

[FR Doc. 95-5030 Filed 2-28-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

[NAFTA-00307]

**H. Grabell & Sons, Inc., Paterson, NJ;
Notice of Revised Determination on
Reopening**

On February 21, 1995, the Department, on its own motion, reopened its investigation for the former workers of the subject firm. The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination on January 20, 1995 because the "contributed importantly" test of the Group Eligibility Requirements of the Trade Act was not met and there was no shift in production to Mexico or Canada. The denial notice will soon be published in the **Federal Register**.

An investigation for trade adjustment assistance under petition TA-W-30,565 resulted in a certification for workers of H. Grabell & Sons, Inc., in Paterson, New Jersey. The certification was issued on February 11, 1995 and will soon be published in the **Federal Register**. The investigation revealed that the subject firm imported lamp shades and increased its reliance on imported lamp shades while discontinuing lamp shade production at Paterson, New Jersey.

Other findings show that sales and production decreased in the relevant periods and substantial worker separations occurred in 1994.

Conclusion

After careful consideration of the new facts obtained on reopening, it is concluded that increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with lamp shades produced by the subject firm contributed importantly to the decline in production and to the total or partial separation of workers at the subject firm. In accordance with the provisions of the Trade Act of 1974, I make the following revised determination:

All workers and former workers of H. Grabell & Sons, Inc., Paterson, New Jersey who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after December 8, 1993 are eligible to apply for NAFTA-TAA under Section 250 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 21st day of February 1995.

Victor J. Trunzo;

Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95-5006 Filed 2-28-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

**NAFTA—00302; Washington Public
Power Supply Systems Nuclear
Project; Amended Certification
Regarding Eligibility To Apply for
NAFTA Transitional Adjustment
Assistance**

NAFTA—00302 WPN-2 Richland,
Washington
NAFTA—00302A WPN-1 Richland,
Washington
NAFTA—00302B WPN-3 Satsop,
Washington

In accordance with Section 250(a), Subchapter D, Chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 USC 2273), the Department of Labor issued a Certification for NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance on January 13, 1995, applicable to all workers of the Washington Public Power System (WPN-2) in Richland, Washington. The notice will soon be published in the **Federal Register**.

At the request of the company and the workers the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. New findings show that the worker group for the Washington Public Power Supply System Nuclear Project, WPN-2 plant, in Richland, Washington should include workers at the WPN-1 in Richland as well as the WPN-3 plant in Satsop, Washington. The workers are rotated among the three plants.

The investigation revealed that sales declined and significant worker separations occurred at all three plants. A Departmental survey of Washington Public Power's customers showed that the respondents decreased their purchases for Washington Public Power and increased their imports of electrical energy from Canada.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers who were adversely affected by increased imports. Accordingly, the Department is amending the certification to include Washington Public Power's plants in Satsop, Washington and Richland, Washington.

The amended notice applicable to NAFTA—00302 is hereby issued as follows:

"All workers of the Washington Public Power Supply System Nuclear Project at the WPN-2 plant in Richland Washington; WPN-1 plant in Richland, Washington and the WPN-3 plant in Satsop, Washington who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after December 8, 1993 are eligible to apply for NAFTA-TAA under Section 250 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 21st day of February 1995.

Victor J. Trunzo,

Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95-5005 Filed 2-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR LITERACY

National Institute for Literacy Advisory Board; Meeting

AGENCY: National Institute for Literacy Advisory Board, National Institute for Literacy.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: This Notice sets forth the schedule and proposed agenda of a forthcoming meeting of the National Institute for Literacy Advisory Board (Board). This notice also describes the function of the Board. Notice of this meeting is required under Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act. This document is intended to notify the general public of their opportunity to attend the meeting.
DATE AND TIME: March 14, 1995, 10:00 am to 4:00 pm.

