

**§ 39.13 [Amended]**

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

**General Electric Company:** Docket No. 94-ANE-41.

*Applicability:* General Electric Company (GE) CF6-80A series turbofan engines installed on, but not limited to, Airbus A310 series and Boeing 767 series aircraft.

*Compliance:* Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent a compressor rear frame (CRF) separation, which could result in a rejected takeoff and damage to the aircraft, accomplish the following:

(a) Inspect CRF, Part Numbers (P/N) 9283M77G07, 9283M77G08, 9283M77G09, 9283M77G11, 9283M77G14, 7283M77G15, 9283M77G16, 9283M77G17, 9283M77G18, 9283M77G19, 1338M77G01, 1338M77G02, 1338M77G03, 1338M77G04, 1338M77G05, and 1338M77G06, that have not accomplished the midflange rework or replacement in accordance with any revision level of GE CF6-80A Service Bulletin (SB) No. 72-600 or 72-611, prior to the effective date of this AD, as follows:

(1) Perform an on-wing eddy current inspection (ECI) or an on-wing spot fluorescent penetrant inspection (FPI) of the CRF midflange for cracks in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions and the schedule outlined in Table 1 of GE CF6-80A SB No. 72-593, Revision 2, dated March 19, 1992, or within 1,000 cycles in service since the last shop level FPI, whichever occurs later, after the effective date of this AD.

(2) Thereafter, reinspect the CRF midflange for cracks in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions and schedule outlined in Table 2 of GE CF6-80A SB No. 72-593, Revision 2, dated March 19, 1992.

(3) Remove from service prior to further flight CRF's with cracked midflanges that exceed the on-wing serviceable limits specified in Table 2 of GE CF6-80A SB No. 72-593, Revision 2, dated March 19, 1992, and replace with a serviceable part.

(b) Remove from service CRF's identified in paragraph (a) of this AD at the next piece-part exposure, or by December 31, 1996, whichever occurs earlier, and replace with a serviceable part. Removal and replacement of CRF's in accordance with this paragraph constitutes terminating action to the on-wing inspection requirements of paragraph (a) of this AD.

(c) For the purpose of this AD, a serviceable part is defined as a CRF that has accomplished the midflange rework or replacement in accordance with any revision level of GE CF6-80A SB No. 72-600 or 72-611.

(d) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Engine Certification Office. The request should be forwarded through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Engine Certification Office.

**Note:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of

compliance with this airworthiness directive, if any, may be obtained from the Engine Certification Office.

(e) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the aircraft to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on February 14, 1995.

**James C. Jones,**

*Acting Manager, Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 95-4249 Filed 2-21-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

**14 CFR Part 39**

[Docket No. 92-CE-23-AD]

**Airworthiness Directives; Jetstream Aircraft Limited (formerly British Aerospace, Regional Aircraft Limited) Jetstream Models 3101 and 3201 Airplanes**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM); Reopening of the comment period.

**SUMMARY:** This document reopens the comment period and proposes to revise an earlier proposed airworthiness directive (AD), which would have required inspecting the main passenger/crew door locking mechanism on certain Jetstream Aircraft Limited (JAL) Jetstream Models 3101 and 3201 airplanes to ensure that a taper pin is installed, and installing a taper pin if not already installed. Since publication of that proposal, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) has re-examined various service difficulty reports on the affected airplanes, and determined that this is still a valid safety issue, and that a modification to the passenger door warning system should also be included. Since this action adds an additional modification that was not originally proposed, the FAA is allowing additional time for the public to comment. The proposed actions are intended to prevent the inability to open the passenger/crew door or failure of the passenger door warning system, which, if not detected and corrected, could result in passenger injury if emergency evacuation is needed.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before April 28, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments in triplicate to the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 92-CE-23-AD, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street,

Kansas City, Missouri 64106. Comments may be inspected at this location between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, holidays excepted.

