

[TA-W-30,468]

Pontiac Weaving Corporation, Cumberland, RI; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

On February 14, 1995, the Department issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration for the former workers of the subject firm. The notice will soon be published in the **Federal Register**.

The subject plant ceased operations in September 1994 and all production workers were laid off at that time.

New findings on reconsideration show that Pontiac Weaving contracted for a manufacturer who owns a major share of Pontiac Weaving Corporation. The manufacturer increased its company imports in 1994 compared to 1993.

Conclusion

After careful consideration of the new facts obtained on reconsideration, it is concluded that the former workers of the Pontiac Weaving Corporation in Cumberland, Rhode Island were adversely affected by increased imports of articles that are like or directly competitive with those produced at the subject firm.

In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following revised determination for the former workers of the Pontiac Weaving Corporation in Cumberland, Rhode Island.

"All workers and former workers of Pontiac Weaving Corporation in Cumberland, Rhode Island who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after September 21, 1993 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed at Washington, DC, this 17th day of February 1995.

Victor J. Trunzo,

Program Director, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95-5007 Filed 2-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-29,802]

Western Geophysical Company, A/K/A Halliburton Company, A/K/A Western Atlas International, Inc., Houston, TX and TA-W-29,802A Alvin, TX and TA-W-29,802B Offshore Marine Operations in the Gulf of Mexico; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance applicable to all workers of the subject firm.

The certification was issued on May 31, 1994 and published in the **Federal Register** on June 14, 1994 (59 FR 30618). The certification was amended on June 15, 1994; July 18, 1994 and on November 1, 1994 and the notices were published in the **Federal Register** on June 28, 1994 (59 FR 33306); July 26, 1994 (59 FR 37997); and on November 15, 1995 (59 FR 58859), respectively.

At the request of the company, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. New findings show that worker separations occurred offshore in the Gulf of Mexico.

Accordingly, the Department is amending the certification to properly reflect the correct worker group.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of the Western Geophysical Company, a/k/a Halliburton Company and Western Atlas International, Inc., Houston, Texas who were adversely affected by increased imports of crude oil.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-29,802 is hereby issued as follows:

"All workers of Western Geophysical Company, Houston, Texas and Alvin, Texas and offshore in the Gulf of Mexico (the successor-in-interest firm to Halliburton Geophysical Services) who had wages reported under Western Atlas International, Inc., Houston, Texas for UI tax account purposes and who had become totally or partially separated from employment on or after April 25, 1993 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 17th day of February, 1994.

Victor J. Trunzo,

Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95-5012 Filed 2-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

[TA-W-30,328 Pratt & Whitney, North Haven, Connecticut; TA-W-30,329, Pratt & Whitney, Southington, Connecticut; TA-W-30,329A, Pratt & Whitney, East Hartford, Connecticut; TA-W-30,329B Pratt & Whitney, Middletown, Connecticut; TA-W-30,329C, Pratt & Whitney, Rocky Hill, Connecticut]

United Technologies Corp. Pratt and Whitney; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued an Amended Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on February 16, 1994, applicable to all workers at United Technologies Corporation, Pratt & Whitney with locations in North Haven, Southington, East Hartford, Middletown, and Rocky Hill, Connecticut. The notice will soon be published in the **Federal Register**.

It was not the Department's intent to change the termination date of the original certification, and therefore, the term "through two years from the date of certification" is deleted.

The amendment notice applicable to TA-W-30,328, TA-W-30,329, TA-W-30,329A, TA-W-30,329B, and TA-W-30,329C is hereby issued as follows:

"All workers of United Technologies Corporation, Pratt & Whitney, North Haven, Connecticut (TA-W-30,328); Southington, Connecticut (TA-W-30,329); East Hartford, Connecticut (TA-W-30,329A); Middletown, Connecticut; (TA-W-30,329B); and Rocky Hill, Connecticut (TA-W-30,329C) engaged in employment related to the production of jet engine parts who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after September 7, 1993 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed at Washington, DC, this 22nd day of February 1995.

Victor J. Trunzo,

Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95-5009 Filed 2-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA-W) issued during the period of February, 1995.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act must be met.

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated,

(2) That sales or production, or both, of the firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely, and

(3) That increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the absolute decline in sales or production.