ADDRESSES: National Institute for Literacy, 800 Connecticut Avenue NW., Suite 200, Washington, DC 20006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sharyn M. Abbott, Acting Executive Officer, National Institute for Literacy, 800 Connecticut Avenue NW., Suite 200, Washington, DC 20006. Telephone (202) 632-1500.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Board is established under Section 384 of the Adult Education Act, as amended by Title I of Pub. L. 102-73, the National Literacy Act of 1991. The Board consists of ten individuals appointed by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate. The Board is established to advise and make recommendations to the Interagency Group, composed of the Secretaries of Education, Labor, and Health and Human Services, which administers the National Institute for Literacy (Institute). The Interagency Group considers the Board's recommendations in planning the goals of the Institute and in the implementation of any programs to achieve the goals of the Institute. Specifically, the Board performs the following functions: (a) makes recommendations concerning the appointment of the Director and the staff of the Institute; (b) provides independent advice on operation of the Institute; and (c) receives reports from

the Interagency Group and the Director of the Institute. In addition, the Institute consults with the Board on the award of fellowships.

The Board will meet in Washington, DC on March 14, 1995 from 10 am to 4 pm. The meeting of the Board is open to the public. The agenda includes a review of 1994 activities, preliminary discussions of potential 1995-1996 NIFL activities, and a discussion on the status of the reauthorization.

Records are kept of all Board proceedings and are available for public inspection at the National Institute for Literacy, 800 Connecticut Avenue NW., Suite 200, Washington, DC 20006 from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 pm.

Andrew J. Hartman,

Executive Director, National Institute for Literacy.

[FR Doc. 95-4955 Filed 2-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6055-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-213

Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company; Haddam Neck Plant; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-61, issued to Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company (CYAPCO, the licensee), for operation of the Haddam Neck Plant, located in Middlesex County, Connecticut.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

By amendment request dated December 22, 1993, CYAPCO has proposed to revise Technical Specification (TS) 3/4.4.10, "Structural Integrity," Surveillance Requirement 4.4.10. The licensee has proposed an alternate Reactor Coolant Pump (RCP) flywheel inspection frequency and examination methods. The staff has extended the RCP flywheel inspection frequency for RCPs 1 and 2 for one refueling outage until the staff can complete the review for a permanent change.

The Need for the Proposed Action

CYAPCO has determined that the existing RCP flywheel inspection program as discussed in Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.14, "Reactor Coolant Pump Flywheel Integrity," can be optimized by revising the RCP flywheel

inspection frequency and examination methods. By optimizing the RCP flywheel inspection program, the licensee will alleviate current testing requirements that are overly restrictive for predicting RCP flywheel integrity and gain increased flexibility in utilizing personnel during subsequent RCP flywheel examinations. This TS change reflects the licensee's proposed alternate RCP flywheel inspection frequency and examination methods. The staff has determined additional information is needed to complete this review, however, the staff has concluded that the request has sufficient merit to extend the TS required inspections for RCPs 1 and 2 for one Cycle.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The Commission has reviewed the proposed revision to the TS. The staff has concluded that additional information regarding the proposed inservice examination methods, flaw acceptance criteria, and the supporting fracture mechanics analysis are needed to complete the review. However, the staff has concluded that the proposed TS change involving the changes in TS 3/4.4.10, "Structural Integrity," Surveillance Requirement 4.4.10, can be implemented for Cycle 18 refueling outage as the change would only affect one of the five inspection criteria and two of the four RCPs. In particular, the ultrasonic volumetric inspection frequency for the areas of higher stress concentration for RCPs 1 and 2 be extended for one cycle until the staff can complete the review of the licensee's proposed increased inspection frequency and alternative examination methods for the RCP flywheels. These changes will not affect the desired margins of safety for the two affected accidents: (1) RCP locked rotor event, and (2) adequacy of missile protection inside containment and, therefore, the extension of the inspection interval by one refueling cycle is acceptable. This conclusion is based on the flaw history of the RCP flywheels and the likelihood that the most recent inspections would have detected any flaws of structural significance.

The proposed TS change will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental

impacts associated with this proposed TS amendment.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed amendment does involve features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed amendment.

Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological or nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed amendment.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed amendment, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of resources not considered previously in the Final Environmental Statement for the Haddam Neck Plant.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, the staff consulted with the Connecticut State official regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed amendment.

For further details with respect to this proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated December 22, 1993, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public Document room located at the Russell Library, 123 Broad Street, Middletown, Connecticut 06547.