

1992 water years. This represents 1.1 percent of the 45,000 landholders subject to the RRA form requirements and 0.2 percent of the 230,000 landholders in districts subject to the RRA. The violations were found in 60 different districts, which is approximately 20 percent of the districts subject to the ownership and full-cost pricing provisions of the RRA and about 10 percent of the total districts that have entered contracts with the United States for receipt of irrigation water.

The administrative cost assessment of \$260 will in most cases be less than the full-cost charges that Reclamation previously assessed for RRA form violations pursuant to its compensation policy. Therefore, in comparison, the assessment will generally have a positive economic effect on most landholders and districts involved with form violations.

Based on the preceding, Reclamation has certified that the rule will not have a significant economic effect on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities also are able to avoid all negative effects by complying with the form requirements of the RRA and Acreage Limitation Rules and Regulations.

#### Civil Justice Reform

The Department of the Interior has certified to the Office of Management and Budget that this proposed rule meets the applicable standards provided in sections 2(a) and 2(b)(2) of Executive Order 12778.

#### Authorship

This proposed rule was prepared by staff in the Reclamation Law, Contracts, and Repayment Office, D-5200, Bureau of Reclamation, Denver, Colorado.

#### List of Subjects in 43 CFR Part 426

Administrative practice and procedure, Irrigation, Reclamation, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons stated in the preamble, 43 CFR Part 426 is amended as follows:

Dated: January 11, 1995.

**Elizabeth Ann Rieke,**  
Assistant Secretary—Water and Science.

#### PART 426—RULES AND REGULATIONS FOR PROJECTS GOVERNED BY FEDERAL RECLAMATION LAW

1. The authority citation for Part 426 is revised to read as follows:

**Authority:** 43 U.S.C. 371–383; 43 U.S.C. 390aa–390zz–1; 31 U.S.C. 9701.

2. Section 426.24 is redesignated as § 426.25, and new section 426.24 is added to read as follows:

#### § 426.24 Assessments of administrative costs.

(a) *Forms submittal.* A district will be assessed for the administrative costs described in paragraph (e) of this section when irrigation water has been delivered to landholders that did not submit certification or reporting forms before receiving irrigation water in accordance with § 426.10(e). The assessment will be applied on a yearly basis in each district for each landholder that received irrigation water but failed to comply with § 426.10(e). In applying the assessment to legal entities, compliance by an entity will be treated independently from compliance by its part owners or beneficiaries. The assessment in this paragraph will be applied independently of the assessment set forth in paragraph (b) of this section.

(b) *Forms corrections.* Where corrections are needed on certification or reporting forms, the requirements of § 426.10(a) will be deemed to have been met so long as the district provides corrected forms to Reclamation within 60 calendar days of the date of Reclamation's written request for corrections. A district will be assessed for the administrative costs described in paragraph (e) of this section when corrected forms are not provided within this 60-day time period. The assessment will be applied on a yearly basis in each district for each landholder that received irrigation water and for whom corrected forms are not provided within the applicable 60-day time period. In applying the assessment to legal entities, compliance by an entity will be treated independently from compliance by its part owners or beneficiaries. The assessment in this paragraph will be applied independently of the assessment set forth in paragraph (a) of this section.

(c) *Parties responsible for paying assessments.* Districts shall be responsible for payment of the assessments described in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section.

(d) *Disposition of assessments.* The administrative costs assessed and collected under paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section will be deposited to the general fund of the United States Treasury as miscellaneous receipts.

(e) *Amount of assessment.* The assessment for administrative costs shall be set periodically on the basis of the average costs associated with performing activities to address certification and reporting form

violations. Initially the amount shall be \$260. This assessment for administrative costs will be reviewed at least once every 5 years and adjusted, if needed, to reflect new cost data. Notice of the revised assessment for administrative costs will be published in the **Federal Register** in December of the year the data is reviewed.

[FR Doc. 95–4416 Filed 2–22–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE –94–P

#### FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

#### 44 CFR Part 64

[Docket No. FEMA–7611]

#### List of Communities Eligible for the Sale of Flood Insurance

**AGENCY:** Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This rule identifies communities participating in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). These communities have applied to the program and have agreed to enact certain floodplain management measures. The communities' participation in the program authorizes the sale of flood insurance to owners of property located in the communities listed.

