

to abandon a natural gas transportation service between Applicants and ANR Pipeline Company (ANR) for ultimate use as storage gas for United Cities Gas Company (Cities), all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicants state that they propose to abandon a transportation service initiated to implement a storage agreement for annual storage of up to 100,000 Mcf of natural gas by ANR for Cities. Applicants also state that the agreement is dated July 13, 1979, as amended, April 17, 1980. Applicants indicate that Panhandle provides its service under its Rate Schedule T-39, and Trunkline provides its service under its Rate Schedule T-61. Applicants further state that the service was authorized in Docket No. CP79-438. It is indicated that the agreement provides for delivery of gas at a rate of up to 500 Mcf per day to ANR during the 1980 and ensuing summer periods. Applicants aver that during the summer period Trunkline effects delivery to Panhandle by reducing existing deliveries of up to 500 Mcf per day of natural gas to Cities at an existing point of interconnection in Massac County, Illinois and the thermally equivalent volumes, not taken by Cities, are then delivered by Panhandle to ANR at an existing point of interconnection between Panhandle and ANR in Defiance County, Ohio, for storage. Applicants further indicate that during the winter period, Panhandle would receive daily volumes from ANR and Trunkline would make daily redeliveries of thermally equivalent volumes to Cities in Massac County, Illinois.

Applicants indicate that the agreement provides for a primary term of fifteen years with extensions provided for on a year-to-year basis until terminated by either party upon at least twelve months written notice. Applicants state that they and Cities have agreed to terminate the transportation service, effective April 1, 1995. Applicants further state that the interconnection with Cities will continue to be available for open access transportation service.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before March 17, 1995, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR

157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to the proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, and if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that the abandonment is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provide for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicants to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-5106 Filed 3-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP95-218-000]

**Texas Eastern Transmission Corp.;
Petition for Declaratory Order**

February 24, 1995.

Take notice that on February 22, 1995, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Texas Eastern), P.O. Box 1642, Houston, Texas 77252-1642, filed in Docket No. CP95-218-000 a petition under Rule 207 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.207) requesting that the Commission confirm that Order No. 636 does not create a *per se* rule prohibiting interstate pipelines which have implemented Order No. 636 from entering into contracts for transportation or storage capacity on other interstate pipelines.

Texas Eastern submits that the Commission's preliminary determination in Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation, 69 FERC ¶ 61,132 (1994),¹ incorrectly created a

¹ Texas Eastern states that the Request for Hearing of this decision has been rendered moot by a

per se rule that precludes a pipeline from holding pipeline capacity on other pipelines for economic (as distinguished from operational) reasons. Texas Eastern contends that such a *per se* rule against economically desirable transactions is contrary to the policy behind Order No. 636 and is in conflict with prior Commission decisions. It is asserted that, if not corrected, the position that interstate pipelines cannot contract for capacity on other interstate pipelines will undermine the Commission's efforts in Order No. 636 to create a flexible, competitively responsive natural gas industry. Texas Eastern states that the ultimate loser will be not just interstate pipelines, but consumers who need new facilities and services as well.

Texas Eastern asserts that, unless corrected, the preliminary order will foreclose the development of new services in most circumstances in which more than one pipeline is needed to perform a new service. It is stated that in the new, post-Order No. 636 environment, it is critically important that pipelines be allowed to hold capacity on upstream or downstream pipelines. To create new services for new markets, Texas Eastern contends that a pipeline must be able to acquire firm transportation capacity rights on other pipelines in areas where the pipeline does not have transportation facilities.

Texas Eastern contends that the Commission will still have its jurisdiction to review contracts between pipelines and may withhold approval where it finds them to be anti-competitive or otherwise contrary to the public interest. It is stated that the Commission should not, however, create a *per se* rule against pipelines holding capacity on upstream or downstream pipelines. Texas Eastern argues that where the contractual arrangement is not opposed by any party and is being used to provide new services demanded by the market, such arrangements should be permitted. Texas Eastern submits that the Commission should promptly issue a Declaratory Order finding that interstate pipelines that have implemented Order No. 636 may contract for transportation or storage capacity on other interstate pipelines.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition should on or before March 17, 1995, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a

settlement filed by the parties in this proceeding on February 21, 1995.

protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-5109 Filed 3-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP95-208-000]

Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co.; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

February 24, 1995.

Take notice that on February 21, 1995, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee), P.O. Box 2511, Houston, Texas 77252, filed in Docket No. CP95-208-000 a request pursuant to §§ 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.212) for authorization to operate four existing delivery taps which were installed under the authorization of Section 311 of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978, under Tennessee's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-413-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the commission and open to public inspection.

Tennessee requests authorization to operate the delivery points for jurisdictional service as well as for the non-jurisdictional service for which they were installed. It is stated that the delivery points are located in Tuscarawas County, Ohio; Plaquemines Parish, Louisiana; Powell County, Kentucky; and Columbia County, New York. It is asserted that Tennessee would use the delivery points for the delivery of gas transported under its Part 284 blanket certificate. Tennessee states that operation of the delivery points is not prohibited by its existing tariff. It is explained that the proposed deliveries would have no impact on Tennessee's peak day or annual deliveries and that Tennessee has sufficient capacity to accomplish the deliveries without detriment or disadvantage to its other existing customers.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to § 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-5108 Filed 3-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. GT95-23-000]

Questar Pipeline Co.; Tariff Filing

February 24, 1995.

Take notice that on February 21, 1995, Questar Pipeline Company, tendered for filing and acceptance to be effective March 1, 1995, Second Revised Sheet No. 8 and First Revised Sheet No. 8A of First Revised Volume No. 1 of its FERC Gas Tariff.

Questar states that this filing updates its Index of Shippers by reflecting information regarding firm and non-notice transportation service agreements that were executed subsequent to Questar's August 1, 1994, filing in Docket No. RP94-331-000.

Questar states further that a copy of this filing has been served upon its jurisdictional customers as well as the Utah and Wyoming public service commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with this Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 385.211 and 385.214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before March 3, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are

on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-5107 Filed 3-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP95-28-000]

Williams Natural Gas Co.; Technical Conference

February 24, 1995.

In the Commission's order issued on November 30, 1994 in the above-captioned proceeding, the Commission ordered that a technical conference be convened to resolve issues raised by the filing. The conference to address the issues has been scheduled for March 21, 1995, at 10:00 a.m. in a room to be designated at the offices of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 810 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-5110 Filed 3-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

Western Area Power Administration

Provo River Project Notice of Rate Order No. WAPA-65

AGENCY: Western Area Power Administration, DOE.

ACTION: Notice of Rate Order—Provo River Project.

SUMMARY: Notice is given of the confirmation and approval by the Deputy Secretary of the Department of Energy (DOE) of Rate Order No. WAPA-65 placing into effect a formula for determining annual, power-related payments for the Provo River Project (PRP) of the Western Area Power Administration (Western) on an interim basis. The formula will remain in effect on an interim basis until the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) confirms, approves, and makes it effective on a final basis or until it is replaced by another method.

Statement of Revenue and Related Expenses

The power-related revenue requirements for the Provo River Project (PRP) will be based upon projections contained in the annual power repayment study (PRS). Differences between estimated and actual costs will be adjusted when final financial data becomes available. The following table is based on the fiscal year (FY) 1994 preliminary PRS and provides a