TA–W–30,550E Michigan, TA–W–30,550F Montana, TA–W–30,550G Mississippi, TA–W–30,550H New Mexico, TA–W–30,550I Wyoming and TA–W–30,550J Grace Drilling Company, Dallas, TX.

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 USC 2273) the Department of Labor issued a certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on January 27, 1995, applicable to all workers of Grace Energy Corporation, Dallas, Texas, and Grace Petroleum Corporation operating in various locations in the United States. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on February 14, 1995 (60 FR 8415).

At the request of the workers, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of Grace Energy Corporation and Grace Petroleum Corporation. The investigation findings show that workers of Grace Drilling Company, Dallas, Texas, a subsidiary of Grace Energy Corporation, were inadvertently excluded from the certification.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Grace Energy Corporation, Grace Petroleum, and Grace Drilling Company adversely affected by imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA–W–30,550 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Grace Petroleum Corporation operating in the States of Oklahoma, Texas, Alabama, Colorado, Michigan, Montana, Mississippi, New Mexico and Wyoming who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after August 21, 1994; for workers of Grace Energy Corporation, Dallas, Texas who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after December 18, 1994; and for workers of Grace Drilling Company, Dallas, Texas who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after February 12, 1994 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 22nd day of February 1995.

Victor J. Trunzo,

Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95–5011 Filed 2–28–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

[TA–W–30,137]

Diamond Tool and Horseshoe Company, Duluth, Minnesota; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

On February 14, 1995, the Department issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration for the former workers of the subject firm. The notice will soon be published in the **Federal Register**.

The subject plant ceased operations in September, 1994 and all workers were laid off at that time.

U.S. imports of pliers, wrenches, horse and mule shoes increased in 1993 compared to 1992 and in the latest 12-month period ending in August 1994 compared to the same period ending in August 1993.

On reconsideration, the workers submitted a new list of customers who decreased their purchases from the subject firm in the relevant time periods. New findings on reconsideration show that customers accounting for a substantial portion of Diamond Tool's sales decline in 1993 and in the first six months of 1994 compared to their immediate earlier respective periods increased their purchases of imports in the same periods.

Conclusion

After careful consideration of the new facts obtained on reconsideration, it is concluded that the former workers of the Diamond Tool and Horseshoe Company in Duluth, Minnesota were adversely affected by increased imports of articles that are like or directly competitive with horseshoes, wrenches and pliers and related equipment.

In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following revised determination for workers of the Diamond Tool and Horseshoe Company in Duluth, Minnesota.

''All workers and former workers of Diamond Tool and Horseshoe Company, Duluth, Minnesota who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after April 14, 1993 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.''

Signed at Washington, DC, this 17th day of February, 1995.

Victor J. Trunzo,

Program Director, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95–5003 Filed 2–28–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

[TA–W–29,895 Las Cruces, New Mexico; TA–W–29,895A EL Paso, Texas]

Keytronic A/K/A Honeywell Keyboard Division; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on October 13, 1994, applicable to all workers of the subject firm.

The Notice was published in the **Federal Register** on November 1, 1994 (59 FR 54632). The certification was amended on December 8, 1994 to include workers in El Paso, Texas. The amended notice was published in the **Federal Register** on December 16, 1994 (59 FR 65078–9).

At the request of the workers, the Department again reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The investigation findings show that the Honeywell Keyboard Division was purchased by Keytronic in August, 1993. The Honeywell Keyboard Division meets all the criteria for a predecessor-in-interest firm. Many of the workers had unemployment insurance (UI) taxes paid under the former firm.

Accordingly, the Department is amending the certification to properly reflect this matter.

The amended notice applicable to TA–W–29,895 is hereby issued as follows:

''All workers of Keytronic, a/k/a Honeywell Keyboard Division in Las Cruces, New Mexico and El Paso, Texas who became totally or partially separated from employment on or May 7, 1993 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.''

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 17th day February 1995.