**EFFECTIVE DATES:** The dates listed in the third column of the table.

**ADDRESSES:** Flood insurance policies for property located in the communities listed can be obtained from any licensed property insurance agent or broker serving the eligible community, or from the NFIP at: Post Office Box 6464, Rockville, MD 20849, (800) 638–6620.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Robert F. Shea, Jr., Division Director, Program Implementation Division, Mitigation Directorate, 500 C Street SW., room 417, Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646–3619.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The NFIP enables property owners to purchase flood insurance which is generally not otherwise available. In return, communities agree to adopt and administer local floodplain management measures aimed at protecting lives and new construction from future flooding. Since the communities on the attached list have recently entered the NFIP, subsidized flood insurance is now available for property in the community.

In addition, the Director of the Federal Emergency Management Agency has identified the special flood hazard

areas in some of these communities by publishing a Flood Hazard Boundary Map (FHBM) or Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM). The date of the flood map, if one has been published, is indicated in the fourth column of the table. In the communities listed where a flood map has been published, Section 102 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4012(a), requires the purchase of flood insurance as a condition of Federal or federally related financial assistance for acquisition or construction of buildings in the special flood hazard areas shown on the map.

The Director finds that the delayed effective dates would be contrary to the public interest. The Director also finds that notice and public procedure under 5 U.S.C. 553(b) are impracticable and unnecessary.

**National Environmental Policy Act**

This rule is categorically excluded from the requirements of 44 CFR Part 10, Environmental Considerations. No environmental impact assessment has been prepared.

**Regulatory Flexibility Act**

The Associate Director certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities in accordance with the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601 et seq., because the rule creates no additional burden, but lists those communities eligible for the sale of flood insurance.

**Regulatory Classification**

This final rule is not a significant regulatory action under the criteria of section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 of September 30, 1993, Regulatory Planning and Review, 58 FR 51735.

**Paperwork Reduction Act**

This rule does not involve any collection of information for purposes of the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.

**Executive Order 12612, Federalism**

This rule involves no policies that have federalism implications under Executive Order 12612, Federalism,

October 26, 1987, 3 CFR, 1987 Comp., p. 252.

**Executive Order 12778, Civil Justice Reform**

This rule meets the applicable standards of section 2(b)(2) of Executive Order 12778, October 25, 1991, 56 FR 55195, 3 CFR, 1991 Comp., p. 309.

**List of Subjects in 44 CFR Part 64**

Flood insurance, Floodplains.

Accordingly, 44 CFR part 64 is amended as follows:

**PART 64—[AMENDED]**

1. The authority citation for Part 64 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 4001 et seq., Reorganization Plan No. 3 of 1978, 3 CFR, 1978 Comp., p. 329; E.O. 12127, 44 FR 19367, 3 CFR, 1979 Comp., p. 376.

**§ 64.6 [Amended]**

2. The tables published under the authority of § 64.6 are amended as follows:

State/location	Community No.	Effective date of authorization/cancellation of sale of flood insurance in community	Current effective map date
<b>New Eligibles—Emergency Program</b>			
Alabama: Clayhatchee, town of, Dale County .....	010415	January 6, 1995.	December 28, 1979.
Georgia: McDonough, city of, Henry County .....	130342	.....do .....	
North Carolina:			May 1, 1979.
Huntersville, town of, Mecklenburg County .....	370478	January 11, 1995.	
Matthews, town of, Mecklenburg County .....	370310	.....do.	
Texas: Point Blank, city of, San Jacinto County .....	481528	January 13, 1995	May 1, 1979.
Georgia:			
Butts County, unincorporated areas .....	130518	January 24, 1995.	
Jasper County, unincorporated areas .....	130519	.....do.	
Oklahoma: Harmon County, unincorporated areas .....	400545	January 27, 1995.	
North Dakota:			
Ramsey County, unincorporated areas .....	380092	January 31, 1995.	
Benson County, unincorporated areas .....	380682	.....do.	
Amenia, city of, Cass County .....	380019	.....do.	
<b>New Eligibles—Regular Program</b>			
Mississippi: Flora, town of, Madison County <sup>1</sup> .....	280399	January 31, 1995 .....	April 15, 1994.
<b>Reinstatements—Regular Program</b>			
Indiana: Steuben County, unincorporated area .....	180243	August 26, 1975, Emerg.; July 3, 1986, Reg.; October 4, 1994, Susp.; January 11, 1995, Rein.	July 3, 1986.
Illinois: Barrington Hills, village of, Cook, Kane, McHenry and Lake Counties .....	170058	April 3, 1975, Emerg.; August 10, 1979, Reg.; January 5, 1995, Susp.; January 13, 1995, Rein.	August 10, 1979.
North Carolina: North Wilkesboro, town of, Wilkes County .....	370257	December 28, 1973, Emerg.; February 15, 1978, Reg.; February 20, 1978, Susp.; January 31, 1995, Rein.	February 15, 1978.

