

repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must use the authority provided in paragraph (e) to request approval from the FAA. This approval may address either no action, if the current configuration eliminates the unsafe condition; or different actions necessary to address the unsafe condition described in this AD. Such a request should include an assessment of the effect of the changed configuration on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD. In no case does the presence of any modification, alteration, or repair remove any airplane from the applicability of this AD.

**Compliance:** Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent reduced structural integrity of the airplane, accomplish the following:

(a) For airplanes listed in McDonnell Douglas MD-11 Alert Service Bulletin A54-49, dated December 2, 1994, accomplish the requirements of paragraphs (a)(1) and (a)(2) of this AD.

(1) Within 30 days after January 12, 1995 (the effective date of AD 94-26-11, amendment 39-9106), unless accomplished previously within the last 30 days prior to January 12, 1995, perform a visual inspection to detect cracking of the outboard and inboard surfaces of the upper spar angles, part numbers AUB7519-1/-2, on the number 1 and number 3 wing pylons, in accordance with McDonnell Douglas MD-11 Alert Service Bulletin A54-49, dated December 2, 1994.

(2) At the applicable time specified in either paragraph (a)(2)(i) or (a)(2)(ii) of this AD, submit a report of the results (both positive and negative findings) of the inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD to the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California 90712; or fax the report to (310) 627-5210. Information collection requirements contained in this regulation have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) and have been assigned OMB Control Number 2120-0056.

(i) For airplanes on which the inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD is accomplished after January 12, 1995: Submit the report within 10 days after performing the inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD.

(ii) For airplanes on which the inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD is accomplished prior to January 12, 1995: Submit the report within 10 days after January 12, 1995.

(b) For airplanes listed in McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin MD11-54A049, Revision 1, dated February 7, 1995, accomplish the requirements of paragraphs (b)(1) and (b)(2) of this AD.

(1) Within 30 days after the effective date of this AD, or within 60 days after accomplishing the visual inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD, whichever occurs later, perform a visual inspection to detect cracking of the outboard and inboard surfaces of the upper spar angles, part numbers AUB7519-1/-2, on the number 1

and number 3 wing pylons, in accordance with McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin MD11-54A049, Revision 1, dated February 7, 1995. Repeat this inspection thereafter, prior to further flight, following each incident of excessive maneuver, turbulence overload (as defined in MD-11 Aircraft Maintenance Manual, chapter 05-51-01), or hard landing (as defined in MD-11 Aircraft Maintenance Manual, chapter 05-51-03).

(2) At the applicable time specified in either paragraph (b)(2)(i) or (b)(2)(ii) of this AD, submit a report of the results (both positive and negative findings) of the inspections required by paragraph (b) of this AD to the Manager, Los Angeles ACO, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California 90712; or fax the report to (310) 627-5210. Information collection requirements contained in this regulation have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) and have been assigned OMB Control Number 2120-0056.

(i) For airplanes on which the inspection required by paragraph (b) of this AD is accomplished after the effective date of this AD: Submit the report within 10 days after performing any of the inspections required by paragraph (b) of this AD.

(ii) For airplanes on which the inspection required by paragraph (b) of this AD is accomplished prior to the effective date of this AD: Submit the report within 10 days after the effective date of this AD.

(c) If no cracking is detected during the inspections required by paragraphs (a) and (b) of this AD, repeat the inspection required by paragraph (b) of this AD thereafter at intervals not to exceed 60 days or 300 landings, whichever occurs first, in accordance with McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin MD11-54A049, Revision 1, dated February 7, 1995.

(d) If any cracking is detected during the inspection required by either paragraph (a) or (b) of this AD, prior to further flight, repair in accordance with a method approved by the Manager, Los Angeles ACO, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate.

(e) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Los Angeles ACO, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Los Angeles ACO.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Los Angeles ACO.

(f) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with §§ 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(g) The inspections shall be done in accordance with McDonnell Douglas MD-11 Alert Service Bulletin MD11-54A049,

Revision 1, dated February 7, 1995, and McDonnell Douglas MD-11 Alert Service Bulletin A54-49, dated December 2, 1994. The incorporation by reference of McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin MD11-54A049, Revision 1, dated February 7, 1995, is approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. The incorporation by reference of McDonnell Douglas MD-11 Alert Service Bulletin A54-49, dated December 2, 1994, was approved previously by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51 as of January 12, 1995 (59 FR 66669, December 28, 1994). Copies may be obtained from McDonnell Douglas Corporation, P.O. Box 1771, Long Beach, California 90801-1771, Attention: Business Unit Manager, Technical Administrative Support, Dept. L51, M.C. 2-98. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

(h) This amendment becomes effective on March 17, 1995.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on February 23, 1995.

**Darrell M. Pederson,**

*Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 95-4983 Filed 3-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

## 14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 94-ASO-24]

### Amendment to Class D and Class E Airspace; Fort Campbell, KY

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule; correction.