Service information that applies to the proposed AD may be obtained from Jetstream Aircraft Limited, Manager Product Support, Prestwick Airport, Ayrshire, KA9 2RW Scotland; telephone (44-292) 79888; facsimile (44-292) 79703; or Jetstream Aircraft Inc., Librarian, P.O. Box 16029, Dulles International Airport, Washington, DC 20041-6029; telephone (703) 406-1161; facsimile (703) 406-1469. This information also may be examined at the Rules Docket at the address above.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Raymond A. Stoer, Program Officer, Brussels Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, Europe, Africa, and Middle East Office, c/o American Embassy, B-1000 Brussels, Belgium; telephone (322) 513.3830; facsimile (322) 230.6899; or Mr. John P. Dow, Sr., Project Officer, Small Airplane Directorate, Airplane Certification Service, FAA, 1201 Walnut, suite 900, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone (816) 426-6932; facsimile (816) 426-2169.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Comments Invited**

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report that summarizes each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket No. 92-CE-23-AD." The

postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

**Availability of NPRMs**

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 92-CE-23-AD, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

**Discussion**

A proposal (NPRM) to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an AD that would apply to certain JAL Jetstream Models 3101 and 3201 airplanes was published in the **Federal Register** on May 26, 1992 (57 FR 21911). The action proposed to require inspecting the main passenger/crew door locking mechanism to ensure that a taper pin is installed, and installing a taper pin if not already installed. The proposed actions would require to be accomplished in accordance with Jetstream Service Bulletin (SB) 52-A-JA 911140, dated February 3, 1992. This proposal was revised to incorporate Jetstream 52-A-JA 911140, Revision 1, dated June 26, 1992, and then republished in the **Federal Register** as a supplemental NPRM on April 26, 1993 (58 FR 21957).

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment during both the NPRM and supplemental NPRM stages. No comments were received on the proposed rule or the FAA's determination of the cost to the public in either instance.

Since publication of the proposals, the FAA has re-examined various service difficulty reports on the affected airplanes, and determined that the proposed modification is still a valid safety issue, but is not considered an urgent safety of flight issue. In addition, the FAA determined that a modification to the passenger door warning system should be incorporated in order to ensure evacuation efficiency in the event of an emergency. Several reports of passenger door warning system malfunctions prompted the FAA to incorporate this modification into the proposal. Accomplishment of the proposed passenger door warning modification would be in accordance

with Jetstream SB 52-JM 7793, which incorporates the following pages:

Pages	Revision level	Date
4 through .....	Original Issue	November 19, 1992.
1, 2, and 3 ...	Revision 1 ....	August 10, 1993.

In addition, JAL has revised certain pages of Jetstream SB 52-A-JA 911140, and the FAA has incorporated these revised pages (Revision 2) into the proposal. Jetstream SB 52-A-JA 911140 now incorporates the following pages:

Pages	Revision level	Date
4, 5, 7, and 9	Original Issue	February 3, 1992.
2 .....	Revision 1 ....	June 26, 1992.
1, 3, 6, and 8	Revision 2 ....	October 6, 1992.

Since this action adds an additional modification that was not originally proposed, the FAA is reopening the comment period to provide additional time for public comment.

The FAA estimates that 200 airplanes in the U.S. registry would be affected by the proposed AD, that it would take approximately 10 workhours (2 workhours for the taper pin installation and 8 workhours for the passenger door warning system modification) per airplane to accomplish the proposed action, and that the average labor rate is approximately \$60 an hour. Parts to accomplish the modifications will be provided by JAL at no cost to the owner/operator. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$120,000. This figure is based on the assumption that no affected owner/operator has accomplished either of the proposed modifications; that all airplanes would need a taper pin installed on the passenger/crew door locking mechanism; and that no airplane owner/operator has accomplished the passenger door warning system modification. The FAA anticipates that a majority of the affected airplanes would already have taper pins installed and passenger door warning system modifications incorporated, thereby reducing the proposed cost impact upon the public.

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order

12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action has been placed in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

**List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39**

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

**The Proposed Amendment**

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

**PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES**

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. App. 1354(a), 1421 and 1423; 49 U.S.C. 106(g); and 14 CFR 11.89.

**§ 39.13 [Amended]**

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding a new airworthiness directive to read as follows:

**Jetstream Aircraft Limited:** Docket No. 92-CE-23-AD.

**Applicability:** Jetstream Models 3101 and 3201 airplanes (all serial numbers), certificated in any category.

**Compliance:** Required within the next 500 hours time-in-service after the effective date of this AD, unless already accomplished.

