Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW, Washington, D.C. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service, Inc., (202) 857–3800, 2100 M Street, NW, Suite 140, Washington, D.C. 20037.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

Part 73 of Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 73—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 73 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Secs. 303, 48 Stat., as amended, 1082; 47 U.S.C. 154, as amended.

§ 73.202 [Amended]

2. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Ohio, is amended by adding North Kingsville, Channel 298A.

3. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Pennsylvania, is amended by adding Cambridge Springs, Channel 283A, and Fairview, Channel 230A.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 95–5253 Filed 3–2–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–F

---

47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 94–112; RM–8516]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Farmville, Virginia

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission, at the request of Farmville Herald, Inc, allots Channel 225A to Farmville, Virginia. *See* 59 FR 50719, October 5, 1994. Channel 225A can be allotted to Farmville, Virginia, in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements without the imposition of a site restriction. The coordinates for Channel 225A at Farmville are 37–18–00 and 78–23–48. With this action, this proceeding is terminated.

DATES: Effective April 14, 1995. The window period for filing applications will open on April 14, 1995, and close on May 15, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pam Blumenthal, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418–2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's *Report and Order,* MM Docket No. 94–112, adopted February 21, 1995, and released February 28, 1995. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW, Washington, D.C. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, ITS, Inc., (202) 857–3800, 2100 M Street, NW, Suite 140, Washington, D.C. 20037.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

PART 73—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 73 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Secs. 303, 48 Stat., as amended, 1082; 47 U.S.C. 154, as amended.

§ 73.202 [Amended]

2. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Virginia, is amended by adding Channel 225A at Farmville.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A Karousos,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 95–5254 Filed 3–2–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–F

---

47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 94–114; RM–8515]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Ettrick, Virginia

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission, at the request of Hoffman Communications, Inc., allots Channel 226A to Ettrick, Virginia. *See* 59 FR 50887, October 6, 1994. Channel 226A can be allotted to Ettrick, Virginia, in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements with a site restriction of 12.3 kilometers (7.6 miles) northwest in order to avoid a short-spacing conflict with Station WFOG(FM), Channel 225B, Suffolk, Virginia. The coordinates for Channel 226A at Ettrick are 37–1753 and 77–32–53. With this action, this proceeding is terminated.

DATES: Effective April 14, 1995. The window period for filing applications will open on April 14, 1995, and close on May 15, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pam Blumenthal, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418–2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's *Report and Order,* MM Docket No. 94–114, adopted February 15, 1995, and released February 28, 1995. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW, Washington, D.C. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, ITS, Inc., (202) 857–3800, 2100 M Street, NW, Suite 140, Washington, D.C. 20037.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

PART 73—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 73 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Secs. 303, 48 Stat., as amended, 1082; 47 U.S.C. 154, as amended.

§ 73.202 [Amended]

2. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Virginia, is amended by adding Ettrick, Channel 226A.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A Karousos,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 95–5186 Filed 3–2–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–F

INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT COOPERATION AGENCY

Agency for International Development

48 CFR Parts 701, 703, 715, 724, 731, 752, and Appendix G to Chapter 7

[AIDAR Notice 95–1]

Miscellaneous Amendments to Acquisition Regulations

AGENCY: United States Agency for International Development, IDCA.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Agency for International Development Acquisition Regulation (AIDAR) is being amended to make various administrative changes, to add coverage releasing proprietary and/or source selection information outside the Government for evaluation purposes, and to revise salary approval requirements.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 3, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: M/OP/P, Ms. Frances Maki or Ms. Kathleen O'Hara concerning the release of proposals outside the Government, Room 1600I, U.S. Agency for International Development, Washington, D.C. 20523–1435. Telephone: (703) 875–1534.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The AIDAR is being amended to change the salary approval requirement from the maximum for an FS–1 to the maximum for a Senior Executive, Level 6. The approval authority for salaries above the ES–6 is the Procurement Executive rather than AA or Mission Director. Coverage is added on the use of non-Government evaluators and evaluation assistance contractors including certification requirements from such non-Government evaluators or evaluation assistance contractors before they can release information outside the government.

The changes being made by this document are editorial and administrative and are not considered significant rules under FAR Section 1.301 or Subpart 1.5, nor economically significant rules as defined in Executive Order 12866. This document will not have an impact on a substantial number of small entities, nor does it establish any collection of information as contemplated by the Regulatory Flexibility Act and Paperwork Reduction Act. Because of the nature of this document, use of the proposed rule/public comments approach was not considered necessary. USAID has decided to issue this document as a final rule; however, we welcome public comment on the material covered by this document or any part of the AIDAR at any time. Comments or questions may be addressed as specified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of the preamble.

List of Subjects in 48 CFR Parts 701, 703, 715, 724, 731, 752 and Appendix G

Government procurement.