Victor J. Trunzo,

Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95–5014 Filed 2–28–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–41

[TA–W–30,444]

Martin Marietta Utica, NY; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By an application dated January 22, 1995, the French Road Lodge 1669 of the International Association of Machinists (IAM) requested administrative reconsideration of the subject petition for trade adjustment assistance, TAA. The denial notice was issued on December 30, 1994 and published in the **Federal Register** on January 20, 1995 (60 FR 4194).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

Investigation findings show that the workers produce printed circuit boards.

The union claims that production is being transferred to Mexico. The union also claims that the production of GD 53s (radar assembles for aircraft) was transferred to Taiwan.

The findings show that the subject firm has been outsourcing a specific type of circuit board production to Mexico since 1992. The workers affected by this shift of assembly work were certified as eligible to apply for TAA on December 4, 1993. The certification (TA–W–27,877) expired on December 4, 1994. No new production has been transferred to Mexico.

Other circuit board production at Utica has been affected by declines and delays in Defense contracts regulating from Defense spending cutbacks. The subject firm has not lost bids to foreign firms.

Also, a Taiwanese contract with the subject firm called for the Utica plant to co-produce with Taiwan and train Taiwanese workers on the production of the radar assembles for the Taiwanese market. This contract actually had a positive employment affect at Utica. After a certain number of radar assemblies were produced, the production was transferred to Taiwan. There were no imports of the radar assemblies. Worker separations resulting from a loss of production or sales to the export market would not form a basis for a worker group certification.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 16th day of February 1995.

Victor J. Trunzo,

Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services; Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95–5015 Filed 2–28–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

[TA–W–30,540]

National Ceramics, Inc. a/k/a Ceramic Fashions, Cunningham, KY; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on January 10, 1995, applicable to all workers of National Ceramics, Inc., in Cunningham, Kentucky. The certification notice was published in the **Federal Register** on February 10, 1995 (60 FR 8062).

At the request of the State Agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The investigation findings show that some of the claimants' wages for National Ceramics are being reported under Ceramic Fashions.

Accordingly, the Department is amending the certification to properly reflect the correct worker group.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of National Ceramics in Cunningham, Kentucky irrespective to which account their unemployment insurance (UI) taxes are paid.

The amended notice applicable to TA–W–30,540 is hereby issued as follows:

''All workers of National Ceramics, Inc., a/k/a Ceramic Fashions, Cunningham, Kentucky who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after November 17, 1993 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.''

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 21st day of February 1995.

Victor J. Trunzo,

Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95–5004 Filed 2–28–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

[TA–W–30,113]

Philips Lighting Richmond, KY; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on December 21, 1994, applicable to all workers of Philips Lighting in Richmond, Kentucky. The certification notice was published in the **Federal Register** on January 4, 1994 (60 FR 481).

At the request of the workers, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. New findings show that some workers were laid off just prior to the July 8, 1993 impact date set in the certification. The Department can go back to April 1, 1993 in setting its impact date.

Accordingly, the Department is amending the certification by deleting the July 8, 1993 impact date and setting a new impact date of April 1, 1993.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers who were adversely affected by increased imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA–W–30,113 is hereby issued as follows:

''All workers of Philips Lighting, Richmond, Kentucky who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after April 1, 1993 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.''

Signed in Washington, D.C., this 22nd day of February 1995.

Victor J. Trunzo,

Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95–5008 Filed 2–28–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

[TA–W–30, 113]

Philips Lighting Company Richmond, KY; Investigations Regarding Certifications of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance; Correction

This notice corrects the notice for petition TA–W–30–113 which was published in the **Federal Register** on August 8, 1994 (59 FR 40372) in FR Document 94–19240.

This revises the date received and the date of petition on the ninth line of the third and fourth columns in the appendix table on page 40372. The date received and the date of petition should both read ''April 1, 1994'' in the third and fourth columns on the ninth line of the appendix table.

Signed in Washington, D.C., this 22nd day of February, 1995.

Victor J. Trunzo,

Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95–5010 Filed 2–28–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–M