To prevent the inability to open the passenger/crew door or failure of the passenger door warning system, which, if not detected and corrected, could result in passenger injury if emergency evacuation is needed, accomplish the following:

(a) For all affected airplanes that have a main passenger/crew door installed with one of the following serial numbers, accomplish paragraphs (a)(1) and (a)(2) of this AD, as applicable:

WIPL-SD-0001 through WIPL-SD-0005, WIPL-SD-0008 through WIPL-SD-0031, WIPL-SD-0034 through WIPL-SD-0046,

WIPL-SD-0049, WIPL-SD-0051 through WIPL-SD-0065, WIPL-SD-0067, WIPL-SD-0070, WIPL-SD-0071, SDJ10883, SDJ10884A, SDJ10884B, and SDJ10886 through SDJ10891

(1) To ensure that a part number SP28E4 taper pin is installed, visually inspect the passenger/crew door locking mechanism in the area between the locking dog and indicator button assembly in accordance with Part 2 of the Accomplishment Instructions section of Jetstream Service Bulletin (SB) 52-A-JA 911140, which incorporates the following pages:

Pages	Revision level	Date
4, 5, 7, and 9	Original Issue	February 3, 1992.
2 .....	Revision 1 ....	June 26, 1992.
1, 3, 6, and 8	Revision 2 ....	October 6, 1992.

(2) If a taper pin (part number SP28E4) is not installed, prior to further flight, accomplish Part 3 of the Accomplishment Instructions section of Jetstream SB 52-A-JA 911140.

(b) For all affected airplanes regardless of the serial number passenger door installed, modify the passenger door warning system in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions section of Jetstream SB 52-JM 7793, which incorporates the following pages:

Pages	Revision level	Date
4 through 11	Original Issue	November 19, 1992.
1, 2, and 3 ...	Revision 1 ....	August 10, 1993.

**Note 1:** Compliance with a previous revision level of the service bulletins referenced in this AD fulfills the applicable requirements of this AD and is considered "unless already accomplished" for that portion of the AD.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(d) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance times that provides an equivalent level of safety may be approved by the Manager, Brussels Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Europe, Africa, and Middle East Office, c/o American Embassy, B-1000 Brussels, Belgium. The request should be forwarded through an appropriate FAA Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Brussels ACO.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Brussels ACO.

(e) All persons affected by this directive may obtain copies of the documents referred to herein upon request to Jetstream Aircraft Limited, Manager Product Support,

Prestwick Airport, Ayrshire, KA9 2RW Scotland; telephone (44-292) 79888; or Jetstream Aircraft Inc., Librarian, P.O. Box 16029, Dulles International Airport, Washington, DC, 20041-6029; or may examine these documents at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on February 14, 1995.

**Barry D. Clements,**  
Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 95-4252 Filed 2-21-95; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

**14 CFR Part 39**

[Docket No. 94-NM-254-AD]

**Airworthiness Directives; Lockheed Model L-1011-385 Series Airplanes**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to Lockheed Model L-1011-385 series airplanes. This proposal would require modifications of various fluid drainage areas of the fuselage. This proposal is prompted by incidents involving corrosion and fatigue cracking in transport category airplanes that are approaching or have exceeded their economic design goal; these incidents have jeopardized the airworthiness of the affected airplanes. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent degradation of the structural capabilities of the affected airplanes due to problems associated with corrosion.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by April 17, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 94-NM-254-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Lockheed Aeronautical Systems Support Company, Field Support Department, Dept. 693, Zone 0755, 2251 Lake Park Drive, Smyrna, Georgia 30080. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport

Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office, Small Airplane Directorate, Campus Building, 1701 Columbia Avenue, Suite 2-160, College Park, Georgia.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Thomas Peters, Flight Test Branch, ACE-160A, FAA, Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office, Small Airplane Directorate, Campus Building, 1701 Columbia Avenue, Suite 2-160, College Park, Georgia 30337-2748; telephone (404) 305-7367; fax (404) 305-7348.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Comments Invited**

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 94-NM-254-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

**Availability of NPRMs**

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 94-NM-254-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

**Discussion**

In April 1988, a high-cycle transport category airplane (specifically, a Boeing Model 737) was involved in an accident in which the airplane suffered major structural damage during flight.