

APPENDIX A TO PART 117—DRAWBRIDGES EQUIPPED WITH RADIOTELEPHONES

| Waterway | Mile | Location | Bridge name and owner | Call sign | Calling channel | Working channel |
|--|------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| NEW JERSEY | | | | | | |
| * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| New Jersey Intracoastal Waterway. (Beach Thorofare) | 68.9 | Atlantic City | AMTRAK | WXZ 528. | 13 | 13 |
| * | * | * | * | * | * | * |

Dated: February 3, 1995.
W.J. Ecker,
*Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander
 Fifth Coast Guard District.*
 [FR Doc. 95-5386 Filed 3-3-95; 8:45 am]
 BILLING CODE 4910-14-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 95-3-6638b; FRL-5160-1]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision; San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) that concern the control of volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from in-situ combustion well vents. The intended effect of proposing approval of this rule is to regulate emissions of VOCs in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). In the Rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the state's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on

this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by April 5, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this action should be addressed to: Daniel A. Meer, Rulemaking Section (A-5-3), Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

Copies of the rule revisions and EPA's evaluation report of each rule are available for public inspection at EPA's Region IX office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rule revisions are also available for inspection at the following locations:

California Air Resources Board,
 Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 95812.

San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District, 1999 Tuolumne Street, Suite 200, Fresno, California 93721.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mae Wang, Rulemaking Section (A-5-3), Air and Toxics Division, U.S.

Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901, Telephone: (415) 744-1200.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This document concerns San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District Rule 4407, In-Situ Combustion Well Vents, submitted to EPA on July 13, 1994 by the California Air Resources Board. For further information, please see the information provided in the direct final action which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: February 8, 1995.

Felicia Marcus,
Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 95-5343 Filed 3-3-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-W

40 CFR Part 52

[IL99-01-6621, IN46-01-6622, MI33-01-6626, WI47-01-6627; FRL-5165-1]

Approval of a Section 182(f) Exemption; Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, and Wisconsin

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: On July 13, 1994, the States of Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, and Wisconsin (the States) submitted to the EPA a petition (the petition) for an exemption from the requirements of section 182(f) of the Clean Air Act (Act). The States, acting through the Lake Michigan Air Directors Consortium (LADCo), are petitioning for an exemption from the Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) and New Source Review (NSR) requirements for major stationary sources of oxides of nitrogen (NO_x). In the Lake Michigan Ozone Study (LMOS) modeling domain, the RACT requirements apply to major stationary sources of NO_x located in areas currently classified as moderate and above nonattainment for ozone. The NSR requirements apply to major stationary sources of NO_x located in areas currently classified as marginal and above nonattainment for ozone. The petition also seeks an exemption from the transportation and general conformity requirements for NO_x in all ozone nonattainment areas in the modeling domain. Although the petition does not specifically request an exemption from the Inspection/Maintenance (I/M) program requirements, the approval of the petition will impact the I/M NO_x