State/location	Community No.	Effective date of authorization/cancellation of sale of flood insurance in community	Current effective map date
Georgia: Clayton, city of, Rabun County .....	130157	July 25, 1975, Emerg.; August 13, 1984, With.; January 13, 1988, Rein.; January 13, 1988, Reg.; January 19, 1994, Susp.; January 31, 1995, Rein.	June 19, 1989.
<b>Regular Program Conversions</b>			
Region III:			
Pennsylvania: Allentown, city of, Lehigh County .....	420585	January 5, 1995, suspension withdrawn.	January 5, 1995.
Virginia:			
Dumfries, town of, Prince William County .....	510120	.....do .....	Do.
Manassas, city of, Independent city .....	510122	.....do .....	Do.
Manassas Park, city of, Independent city .....	510123	.....do .....	Do.
Occoquan, town of, Prince William County .....	510124	.....do .....	Do.
Prince William County, unincorporated areas .....	510119	.....do .....	Do.
Kentucky:			
Beaver Dam, town of, Ohio County .....	210184	January 19, 1995, Suspension Withdrawn.	September 29, 1989.
Henderson County, unincorporated areas .....	210286	.....do .....	February 6, 1991.
Lewisburg, city of, Logan County .....	210149	.....do .....	June 17, 1977.
Loyall, city of, Harlan County .....	210589	.....do .....	December 11, 1981.
Maysville, city of, Mason County .....	210168	.....do .....	March 7, 1980.
Murray, city of, Calloway County .....	210033	.....do .....	April 1, 1980.
Region IV:			
Arkansas: Bentonville, city of, Benton County .....	050012	.....do .....	September 18, 1991.
Region VII:			
Nebraska:			
Bellevue, city of, Sarpy County .....	310191	.....do .....	January 19, 1995.
La Vista, city of, Sarpy County .....	310192	.....do .....	Do.
Papillion, city of, Sarpy County .....	315275	.....do .....	Do.
Sarpy County, unincorporated areas .....	310190	.....do .....	Do.
Springfield, city of, Sarpy County .....	310194	.....do .....	Do.

NOTE: The Town of Batesburg, South Carolina has merged with the Town of Leesville to become one governmental jurisdiction. The new name is the "Town of Batesburg-Leesville." Community number 450130 will be maintained for the new community.

<sup>1</sup> The Town of Flora has no special flood hazard areas (NSFHA). The town is a part of Madison County's countywide Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) issued 4-15-94.

Code for reading third column: Emerg.—Emergency; Reg.—Regular; Susp.—Suspension, Rein.—Reinstatement.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 83.100, "Flood Insurance.")

Issued: February 16, 1995.

**Frank H. Thomas,**

*Deputy Associate Director, Mitigation Directorate.*

[FR Doc. 95-4414 Filed 2-22-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-21-P

**ACTION:** Final rule; extension of time.

**SUMMARY:** The Order provides additional time to respond to the revision of the Commission's rules regarding the establishment of a new part for terrestrial microwave fixed radio services. This action was in response to a request from the Telecommunications Industry Association (TIA). It will allow ITA and others to prepare better responses to the complex changes proposed to the microwave rules.

**DATES:** Comments are due on or before February 17, 1995 and reply comments are due on or before March 17, 1995.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Robert James Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. (202) 418-0680.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**  
**Order**

Adopted: January 31, 1995.

Released: February 2, 1995.

By the Chief, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau:

1. Before the Bureau is a request for additional time within which to respond to the Commission's *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking* (NPRM) in WT Docket No. 94-148, filed by Fixed Point-to-Point Communications Section, Network Equipment Division of the Telecommunications Industry Association (TIA). In support of this request, TIA states that the proposed changes are complex. As the principal industry association representing microwave manufacturers, it needs time to coordinate among its members to ensure that the Association's comments reflect industry consensus.

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**

**47 CFR Parts 1, 2, 21, and 94**

[WT Docket No. 94-148, DA 95-140]

**Terrestrial Microwave Fixed Radio Services**

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.