

3 and FTS-1. In addition, PGT is correcting minor typographical errors to the table of contents of the Transportation Terms and Conditions of its First Revised Volume No. 1-A.

PGT further states it has served a copy of this filing upon all interested state regulatory agencies and PGT's jurisdictional customers.

Any person desiring to be heard or protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before March 2, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-4815 Filed 2-27-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket Nos. RP88-262-030 and RP88-88-006]

Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company; Notice of Refund Report

February 22, 1995.

Take notice that on February 17, 1995, Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company (Panhandle), tendered for filing its Refund Report made pursuant to Article II, Section 2 of the Stipulation and Agreement (Settlement) dated September 26, 1994 in the above docket. Such Settlement was approved by the Commission on December 7, 1994.

Panhandle states that the Summary of Settlement Refund Amounts by Customer filed herewith sets forth Panhandle's refund obligation for Sponsoring Parties and Subject Parties for the Docket No. RP88-262-000 Rate Period (April 1, 1989 through March 31, 1992) and that payments to Sponsoring Parties and Subject Parties were made on February 3, 1995.

Panhandle states that a copy of the information filed with its report has previously been sent to affected customers and respective state regulatory agencies and that each customer has received its detail of interest calculations. Panhandle also states that a copy of the transmittal

letter and summary of Settlement Refund Amounts submitted with this filing have been served on all affected customers and respective State Regulatory Commissions.

Any person desiring to protest the said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests should be filed on or before March 3, 1995.

Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-4816 Filed 2-27-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket Nos. RP93-189-003 and RP94-38-002]

Texas Gas Transmission Corporation; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

February 22, 1995.

Take notice that on February 17, 1995, Texas Gas Transmission Corporation (Texas Gas) tendered for filing the following revised tariff sheets to its FERC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1:

First Revised Sheet No. 20
First Revised Sheet No. 21
First Revised Sheet No. 22
First Revised Sheet No. 23

Texas Gas states that the revised tariff sheets are being filed to implement Article IV of the Stipulation and Agreement of Partial Settlement (Settlement) pursuant to Rule 602 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure filed on September 20, 1994. The Settlement was intended to resolve all issues in Texas Gas's pending Order No. 528 cost recovery filing in the dockets referenced above. The Settlement contained pro forma tariff sheets in Appendix B. The revised tariff sheets listed above are identical to such pro forma tariff sheets and are to be effective January 20, 1995, the effective date of the Settlement, as described in Article IV.

Texas Gas states that copies of the revised tariff sheets are being mailed to Texas Gas's jurisdictional customers, interested state commissions, and those appearing on the official service lists of Docket Nos. RP93-189 and RP94-38.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with § 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests should be filed on or before March 3, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-4817 Filed 2-27-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. TM95-4-17-000]

Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

February 22, 1995.

Take notice that on February 16, 1995, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (TPGL) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1, Twentieth Revised Sixth Revised Sheet No. 28, which tariff sheet is proposed to be effective February 1, 1995.

TGPL states that the purpose of the instant filing is to track a rate change attributable to storage service purchased from Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (TETCO) under its Rate Schedule X-28 the costs of which are included in the rates and charges payable under TGPL's Rate Schedule S-2. The tracking filing is being made pursuant to Section 26 of the General Terms and Conditions of TGPL's Volume No. 1 Tariff.

Included in Appendix A attached to the filing is an explanation of the rate change and details regarding the computation of the revised S-2 rates.

TGPL states that copies of the filing are being mailed to each of its S-2 customers and interested State Commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with §§ 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before March 2, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the

appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-4818 Filed 2-27-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. MG95-5-000]

**Viking Gas Transmission Company;
Notice of Filing**

February 22, 1995.

