

Dated: February 10, 1995.
Lawrence A. Forberg,
District Ranger.
 [FR Doc. 95-4222 Filed 2-21-95; 8:45 am]
 BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

ARCTIC RESEARCH COMMISSION

Meeting

Notice is hereby given that the Arctic Research Commission will hold its 38th Meeting in Arlington, Virginia, on March 8-9, 1995. On Wednesday, March 8, a Business Session open to the public will convene at 9:00 a.m. in the Fairfax Room of the Holiday Inn, 4610 North Fairfax Drive. Agenda items include: (1) Chairman's Report; (2) Comments from Agencies and Organizations; (3) Recent Research Activities; and (4) Engineering Initiatives/Workshop Plans. On Thursday, March 8, the Business Session will reconvene at 9:00 a.m. Agenda items for this session include: (1) Icebreaker Notes; (3) Trip Reports; and (3) Correspondence. An Executive Session for Members of the Commission will be held following the Business Session on March 8.

Any person planning to attend this meeting who requires special accessibility features and/or auxiliary aids, such as sign language interpreters, must inform the Commission in advance of those needs.

Contact Person for More Information: Dr. Garrett W. Brass, Executive Director, Arctic Research Commission, 703-525-0111 or TDD 703-306-0090.

Garrett W. Brass,
Executive Director.
 [FR Doc. 95-4231 Filed 2-21-95; 8:45 am]
 BILLING CODE 755-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-549-813]

Notice of Amended Preliminary Determination: Canned Pineapple Fruit From Thailand

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 22, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jennifer Katt or Michelle Frederick, Office of Antidumping Investigations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington,

DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0498 or (202) 482-0186, respectively.

APPLICABLE STATUTE AND REGULATIONS: Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute and to the Department's regulations are references to the provisions as they existed on December 31, 1994. References to the *Antidumping and Countervailing Duties: Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and Request for Public Comments*, 57 FR 1131 (January 10, 1992) (concerning correction of ministerial errors in a preliminary determination) ("Proposed Regulations") are provided solely for further explanation of the Department's practice and procedures with respect to correction of ministerial errors. Although the Department has withdrawn the particular rulemaking proceeding pursuant to which the Proposed Regulations were issued, the subject matter of these regulations is being considered in connection with an ongoing rulemaking proceeding which, among other things, is intended to conform the Department's regulations to the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. See 60 FR 80 (January 3, 1995).

AMENDED PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION: In accordance with section 733(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), on January 4, 1995, the Department of Commerce (the Department) made its preliminary determination that canned pineapple fruit (CPF) from Thailand was being sold at less than fair value (60 FR 2734, January 11, 1995). On January 10 and 13, 1995, we disclosed our calculations for the preliminary determination to counsel for respondents, the Thai Public Pineapple Company (TIPCO), Siam Agro Industry Pineapple and Others Public Co., Ltd. (SAICO), and Malee Sampran Factory Public Co., Ltd. (Malee), to counsel to respondent Dole Food Company, Inc. and its affiliates, Dole Packaged Foods Company and Dole Thailand, Inc. (collectively Dole) and to counsel for the petitioners, respectively, pursuant to their requests. On January 20, 1995, we received a submission from the petitioners alleging a ministerial error in the Department's preliminary determination calculations. (For specific details of this allegation and our analysis of it, see Memorandum from Gary Taverman to Barbara R. Stafford dated February 8, 1995.)

The petitioners alleged that the Department incorrectly included movement expenses in its deductions for both direct and indirect selling expenses for Dole's third country observations made on an ex-warehouse or delivered basis.

We agree that the error alleged by the petitioners is a ministerial error. This error constitutes a significant ministerial error within the meaning of the Department's Proposed Regulations in that the correction results in a difference between a dumping margin of *de minimis* and a margin greater than *de minimis*. See section 353.15(g)(4)(ii) of the Department's Proposed Regulations (57 FR 1131, January 10, 1992). Therefore, consistent with the Department's practice with respect to the correction of ministerial errors, we are amending Dole's preliminary dumping margin. The corrected dumping margin for Dole is 0.78 percent; as a result the "All Others" rate is now 3.92 percent.

Suspension of Liquidation

We are directing the Customs Service to correct our request to suspend liquidation in accordance with section 733(d)(1) of the Act, for all entries of CPF from Thailand.

We are directing the Customs Service to suspend liquidation of all entries of CPF from Thailand from Dole that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of this amended preliminary determination notice in the **Federal Register**. Because Dole's dumping margin is now greater than *de minimis*, and margins greater than *de minimis* are included within the all others rate, we are directing the Customs Service to correct the "All Others" rate so that it will reflect the rates for TIPCO, SAICO, Malee, and Dole.