Accordingly for the reasons set out in the Preamble, 48 CFR Chapter 7 is amended as follows:

1. The authority citations in Parts 701, 703, 715, 724, 731 and 752 and continue to read as follows:

Authority: Sec. 621, Pub. L. 87–195, Stat. 445 (22 U.S.C. 2381), as amended; E.O. 12163, Sept. 29, 1979, 44 FR 56673, 3 CFR 1979 Comp., 435.

PART 701—FEDERAL ACQUISITION REGULATION SYSTEM

701.601 [Amended]

2. Section 701.601(b)(4) is amended by removing ''Director, Office of International Training'' and replacing it with ''Director, Office of Field Support and Technical Assistance (G/HCD/FSTA).''

PART 703—IMPROPER BUSINESS PRACTICES AND PERSONAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

3. A new subpart 703.1 is added to read as follows:

Subpart 703.1—Safeguards

703.104–5 Disclosure, protection, and marking of proprietary and source selection information.

A Contracting Officer may authorize release of proprietary and/or source selection information outside the Government for evaluation purposes pursuant to (FAR) 48 CFR 15.413–2, Alternative II, as implemented by (AIDAR) 48 CFR 715.413–2, Alternate II.

Subpart 703.4—Contingent Fees

703.403 [Amended]

4. Section 703.403 is amended by removing ''FAR 3.404(B)(4)'' and replacing it with ''(FAR) 48 CFR 3.404(b)(4).''

PART 715—CONTRACTING BY NEGOTIATION

5. A new subpart 715.4 is added to read as follows:

Subpart 715.4—Solicitation and Receipt of Proposals and Quotations

715.413–2 Alternate II.

(a) A contracting officer may, subject to the requirements of this section and (FAR) 48 CFR 15.413–2, authorize release of proposals outside the Government for evaluation:

(1) When an evaluation assistance contractor (EAC) is required to provide technical advisory or other services relating to the evaluation of proposals; or

(2) When an individual other than a Government employee, known as a non-Government evaluator (NGE), is selected to serve as a member of an AID technical evaluation committee.

(b) Prior to releasing proposals outside the Government for evaluation, the contracting officer shall obtain a signed and dated copy of a certification and agreement from each NGE and EAC. See paragraph (c).

(c) A certification and agreement substantially as follows:

Certification and Agreement for the Use and Disclosure of Proposals

With respect to proposals submitted in response to AID solicitation no. _____, the undersigned hereby agrees, and/or certifies, to the following:

1. I will use the proposals, and all information therein other than information otherwise available without restriction, for evaluation purposes only. I will safeguard the proposals, and will not remove them from the site at which the evaluation is conducted unless authorized by the Contracting Officer. In addition, I will not disclose them, or any information contained in them (other than information otherwise available without restriction), except as directed or approved by the Contracting Officer.

2. I will ensure that any authorized restrictive legends placed on the proposals by prospective contractors or subcontractors, or AID, will be applied to any reproduction, or abstract of information, made by me.

3. Upon completing the evaluation, I will return all copies of the proposals, and any abstracts thereof, to the AID office which initially furnished them to me.

4. Unless authorized by the contracting officer in advance in writing, I will not,—whether before, during, or after the evaluation—contact any prospective contractor or subcontractor, or their employees, representatives or agents, concerning any aspect of the proposal.

5. I have carefully reviewed my employment (past, present and under consideration) and financial interests, as well as those of my household family members. Based on this review, I certify, to the best of my knowledge and belief as of the date indicated below, that I either (1) have no actual or potential conflict of interest, personal or organizational, that could diminish my capacity to perform an impartial and objective evaluation of the proposals, or that might otherwise result in an unfair competitive advantage to one or more prospective contractors or subcontractors, or (2) have fully disclosed all such conflicts to the contracting officer, and will comply fully, subject to termination of my evaluation services, with any instructions by the contracting officer to mitigate, avoid, or neutralize conflicts(s). I understand that I will also be under a continuing obligation to disclose, and act as instructed concerning, such conflicts discovered at any time prior to the completion of the evaluation.

Signature: _____

Name Typed or Printed: _____

Date: _____

(2) An Optional form 333, Procurement Integrity Certification for Procurement Officials, as required by FAR 15.413–2(f)(6). The words ''leave the Government'' in the fourth sentence of that form mean ''cease to function as a procurement official.''

PART 724—PROTECTION OF PRIVACY AND FREEDOM OF INFORMATION

724.170 [Amended]

PART 731—CONTRACT COST PRINCIPLES AND PROCEDURES

731.205 [Amended]

6 and 7. Subsection 731.205–6(d) is amended by removing ''Foreign Service Officer Class FS–1'' and ''FS–1'' and replacing it with ''Executive Service level ES–6'' and ''ES–6'' respectively.

8. Section 731.371(b) is amended by removing ''Foreign Service Officer Class FS–1'' and ''FS–1'' and replacing it with ''Executive Service level ES–6'' and ''ES–6'' respectively.

PART 752—SOLICITATION PROVISIONS AND CONTRACT CLAUSES

752.7004 [Amended]

9. Section 752.7004, paragraph (b)(5) is amended by removing the second ''which'' and replacing it with ''such''.

752.7035 [Amended]

10. Section 752.7035 is amended by removing ''Office of External Affairs'' wherever it appears and replacing it with ''Legislative and Public Affairs (LPA).''

11. Appendix G to Chapter 7 is revised to read as follows:

Appendix G—Approval Procedures for Contractor Salaries

1. Purpose

This Appendix provides guidelines for use when considering contractor salaries, and procedures for approval of contractor salaries exceeding the maximum salary level for Senior Executive Service level ES–6.

2. Procedures

(a) *General.* Personnel compensation negotiated and payable under USAID contracts should be at the minimum levels necessary to attract needed technical services in a competitive market. Rates should be determined by the market place where the types of services are obtained. Using such criteria, very few salaries should approach or exceed the ES–6 level. Actual discussions with contractors concerning salaries should be held only by persons authorized to negotiate and execute contracts (see (AIDAR) Appendix A to this Chapter).

(b) In accordance with 731.205–6, 731–371(b) and 731.772, approval of contractors salaries exceeding the maximum for Executive Service Level 6 are to be based upon a Memorandum from the technical office through the cognizant Assistant Administrator or Mission Director and the Contracting Officer to the Procurement Executive for approval. The reasonableness of proposed salaries exceeding the ES–6 level must be evaluated by the appropriate technical office in terms of the technical competence required, scope of supervisory responsibilities involved, and the relationship of the proposed salary level to the individual's customary salary level for similar work. The Contracting Officer shall clear the Memorandum if he/she concurs with the action. If he/she does not concur, a memorandum explaining the reasons shall be attached to the approval request and forwarded with it to the Procurement Executive. Increases in the ES–6 salary levels are not, and shall not be by themselves, the basis for upward salary increases of institutional contractor employees. Proposals for revisions should be considered normally when contracts are renewed or amended, and must be fully reviewed and negotiated to ensure that increases are not automatically granted without corresponding increases in the quality or quantity of services rendered. It is the Contracting Officer's responsibility to scrutinize increases as a matter of good business practice whenever USAID negotiations deal with any salaries payable under contracts. Salaries below the ES–6 maximum level should also be fully justified, even though formal approval procedures may not be involved. The justification should be a part of the negotiation memorandum and placed in the contract file.

Dated: December 12, 1994.

Michael D. Sherwin,

Procurement Executive.

[FR Doc. 95– 4111 Filed 3–2–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6116–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

49 CFR Parts 571, 575

[Docket No. 93–81, Notice 03]

RIN 2127–AE70

Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards, New Pneumatic Tires; Consumer Information Regulations Uniform Tire Quality Grading Standards

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: This document corrects test inflation pressures associated with the 350 kilopascal (kPa) (51 pounds per square inch (psi)) maximum inflation pressure for certain passenger car tires specified in the Uniform Tire Quality Grading Standards and the safety standard on new pneumatic tires. NHTSA makes this correction in response to a submission from the Rubber Manufacturers Association.

DATES: *Effective date:* The amendments in this correction document are effective March 3, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Terri Droneburg, Office of Vehicle Safety Standards, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 400 Seventh Street SW., Room 5307, Washington, DC 20590. Ms. Droneburg's number is: (202) 366–4803.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 109, *New Pneumatic Tires,* specifies requirements for passenger car tires for strength, endurance, high speed performance, and bead unseating resistance. In addition, the standard defines tire load ratings and specifies dimensions, maximum inflation pressures, and labeling requirements.

Paragraph S4.2.1(b) of the standard specifies separate maximum permissible inflation pressures for CT tires (pneumatic tires with an inverted flange tire and rim system in which the rim is designed with rim flanges pointed radially inward and the tire is designed to fit on the underside of the rim in a manner that encloses the rim flanges inside the air cavity of the tire), and for tires other than CT tires (non-CT tires). In effect, S4.2.1(b) proscribes maximum permissible inflation pressures other than the ones listed.

A manufacturer's selection of a maximum permissible inflation pressure for a given tire has the effect under the Standard of determining the pressure at which that tire will be tested for compliance. For each maximum permissible inflation pressure, Table II of Appendix A of Standard No. 109 specifies pressures at which compliance tests will be conducted. Table II specifies one pressure for tests of physical dimensions, bead unseating, tire strength, and tire endurances, and specifies another pressure for the high speed performance test.

A manufacturer's selection of a maximum permissible inflation pressure for a given tire also has the effect under the Standard of determining the pressure at which that tire will be tested under the Uniform Tire Quality Grading Standards (UTQGS) (49 CFR 575.104). The UTQGS specify that passenger car tires be labeled with information about the relative performance of the tires in the areas of treadwear, traction, and temperature resistance. Table 1 of the UTQGS specifies, for each maximum permissible inflation pressure, pressures at which compliance tests for treadwear and temperature resistance will be conducted.

On August 1, 1994, NHTSA published a final rule (59 FR 38938) amending Standard No. 109 and the UTQGS by making changes permitting the manufacture and sale of CT and non-CT