**SUMMARY:** This action corrects an error in the geographic coordinates of a final rule that was published in the **Federal Register** on December 21, 1994, Airspace Docket No. 94-ASO-24. The December 21, 1994, final rule corrected the geographic positions of the Sabre Army Heliport and the designations of the Fort Campbell, KY, Class D and Class E airspace areas.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** 0901 UTC, March 30, 1995.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael J. Powderly, System Management Branch, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, Georgia 30320; telephone (404) 305-5570.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

**History**

**Federal Register** Document 94-31309, Airspace Docket No. 94-ASO-24, published on December 21, 1994 (59 FR 65705), corrected the geographic position coordinates of the Sabre Army Heliport and the designations of the Class D and Class E airspace areas at Fort Campbell, KY. An error was discovered in the geographic position coordinates of the Sabre Army Heliport. This action corrects that error.

**Correction to Final Rule**

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, the geographic position coordinates for the Class D and Class E airspace areas at Fort Campbell, KY, as published in the **Federal Register** on December 21, 1994 (59 FR 65705), (**Federal Register** Document 94-31309; page 65706, column 3), are corrected as follows:

**§ 71.71 [Corrected]**

\* \* \* \* \*

*ASO KY D Fort Campbell, KY [Corrected]*

By removing "(Lat. 36°34'24" N, long. 87°28'50" W)" and substituting "(Lat. 36°34'14" N, long. 87°28'50" W)".

\* \* \* \* \*

*ASO KY E5 Fort Campbell, KY [Corrected]*

By removing "(Lat. 36°34'24" N, long. 87°28'50" W)" and substituting "(Lat. 36°34'14" N, long. 87°28'50" W)".

\* \* \* \* \*

Issued in College Park, Georgia, on February 10, 1995.

**Walter E. Denley,**

*Acting Manager, Air Traffic Division,  
Southern Region.*

[FR Doc. 95-4775 Filed 3-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

**DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT****Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing****24 CFR Parts 813, 905, 908, and 913**

[Docket No. R-95-1747; FR-3730-F-03]

RIN 2577-AB47

**Electronic Transmission of Required Family Data for Public Housing, Indian Housing, and the Section 8 Rental Certificate, Rental Voucher, and Moderate Rehabilitation Programs**

**AGENCY:** Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing, HUD.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This rule requires all housing agencies (HAs) to submit certain data electronically to HUD in a HUD prescribed format. For HAs that are not already automated or who determine that automation is not cost-effective, transmission of the data through the use of a service bureau is permitted. Electronic transmission is necessary because the manual submission of HUD forms has become a burden to HAs and HUD.

This rule applies to projects administered under the public housing, Indian housing, and Section 8 Rental Certificate, Rental Voucher, and Moderate Rehabilitation programs. A similar rule was issued with respect to multifamily subsidized projects administered under programs subject to the oversight of the Assistant Secretary for Housing-Federal Housing Commissioner (58 FR 61017), which was codified at 24 CFR part 208.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** April 3, 1995.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For Technical Information—Katherine M. Dillon, Director, Information Services Division, Office of Public and Indian Housing, Room 4248, telephone (202) 708-5285. For Public Housing program information—Edward C. Whipple, Director, Occupancy Division, Office of Public and Indian Housing, Room 4206, telephone (202) 708-0744. For Native American program information—Ed Fagan, Office of Native American Programs, Room B-133, telephone (202) 755-0088. These people may be reached at the Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20410. Hearing or speech-impaired individuals may call HUD's TDD number (202) 708-4594. (These telephone numbers are not toll-free.)

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

**I. Paperwork Burden**

The information collection requirements contained in this rule have been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520) and assigned approval number 2577-0083, which expires on August 31, 1997.

**II. Background**

On Thursday, October 6, 1994, the Department published a proposed rule that would require all housing agencies (HAs) to submit certain data electronically to HUD in a HUD prescribed format.

Housing agencies have been submitting data forms to HUD for each family assisted under the public

housing, Indian housing, and Section 8 Rental Certificate, Rental Voucher and Moderate Rehabilitation Programs. Approximately 85 percent of reporting agencies (3,655 HAs) have been submitting paper forms. This extensive processing of paper forms has become a burden to the HAs as well as to HUD.

To reduce the cost to the Department of processing this information and to improve its accuracy, HUD issued the proposed rule to require that this information be submitted electronically. The change is expected to contribute significant savings to the Department, in a time when budget constraints demand such savings. The time spent by HAs in initiating electronic collection and transmission and making corrections to the electronic data submissions will be offset by future savings in the reexamination and reporting process, as well as increased accuracy and speed associated with the admission, reexamination and reporting processes, and the reduced number of HUD adjustments and paperwork required by these adjustments.

The proposed rule requires HAs to submit data electronically via telephone modem, rather than through tape, diskette, or paper. However, the rule also provides that the Department may approve transmission of the data by tape or diskette where the Department determines that the cost of telephonic transmission would be excessive. For HAs that are not already automated or who determine that automation is not cost effective, the rule would permit transmission of the data through the use of a service bureau.

In recognition of the difficulty some HAs may have in conversion to electronic submission of data, the proposed rule permits HUD Field Offices to grant extensions of time beyond the stated implementation date for commencement of electronic submission under certain circumstances.

This final rule adopts the proposed rule, as published, in its entirety, with the addition of a reference to Indian housing programs in § 908.108(a).

**III. Response to Public Comments**

The Department received 16 comments on the proposed rule. The commentors consisted of HAs and two professional housing associations. Most respondents expressed general support for HUD's implementation of the rule. The following are major concerns expressed by the commentors:

The most frequent category of concern was raised by small HAs (100 units or less in management), requesting that they be excluded from the requirement