlling firm or subdivision whose workers produce an article and who are currently under a certification for TAA. (Emphasis supplied). These conditions were not met for the CLAMO workers of Xerox in Pittsford, New York.

The workers at the Office of Document Products in Henrietta, New York were certified because their services were in direct support of the production done at Xerox' Webster, New York plant whose workers were certified under petition TA-W-29,744.

The Trade Act was not intended to provide TAA benefits to everyone who is in some way affected by foreign competition but only to those who produced an article and experienced a decline in sales or production and employment as a result of increased

imports of like or directly competitive products.

**Conclusion**

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 22nd day of February, 1995.

Victor J. Trunzo,

*Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 95-5532 Filed 3-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

**Mine Safety and Health Administration**

**Advisory Committee; Establishment**

**AGENCY:** Mine Safety and Health Administration, Labor.

**ACTION:** Notice; extension of comment period.

**SUMMARY:** In response to comments from the mining community, the Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA) is expanding the membership of its proposed advisory committee to eliminate pneumoconiosis among coal miners. To allow time for the mining community to respond to this change, MSHA is extending the comment period on the establishment of the advisory committee.

**DATES:** Comments must be filed on or before March 17, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Send written comments to the Office of Standards, Regulations and Variances, MSHA, Room 631, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22203.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Patricia W. Silvey, Director, Office of Standards, Regulations and Variances, MSHA, (703) 235-1910.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On January 31, 1995, the Secretary of Labor published a notice in the Federal Register (60 FR 5947) announcing the establishment of an advisory committee on the elimination of pneumoconiosis among coal miners. Comments regarding the establishment of the committee were due on March 1, 1995, as indicated in a notice extending the comment period published on February 17, 1995 (60 FR 9411).

In the January 1995 notice, MSHA announced that there would be seven committee members: one representing

labor, one representing industry, and five persons who have no economic interest in the industry. In the comments received to date, several members of the mining community requested that the committee be expanded to include two labor representatives and two industry representatives. In response to these comments, MSHA has amended the proposed charter. With this notice, MSHA is announcing a nine-person advisory committee: two representing labor, two representing industry, and five persons who have no economic interest in the industry. To allow persons sufficient time to comment on this change, MSHA is extending the comment period until March 17, 1995.

Dated: March 2, 1995.

Andrea M. Hricko,

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Mine Safety and Health.*

[FR Doc. 95-5568 Filed 3-2-95; 3:40 pm]

BILLING CODE 4510-43-P

**NATIONAL EDUCATION GOALS PANEL**

**National Education Goals Panel Meeting**

**AGENCY:** National Education Goals Panel.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** This notice sets forth the date and location of a forthcoming meeting of the National Education Goals Panel. This notice also describes the functions of the Panel.

**DATES:** March 13, 1995 from 1 p.m.-3 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** J.W. Marriott Hotel, 1331 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Salon G, Washington, DC 20005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Noemi Friedlander, Deputy Director, 1850 M Street, N.W., Suite 270, Washington, DC 20036. Telephone: (202) 632-0952.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The National Education Goals Panel, a bipartisan panel of governors, members of the Administration, members of Congress and state legislators, was created to monitor and report annually to the President, Governor and Congress on the progress of the nation toward meeting the National Education Goals adopted by the President and Governors in 1989.

The meeting of the Panel is open to the public. The agenda includes a discussion of the evolving role and impact of national academic standards