Take notice that on February 15, 1995, Viking Gas Transmission Company (Viking) filed revised standards of conduct to reflect changes mandated by Order Nos. 566 and 566-A.¹

Viking states that copies of its filing were mailed to each of Viking's customers and to interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rule 211 or 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 or 385.214). All such motions to intervene or protest should be filed on or before March 9, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-4819 Filed 2-27-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

¹ Standards of Conduct and Reporting Requirements for Transportation and Affiliate Transactions, Order No. 566, 59 FR 32885 (June 27, 1994), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,997 (June 17, 1994); Order No. 566-A, *order on rehearing*, 59 FR 52896 (October 20, 1994), 69 FERC ¶ 61,044 (October 14, 1994); Order No. 566-B, *order on rehearing*, 59 FR 65707, (December 21, 1994); 69 FERC ¶ 61,334 (December 14, 1994); *appeal docketed sub nom. Conoco, Inc. v. FERC*, D.C. Cir. No. 94-1745 (December 13, 1994).

[Docket No. CP93-541-004]

**Young Gas Storage Company, Ltd.;
Notice of Petition To Amend**

February 22, 1995.

Take notice that on February 17, 1995, Young Gas Storage Company, Ltd. (Young), P.O. Box 1087, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80944, filed in Docket No. CP93-541-004 an application to amend the Order issued on June 22, 1994, in Docket Nos. CP93-541-000 and CP93-541-001 by deleting two tracts of land totaling 240 acres that were previously included as protective acreage and observation wells #4 and #6 located on the subject 240 acres, all as more fully set forth in the petition to amend which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Young states that upon further study, it has determined that the storage reservoir does not extend as far east as originally thought and, accordingly, the 240 acres specified as protective acreage and the two above-mentioned observation wells located on the acreage may be deleted. Young indicates that the deletion of the acreage would help to resolve certain disputes with an affected landowner. Additionally, Young states that the originally proposed injection/withdrawal wells #26, #28, and #31 will not be injection/withdrawal wells. Instead, Young states that wells #26 and #28 will be observation wells and well #31 will be a saltwater disposal well. Young claims that the deletion of the protective acreage will allow it to proceed with the development of the Storage Field and to perform the service that was authorized by the Commission in Docket Nos. CP93-541-000 and CP93-541-001.

Young finally asserts that there would be a possibility that as the project develops that further facts could arise which would require Young to revise its present judgment and to conclude that acquisition of the subject acreage is necessary to fulfill its duties under its certificate. Young states that if this would occur then it would request that the Commission authorize Young to acquire the acreage. Young states that it reserves the right to make that filing should it prove necessary.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protests with reference to said petition to amend should on or before March 15, 1995, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211)

and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-4820 Filed 2-27-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

Office of Hearings and Appeals

**Issuance of Decisions and Orders
During the Week of December 26
Through December 30, 1994**

During the week of December 26 through December 30, 1994 the decisions and orders summarized below were issued with respect to applications for exception or other relief filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals of the Department of Energy. The following summary also contains a list of submissions that were dismissed by the Office of Hearings and Appeals.

Requests for Exception

Farm, Fuel & Feed, 12/30/94, LEE-0164

Farm, Fuel & Feed filed an Application for Exception from the Energy Information Administration (EIA) requirement that it file Form EIA-782B, the "Resellers'/Retailers' Monthly Petroleum Product Sales Report." In considering this request, the DOE found that the firm was not suffering gross inequity or serious hardship. Accordingly, on November 14, 1994, the DOE issued a Proposed Decision and Order tentatively determining that the exception request should be denied. No Notice of Objection to the Proposed Decision and Order was filed at the Office of Hearings and Appeals of the DOE within the prescribed time period. Therefore, the DOE issued the Proposed Decision and Order in final form, denying Farm, Fuel & Feed's Application for Exception.

Farmers Union Coop Oil Co., 12/27/94, LEE-0162

Farmers Union Coop Oil Co. (Farmers) filed an Application for Exception from the Energy Information Administration (EIA) requirement that it file Form EIA-782B. The "Resellers'/Retailers' Monthly Petroleum Product Sales Report." In considering this request, the DOE found that the firm