Negative Determinations For Worker Adjustment Assistance

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criterion (3) has not been met. A survey of customers indicated that increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-30,643; *Uarco, Inc., Adrian, MI*
TA-W-30,553; *T.E. Dee, Inc., Allentown, PA*

TA-W-30,637; *Moonlight Mushrooms, Inc., Worthington, PA*

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

TA-W-30,595 & A; *Entergy Corp., Redfield, AR & Newark, AR*

Increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-30,548; *RTVCH Holding, Inc., Paterson, NJ*

The workers' firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

TA-W-30,547; *Yorx Electronics Corp., Totowa, NJ*

The workers' firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

TA-W-30,555; *Xerox Engineering System (XES, Inc), N/K/A Xerox Colorgraft System, Inc., Marlboro, MA*

The workers' firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

TA-W-30,551, TA-W-30,552; *Mac Tools, Inc., Washington Court House, OH and Sabina, OH*

Increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-30,651; *Elbit Ft Worth, Inc., (EFW, Inc), Fort Worth, TX*

Increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-30,618; *Electra-Sound, Inc., Parma, OH*

The investigation revealed that criterion (1) and criterion (2) have not been met. A significant number or proportion of the workers did not become totally or partially separated as required for certification. Sales or production did not decline during the relevant period as required for certification.

TA-W-30,664; *Automobile Specialty Co., North Jackson, OH*

The conversion activities formerly performed by workers of Automobile Specialty Co were provided to a signal customer, General Motors. General Motors decided to begin performing this process in-house after the conclusion of the 1994 model year. The Automobile Specialty Co was shutdown at the end of June 1994. Because of this decision, General Motors will perform the process in the United States.

TA-W-30,677; *Leland Electrosystems, Inc., Erie Div., Erie, PA*

U.S. imports of electric motors and generators for civil aircraft decreased in 1993 compared to 1992 and in the twelve month period ending October 1994 compared to the same period of time a year earlier.

TA-W-30,632; *IRM Corp, Beaumont, TX*

The workers' firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

TA-W-30,574; *101 Warehouse Corp., Medley, FL*

The workers' firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

TA-W-30,730; *The Genlyte Group/Lightolier, Model Shop, Secaucus, NJ*

The subject firm terminations in the Model Shop are attributed to a domestic transfer. The models are for internal use only. The subject firm does not import models.

TA-W-30,584; *Dorman Roth Foods, Inc., Neptune, NJ*

The workers' firm does not produce an article as required for certification

under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Affirmative Determinations For Worker Adjustment Assistance

TA-W-30,568; *Eutectic Corp., Flushing, NY*

A certification was issued covering all workers separated on or after December 7, 1993.

TA-W-30,522; *Xerox Corp., US Customers Operations, Rochester, NY*

A certification was issued covering all workers separated on or after November 15, 1993.

TA-W-30,593; & A; *Pyke Manufacturing Co., Salt Lake City, UT & Manti, UT*

A certification was issued covering all workers separated on or after December 13, 1993.

TA-W-30,585; *MRC II Fashions, Inc., Paterson, NJ*

A certification was issued covering all workers separated on or after December 14, 1993.

TA-W-30,583; *Metalist Apparel, Sidney, OH & Operating in the Following Other Locations: A; Reading, PA, B; Hamburg, PA, C; Auburn, PA*

A certification was issued covering all workers separated on or after December 8, 1993.

TA-W-30,611; *Kane Industries, Morgantown, KY*

A certification was issued covering all workers separated on or after December 21, 1993.

TA-W-30,689; *Baker Hughes Integ, Houston, TX & Operating in the Following Other Locations: A; AK, B; AR, C; CA, D; CO, E; LA, F; MS, G; OK, H; TX, I; UT, J; Washington, DC.*

A certification was issued covering all workers separated on or after January 9, 1994.

TA-W-30,577; *Cannon Shoe Co., Hagerstown, MD*

TA-W-30,722; *Cannon Shoe Co./Thurmont Shoe Co., Thurmont, MD*

A certification was issued covering all workers separated on or after December 9, 1993.

TA-W-30,559; *VLSI Technology, Inc., Tempe, AZ*

A certification was issued covering all workers separated on or after November 17, 1993.

TA-W-30,582; *Tennessee Valley Steel Corp., Harriman/Rockwood, TN*

A certification was issued covering all workers separated on or after December 12, 1993.

TA-W-30,569; *Beloit Corp., Beloit Lenox Div., Lenox, MA*

A certification was issued covering all workers separated on or after November 22, 1993.

