

of NMP-2 to the currently licensed power level. Denial of the amendment would prevent the facility from generating the approximately additional 45 MWe that is obtainable from the existing plant.

#### *Alternative Use of Resources*

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the "Final Environmental Statement related to the operation of Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit No. 2," dated May 1985.

#### *Agencies and Persons Consulted*

The Commission's staff reviewed the licensee's request and consulted with the New York State official regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comment regarding the NRC's proposed action.

#### **Finding of No Significant Impact**

Based upon the foregoing environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment.

Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed license amendment.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated July 22, 1993, as supplemented January 9, 1995. These documents are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20555 and at the Reference and Documents Department, Penfield Library, State University of New York, Oswego, New York 13126.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 24th day of February 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

#### **Ledyard B. Marsh,**

*Director, Project Directorate I-1, Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 95-5137 Filed 3-01-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

#### **FOIA User Conference**

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of public conference.

**SUMMARY:** The NRC will hold a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Users Conference as a part of its renewed commitment to improving openness and responsiveness to the public. The

purpose of the conference will be to open communications between NRC and its FOIA user community, to explain alternatives for access to NRC information, and to obtain FOIA users' views for improving the process.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held on Thursday, March 23, 1995, from 9:30 a.m. to 12:00 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** Conference Room T-6 A1 of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Gigi Rammling, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington DC 20055-0001. Telephone (301) 415-7090.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The general public is invited to participate, particularly those who contemplate the need to obtain information from the NRC in the future. Invitations have been sent directly to some frequent and more recent NRC FOIA requesters. However, because of limited seating, advance reservations will be required.

Reservations may be made by contacting Ms. Gigi Rammling at 301/415-7090. Those requiring special accommodations should contact Ms. Rammling no later than Monday, March 13, 1995.

#### **Agenda**

The agenda for the meeting will include the following:

- (1) Overview of FOIA requirements;
- (2) NRC's openness policy and current practices;
- (3) Overview of NRC processing procedures;
- (4) Question/Answer Session.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 27th day of February 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

#### **Carlton C. Kammerer,**

*Director, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services Office of Administration.*

[FR Doc. 95-5136 Filed 3-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

#### **[Docket No. 50-261]**

#### **Carolina Power & Light Company; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing**

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-

23 issued to Carolina Power & Light Company (the licensee) for operation of the H.B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant, Unit No. 2, located in Darlington County, South Carolina.

The proposed amendment would increase the degraded grid voltage relay (DGVR) setpoint to comply with revised voltage criteria established by Carolina Power & Light Company's alternating current auxiliary electrical distribution system voltage/load flow/fault current study. The DGVR setpoint will be changed from 415 plus or minus 4 volts to 430 plus or minus 4 volts. The revised criteria would provide a voltage setting such that continuous duty, safety-related motors will not be allowed to operate at terminal voltages below the voltage required for proper operation for periods of time greater than the time delay setting of the DGVR.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. The proposed amendment does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

The increase in the DGVR setpoint will prevent motor operation at terminal voltages below which motor overheating and possible life reduction could occur, due to sustained offsite power degradation under the design basis plant operating scenario. The new setting ensures that the emergency buses are transferred to their respective diesel generators at offsite power voltage levels higher than allowed by the existing setting. Analysis has determined that the new DGVR setting will not result in unnecessary offsite power separations, due to motor starting transients, during normal power operation or postulated accident conditions.

The function of the DGVR remains unchanged. The design configuration of the DGVR circuit remains unchanged. The proposed amendment will increase the minimum voltage available at the safety

buses and maintain safety related loads within their voltage requirements under degraded conditions.

The change to the DGVR trip setpoint also considered the minimum bus recovery voltage following a transient that would reset the relay to prevent unnecessary transfers to the emergency diesel generators. With the offsite system at the minimum predicted voltage, the DGVRs will reset following a motor starting transient. Therefore, there would be no increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

2. The proposed amendment does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

The higher DGVR setpoint improves the operation of continuous duty, safety-related motors, in that it ensures motor terminal voltages of sufficient value for proper operation. The higher setting has been evaluated against plant system transient voltage conditions under minimum predicted switchyard voltages and determined to result in no risk of spurious relay actuations. The proposed change is in the of DGVR only. The function of the DGVR circuit remains unchanged. Failure of the relays at their new setpoint would not change the failure analysis. The proposed amendment to the DGVR setpoint ensures appropriate automatic action will be taken in the event voltage sufficient to operate required vital electrical loads within acceptable voltage limits is not available. Therefore, the proposed changes do not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

3. The proposed amendment does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The proposed amendment will increase the minimum voltage limit at the emergency buses. This increase in DGVR setpoint ensures that the minimum voltage requirements for vital loads will be available including under degraded offsite voltage conditions or automatic action will occur to restore voltage. Calculations have determined that the proposed setpoint meets current design requirements. Therefore, the proposed changes do not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period.

However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Rules Review and Directives Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and should cite the publication date and page number of this **Federal Register** notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D22, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Copies of written comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By April 3rd, 1995, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Hartsville Memorial Library, 147 West College Avenue, Hartsville, South Carolina 29550. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the

Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these

requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last 10 days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at 1-(800) 248-5100 (in Missouri 1-(800) 342-6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number N1023 and the following message addressed to William H. Bateman: petitioner's name and telephone number, date petition was mailed, plant name, and publication date and page number of this **Federal Register** notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and to R. E. Jones, General Counsel, Carolina Power & Light Company, Post Office Box 1551, Raleigh, North Carolina 27602, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained

absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated August 23, 1994, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Hartsville Memorial Library, 147 West College Avenue, Hartsville, South Carolina 29550.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 23rd day of February 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Brenda L. Mozafari,**

*Project Manager, Project Directorate II-1, Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 95-5138 Filed 3-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

**[Docket No. 70-3070-ML; ASLBP No. 91-641-02-ML (Special Nuclear Material License)]**

**Louisiana Energy Services, L.P. (Claiborne Enrichment Center); Memorandum and Order (Notice of Prehearing Conference and Evidentiary Hearing)**

February 24, 1995.

This proceeding concerns the licensing of a proposed uranium enrichment facility in Claiborne Parish, Louisiana. Notice is hereby given that an evidentiary hearing in this proceeding will commence on Monday, March 13, 1995, at the First Floor Magistrate's Courtroom, United States Federal Courthouse, 300 Fannin Street, Shreveport, Louisiana 71101. The evidentiary hearing will begin immediately after a prehearing conference that will commence at 2:00 p.m. The evidentiary hearing will continue, to the extent necessary, on March 14-17 and March 20-24 at that same location, beginning at 9:00 a.m. each day.

Rockville, Maryland, February 24, 1995.

For the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board.

**Thomas S. Moore,**

*Chairman, Administrative Judge.*

[FR Doc. 95-5139 Filed 3-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

**[Docket No. 50-508-OL; ASLBP Docket No. 83-486-01-OL]**

**Washington Public Power Supply System; WPPSS Nuclear Project No. 3; Notice of Reconstitution of Board**

Pursuant to the authority contained in 10 CFR 2.721, the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board for Washington Public Power Supply System (WPPSS Nuclear Project No. 3), with the above-identified Docket No., is hereby reconstituted by appointing Administrative Judge Charles Bechhoefer as Chairman of the Licensing Board in place of Administrative Law Judge Morton B. Margulies who has retired.

As reconstituted, the Board is comprised of the following Administrative Judges:

Charles Bechhoefer, Chairman  
Richard F. Foster  
Frederick J. Shon

All correspondence, documents and other material shall be filed with the Board in accordance with 10 CFR 2.701 (1980). The address of the new Chairman is: Charles Bechhoefer, Chairman, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 24th day of February 1995.

**James P. Gleason,**

*Acting Chief Administrative Judge, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel.*

[FR Doc. 95-5140 Filed 3-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

## POSTAL RATE COMMISSION

**[Docket No. A95-4; Order No. 1045]**

**Numa, Iowa 52575 (Charles H. Figge, Petitioner); Notice and Order Accepting Appeal and Establishing Procedural Schedule Under 39 U.S.C. 404(b)(5)**

Issued February 24, 1995.

Before Commissioners: Edward J. Gleiman, Chairman; W. H. "Trey" LeBlanc III, Vice-Chairman; George W. Haley; H. Edward Quick, Jr.; Wayne A. Schley.

*Docket Number:* A95-4

*Name of Affected Post Office:* Numa, Iowa 52575

*Name(s) of Petitioner(s):* Charles H. Figge

*Type of Determination:* Closing

*Date of Filing of Appeal Papers:* February 22, 1995