In accordance with section 733(d)(1) of the Act, we are directing the Customs Service to continue to suspend liquidation of all entries of CPF from Thailand for TIPCO, SAICO, Malee and All Others that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of this amended preliminary determination notice in the **Federal Register**. The Customs Service shall require a cash deposit or the posting of a bond equal to the revised estimated preliminary dumping margins, as shown below. The suspension of liquidation will remain in effect until further notice. The weighted-average dumping margins are as follows:

Manufacturer/Producer/Exporter	Original margin percent	Revised margin percent
Dole	10.30	0.78
TIPCO	7.81	7.81
SAICO	9.55	9.55
Malee	1.12	1.12

Manufacturer/Producer/ Exporter	Original margin percent	Re- vised margin percent
All Others	6.73	3.92

¹ (De Minimis).

In accordance with section 733(f) of the Act, we have notified the International Trade Commission of our amended preliminary determination.

This amended preliminary determination is published in accordance with section 733(f) of the Act.

Dated: February 14, 1995.

Susan G. Esserman,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 95-4320 Filed 2-21-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-351-505]

Certain Malleable Cast Iron Pipe Fittings From Brazil; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: In response to requests from petitioners, Grinnell Corporation, Ward Manufacturing Inc., and Stockham Valves and Fittings Co., the Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain malleable cast iron pipe fittings from Brazil. This review covers Industria de Fundicao Tupy S.A. (Tupy), a manufacturer and exporter of this merchandise to the United States, and the period May 1, 1993 through April 30, 1994. The firm failed to submit a response to our questionnaire. As a result, we have preliminarily determined to use the best information otherwise available (BIA) for cash deposit and assessment purposes.

We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 22, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas E. Schauer or Richard Rimlinger, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482-4733/4477.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 4, 1994, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (59 FR 23051) a notice of "Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review" of the antidumping duty order on certain malleable cast iron pipe fittings from Brazil. On May 4, 1994, we received from the petitioners in this case, Grinnell Corporation, Ward Manufacturing Inc., and Stockham Valves and Fittings Co., a request to initiate an administrative review of Tupy, a manufacturer and exporter of this merchandise to the United States. On July 15, 1994, in accordance with CFR 353.22(c), we initiated an administrative review of this order for Tupy covering the period May 1, 1993 through April 30, 1994 (see 59 FR 36160).

The Department is now conducting this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act).

Scope of Review

Imports covered by this review are shipments of certain malleable cast iron pipe fittings, other than grooved, from Brazil. In the original order, these products were classifiable in the Tariff Schedules of the United States, Annotated, under item numbers 610.7000 and 610.7400. These products are currently classifiable under item numbers 7307.19.00 and 7307.19.90 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS). The HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

Best Information Available

In accordance with section 776(c) of the Tariff Act, we have preliminarily determined that the use of BIA is appropriate for Tupy. The Department's regulations provide that we may take into account whether a party refuses to provide information (19 CFR 353.37(b)) in selecting BIA. Generally, whenever a company refuses to cooperate with the Department or otherwise significantly impedes the proceeding, the Department uses as BIA the highest rate for any company for the same class or kind of merchandise from the current or any prior segment of the proceeding. When a company substantially cooperates with our requests for information, but fails to provide all the information requested in a timely manner or in the form requested, we use as BIA the higher of (1) the highest rate (including the "all others" rate) ever applicable to

the firm for the same class or kind of merchandise from the same country from either the less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation or a prior administrative review; or (2) the highest calculated rate in the review for any firm for the same class or kind of merchandise from the same country. See *Antifriction Bearings (Other Than Tapered Roller Bearings) and Parts Thereof From the Federal Republic of Germany, et al.; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 56 FR 31692, 31704 (July 11, 1991); see also *Allied-Signal Aerospace Co. v. United States* 996 F.2d 1185 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Because Tupy refused to respond to our requests for information (see letter from the law firm of Sonnenberg, Anderson, & Rodriguez to the Department dated October 31, 1994), we have used the highest rate ever found in this proceeding to establish its margin. This rate is 5.64 percent.

Preliminary Results of Review

We preliminarily determine the margin for this administrative review to be:

Producer/exporter	Margin
Industria de Fundicao Tupy S.A.	5.64

Parties to the proceeding may request disclosure within 5 days and interested parties may request a hearing not later than 10 days after publication of this notice. Interested parties may submit written arguments in case briefs on these preliminary results within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in case briefs, may be filed no later than 7 days after the time limit for filing case briefs. Any hearing, if requested, will be held 7 days after the scheduled date for submission of rebuttal briefs. Copies of case briefs and rebuttal briefs must be served on interested parties in accordance with 19 CFR 353.38(e). Representatives of parties to the proceeding may request disclosure of proprietary information under administrative protective order no later than 10 days after the representative's client or employer becomes a party to the proceeding, but in any event not later than the date the case briefs, under 19 CFR 353.38(c), are due. The Department will publish the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any case or rebuttal brief or at a hearing.

Upon completion of the final results in this review, the Department shall determine, and the Customs Service