TA-W-30,560; *Asamera Minerals (US), Inc., Cannon Mine, Wenatchee, WA*

A certification was issued covering all workers separated on or after March 29, 1995 and before December 31, 1995.

TA-W-30,620; *Woodward Governor Co., Stevens Point, WI*

A certification was issued covering all workers separated on or after December 22, 1993.

TA-W-30,622; *E. L. Heacock Co., Inc., Gloversville, NY*

A certification was issued covering all workers separated on or after December 20, 1993.

TA-W-30,565; *H. Grabell & Sons, Inc., Paterson, NJ*

A certification was issued covering all workers separated on or after December 6, 1993.

TA-W-30,567; *AJ Dress, Inc., Laceyville, PA*

A certification was issued covering all workers separated on or after December 7, 1993.

TA-W-30,635; *Genicom Corp., Waynesboro, VA*

A certification was issued covering all workers separated on or after March 1, 1995.

Also, pursuant to Title V of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (P.L. 103-182) concerning transitional adjustment assistance hereinafter called (NAFTA-TAA) and in accordance with Section 250(a) Subchapter D, Chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act as amended, the Department of Labor presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA issued during the months of February, 1995.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA the following group eligibility requirements of Section 250 of the Trade Act must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, (including workers in any agricultural firm or appropriate subdivision thereof) have become totally or partially separated from employment and either—

(A) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely,

(B) That imports from Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly

competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have increased.

(c) That the increase in imports contributed importantly to such workers' separations or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or

(2) That there has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by the firm or subdivision.

Negative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

NAFTA-TAA-00339; *J.K. Operating Corp., Kulpmont, PA*

The investigation revealed that criteria (3) and criteria (4) were not met. There was no shift in production from the subject facility to Mexico or Canada during the period under investigation, nor did J.K. Operating Corp import from Mexico or Canada any articles that are like or directly competitive with women's sleepwear are from countries other than Mexico or Canada.

NAFTA-TAA-00326; *Hecla Mining Co., Inc., Republic Unit, Republic, WA*

The investigation revealed that criteria (3) and criteria (4) were not met. There was no shift in production from the subject facility to Mexico or Canada during the period under investigation, nor did the company import gold and silver from Mexico or Canada. Survey results revealed that customer imports of gold and silver from Canada or Mexico did not have an important negative import during the periods under investigation.

NAFTA-TAA-00327; *Digital Equipment Corp., Field Support Unit, Maynard, MA*

The investigation revealed that the workers of the subject firm do not produce an article within the meaning of Section 250(a) of the Trade Act, as amended.

Affirmative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

NAFTA-TAA-00322; *Kirkwood Industries, Kepco Manufacturing, Inc., Pittsboro, NC*

A certification was issued covering all workers at Kirkwood Industries, Kepco Manufacturing, Inc., Pittsboro, NC separated on or after December 28, 1993.

NAFTA-TAA-00324; *Eveready Battery Co., A.K.A., Energizer Power Systems, El Paso, TX*

A certification was issued covering all workers of the El Paso Design Center of Eveready Battery Co. a/k/a Energizer Power Systems, El Paso, TX separated on or after January 9, 1994.

NAFTA-TAA-00328; *Hubbell-Bell, Inc., Fogelsville, PA*

A certification was issued covering all workers engaged in employment related to the production of electrical fittings at Hubbell-Bell, Inc., Fogelsville, PA separated on or after January 12, 1994.

NAFTA-TAA-00335; *Mallinckrodt Medical, Inc., Mallinckrodt Anesthesiology, Argyle, NY*

A certification was issued covering all workers at Mallinckrodt Medical, Inc., Mallinckrodt Anesthesiology, Argyle, NY separated on or after January 16, 1994.

NAFTA-TAA-00332; *Fairchild Aircraft, San Antonio, TX*

A certification was issued covering all workers engaged in the production of electrical wire harnesses at Fairchild Aircraft, San Antonio, TX separated on or after January 10, 1994.

The foregoing determination does not apply to the other workers at the subject firm.

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the months of February, 1995. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in Room C-4318, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: February 21, 1995.

Victor J. Trunzo,

Program Manager, Policy & Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95-5031 Filed 2-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

[NAFTA-00274]

EFR Corporation, Everett, WA; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By an application dated January 9, 1995, a former company official requested administrative reconsideration of the subject petition for transitional adjustment assistance (NAFTA-TAA). The denial notice was issued on December 12, 1994 and published in the **Federal Register** on January 3, 1995 (60 FR 149).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake