

Manufacturer/Producer/ Exporter	Original margin percent	Re- vised margin percent
All Others .....	6.73	3.92

<sup>1</sup> (De Minimis).

In accordance with section 733(f) of the Act, we have notified the International Trade Commission of our amended preliminary determination.

This amended preliminary determination is published in accordance with section 733(f) of the Act.

Dated: February 14, 1995.

**Susan G. Esserman,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 95-4320 Filed 2-21-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-351-505]

**Certain Malleable Cast Iron Pipe Fittings From Brazil; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

**SUMMARY:** In response to requests from petitioners, Grinnell Corporation, Ward Manufacturing Inc., and Stockham Valves and Fittings Co., the Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain malleable cast iron pipe fittings from Brazil. This review covers Industria de Fundicao Tupy S.A. (Tupy), a manufacturer and exporter of this merchandise to the United States, and the period May 1, 1993 through April 30, 1994. The firm failed to submit a response to our questionnaire. As a result, we have preliminarily determined to use the best information otherwise available (BIA) for cash deposit and assessment purposes.

We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 22, 1995.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Thomas E. Schauer or Richard Rimlinger, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482-4733/4477.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On May 4, 1994, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (59 FR 23051) a notice of "Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review" of the antidumping duty order on certain malleable cast iron pipe fittings from Brazil. On May 4, 1994, we received from the petitioners in this case, Grinnell Corporation, Ward Manufacturing Inc., and Stockham Valves and Fittings Co., a request to initiate an administrative review of Tupy, a manufacturer and exporter of this merchandise to the United States. On July 15, 1994, in accordance with CFR 353.22(c), we initiated an administrative review of this order for Tupy covering the period May 1, 1993 through April 30, 1994 (see 59 FR 36160).

The Department is now conducting this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act).

**Scope of Review**

Imports covered by this review are shipments of certain malleable cast iron pipe fittings, other than grooved, from Brazil. In the original order, these products were classifiable in the Tariff Schedules of the United States, Annotated, under item numbers 610.7000 and 610.7400. These products are currently classifiable under item numbers 7307.19.00 and 7307.19.90 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS). The HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

**Best Information Available**

In accordance with section 776(c) of the Tariff Act, we have preliminarily determined that the use of BIA is appropriate for Tupy. The Department's regulations provide that we may take into account whether a party refuses to provide information (19 CFR 353.37(b)) in selecting BIA. Generally, whenever a company refuses to cooperate with the Department or otherwise significantly impedes the proceeding, the Department uses as BIA the highest rate for any company for the same class or kind of merchandise from the current or any prior segment of the proceeding. When a company substantially cooperates with our requests for information, but fails to provide all the information requested in a timely manner or in the form requested, we use as BIA the higher of (1) the highest rate (including the "all others" rate) ever applicable to

the firm for the same class or kind of merchandise from the same country from either the less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation or a prior administrative review; or (2) the highest calculated rate in the review for any firm for the same class or kind of merchandise from the same country. See *Antifriction Bearings (Other Than Tapered Roller Bearings) and Parts Thereof From the Federal Republic of Germany, et al.; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 56 FR 31692, 31704 (July 11, 1991); see also *Allied-Signal Aerospace Co. v. United States* 996 F.2d 1185 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Because Tupy refused to respond to our requests for information (see letter from the law firm of Sonnenberg, Anderson, & Rodriguez to the Department dated October 31, 1994), we have used the highest rate ever found in this proceeding to establish its margin. This rate is 5.64 percent.

**Preliminary Results of Review**

We preliminarily determine the margin for this administrative review to be:

Producer/exporter	Margin
Industria de Fundicao Tupy S.A. ....	5.64

Parties to the proceeding may request disclosure within 5 days and interested parties may request a hearing not later than 10 days after publication of this notice. Interested parties may submit written arguments in case briefs on these preliminary results within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in case briefs, may be filed no later than 7 days after the time limit for filing case briefs. Any hearing, if requested, will be held 7 days after the scheduled date for submission of rebuttal briefs. Copies of case briefs and rebuttal briefs must be served on interested parties in accordance with 19 CFR 353.38(e). Representatives of parties to the proceeding may request disclosure of proprietary information under administrative protective order no later than 10 days after the representative's client or employer becomes a party to the proceeding, but in any event not later than the date the case briefs, under 19 CFR 353.38(c), are due. The Department will publish the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any case or rebuttal brief or at a hearing.

Upon completion of the final results in this review, the Department shall determine, and the Customs Service

shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Individual differences between U.S. price and foreign market value may vary from the percentages stated above. The Department will issue appraisal instructions on each exporter directly to the Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act: (1) The cash deposit rates for the reviewed company will be the rate established in the final results of this review; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original LTFV investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) for all other producers and/or exporters of this merchandise, the cash deposit rate shall be 5.64 percent, the adjusted "all others" rate from the LTFV investigation. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 C.F.R. 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

These administrative reviews and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1) and section 353.22 of the Department's regulations (19 CFR 353.22(c)(5)).

Dated: February 13, 1995.

**Susan G. Esserman,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 95-4321 Filed 2-21-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 021595B]

#### Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council; Meeting

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of public meetings.

**SUMMARY:** The Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council's Atlantic Mackerel, Squid, and Butterfish Committee will meet on February 23-24, 1995 in the Montgomery B Room of the Philadelphia Airport Days Inn, 4101 Island Avenue, Philadelphia, PA. The meeting will begin at 10:00 a.m. on Thursday, February 23 and adjourn at approximately 1:00 p.m. on Friday, February 24.

The purpose of this meeting is to review comments made on Amendment 5 to the Atlantic Mackerel, Squid, and Butterfish Fishery Management Plan, including possible qualifying criteria for limited entry into the squid and butterfish fisheries and other aspects of the management program.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David R. Keifer, Executive Director, Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council, 300 S. New Street, Dover, DE 19904; telephone: (302) 674-2331.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This meeting is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Joanna Davis on (302) 674-2331, at least 5 days prior to the meeting date.

Dated: February 15, 1995.

**David S. Crestin,**

*Acting Director, Office of Fisheries Conservation and Management, National Marine Fisheries Service.*

[FR Doc. 95-4246 Filed 2-16-95; 10:14 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-F

### COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

#### Availability of the Correlation: Textile and Apparel Categories With the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States for 1995

February 15, 1995.

**AGENCY:** Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (CITA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lori E. Goldberg, International Trade Specialist, Office of Textiles and Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce, (202) 482-3400.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A notice published on December 20, 1994 (59 FR 65531), announced that the 1995 Correlation would be available in late January. There was a further delay.

The 1995 Correlation will be available on February 21, 1995 and may be purchased from the U.S. Department of Commerce, Office of Textiles and Apparel, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., room H3100, Washington, DC 20230, ATTN: Correlation, at a cost of \$30 per copy. Checks or money orders should be made payable to the U.S. Department of Commerce.

**Rita D. Hayes,**

*Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.*

[FR Doc. 95-4245 Filed 2-21-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-F

### DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

#### Department of the Air Force

#### Record of Decision (ROD) for the Disposal and Reuse Final Environmental Impact Statement for England Air Force Base (AFB), Louisiana

On February 3, 1995, the Air Force issued a ROD for the disposal of England Air Force Base (AFB), Louisiana. The decisions included in this ROD have been made in consideration of the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS), which was filed with the Environmental Protection Agency on November 13, 1992.

England AFB was officially closed on December 15, 1992, pursuant to the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act (Public Law 101-510) and recommendations of the Defense Secretary's Commission on Base Realignment and Closure. This ROD documents certain disposal decisions which the Assistant Secretary of the Air Force for Manpower, Reserve Affairs, Installations and Environment has made regarding the disposal of England AFB.

The Air Force has decided to dispose of 2279 acres of surplus property to the England Economic and Industrial Development Authority for public airport use and 0.69 acres to the City of Alexandria for continued use as a small arms pistol range and 2.91 acres to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)