

- Water resources, fisheries, and wetlands
- Vegetation and wildlife
- Endangered and threatened species
- Public safety
- Land use
- Cultural resources
- Air quality and noise
- Hazardous waste

We will also evaluate possible alternatives to the proposed project or portions of the project, and make recommendations on how to lessen or avoid impacts on the various resource areas.

Our independent analysis of the issues will be in the EA. Depending on the comments received during the scoping process, the EA may be published and mailed to Federal, state, and local agencies, public interest groups, interested individuals, affected landowners, newspapers, libraries, and the Commission's official service list for this proceeding. A comment period will be allotted for review if the EA is published. We will consider all comments on the EA before we recommend that the Commission approve or not approve the project.

Currently Identified Environmental Issues

We have already identified several issues that we think deserve attention based on a preliminary review of the proposed facilities and the information provided by Atlantic. Keep in mind that this is a preliminary list. The list of issues will be added to, subtracted from, or changed based on your comments and our analysis. Issues are:

- The Myer Compressor Station would be a new source of air pollutant emissions.
- The operating noise of the proposed facility may impact the surrounding residences. The nearest noise-sensitive area is a residence 810 feet south of the Myer Compressor Station.

Public Participation

You can make a difference by sending a letter addressing your specific comments or concerns about the project. You should focus on the potential environmental effects of the proposal, alternatives to the proposal, and measures to avoid or lessen environmental impact. The more specific your comments, the more useful they will be. Please follow the instructions below to ensure that your comments are received and properly recorded:

- Address your letter to: Lois Cashell, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol St., NE., Washington, DC 20426;

- Reference Docket No. CP95-92-000;
- Send a *copy* of your letter to: Mr. Steven G. Grape, EA Project Manager, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol St., NW., room 7312, Washington, DC 20426; and
- Mail your comments so that they will be received in Washington, DC on or before March 15, 1995.

If you wish to receive a copy of the EA, you should request one from Mr. Grape at the above address.

Becoming an Intervenor

In addition to involvement in the EA scoping process, you may want to become an official party to the proceeding or become an "intervenor". Among other things, intervenors have the right to receive copies of case-related Commission documents and filings by other intervenors. Likewise, each intervenor must provide copies of its filings to all other parties. If you want to become an intervenor you must file a motion to intervene according to Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214) attached as appendix 2.

The date for filing timely motions to intervene in this proceeding has passed. Therefore, parties now seeking to file late interventions must show good cause, as required by section 385.214(b)(3), why this time limitation should be waived. Environmental issues have been viewed as good cause for late intervention. You do not need intervenor status to have your scoping comments considered.

Additional information about the proposed project is available from Mr. Steven G. Grape, EA Project Manager, at (202) 208-1046.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-4146 Filed 2-17-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP94-715-000]

Koch Gateway Pipeline Co.; Notice of Availability of the Environmental Assessment for the Proposed Kiln Compressor Station

February 15, 1995.

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission) has prepared an environmental assessment (EA) on the Kiln Compressor Station proposed by Koch Gateway Pipeline Company (Koch Gateway) in the above-referenced docket.

The EA was prepared to satisfy the requirements of the National

Environmental Policy Act. The staff concludes that approval of the proposed project, with appropriate mitigating measures, would not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.

The EA assesses the potential environmental effects of the construction and operation of the Kiln Compressor Station. The project involves construction of a new 8,000-horsepower (hp) compressor station in Hancock County, Mississippi. The proposed facilities include:

- Two 4,000-hp Solar Centaur T-4700 turbine-driven compressor units;
- Two gas discharge coolers;
- Two oil storage tanks;
- One condensate tank;
- One inlet separator;
- One blowdown silencer;
- 70 feet of 30-inch-diameter tie-in pipeline;
- 1,850 feet of 16-inch-diameter pipeline;
- 2,000 feet of 24-inch-diameter suction and discharge headers and associated valves;
- 335 feet of 10-inch-diameter suction and discharge headers;
- A compressor building;
- An office/control building;
- A 200-foot-tall microwave tower;
- An auxiliary building; and
- 700 feet of new gravel road.

Koch Gateway would construct this station to provide greater flexibility and reliability on its system serving the Mobile-Pensacola market. Koch Gateway would be able to move an additional 169,000,000 cubic feet of gas per day (169,000 Mcfd) on its 30-inch-diameter Index 300 mainline that runs from Lirette, Louisiana to Mobile, Alabama.

Additionally, the Kiln Compressor Station would allow Koch Gateway to inject gas into Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company's (Tennessee) existing 30-inch-diameter "500" pipeline at an existing interconnect between Koch Gateway and Tennessee (Kiln Interconnect). Currently, high pressure in Tennessee's 500 pipeline prevents Koch Gateway from injecting gas into Tennessee's pipeline at the Kiln Interconnect. Koch Gateway would use the Kiln Compressor Station to provide up to 377,000 Mcfd to Tennessee.

The EA has been placed in the public files of the FERC and is available for public inspection at: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Public Reference and Files Maintenance Branch, 941 North Capitol Street, N.E., Room 3104, Washington, DC 20426, (202) 208-1371.

Copies of the EA have been mailed to Federal, state and local agencies, public

interest groups, interested individuals, newspapers, and parties to this proceeding.

A limited number of copies of the EA are available from: Mr. Steve Grape, Environmental Project Manager, Environmental Review and Compliance Branch II, Office of Pipeline Regulation, Room 7312, PR-11.2, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20426, (202) 208-1046.

Any person wishing to comment on the EA may do so. Written comments must reference Docket No. CP94-715-000 and be addressed to: Office of the Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426.

Comments should be filed as soon as possible, but must be received no later than March 15, 1995, to ensure consideration prior to a Commission decision on this proposal. A copy of any comments should also be sent to Mr. Steve Grape, Environmental Project Manager, at the above address.

Comments will be considered by the Commission but will not serve to make the commentor a party to the proceeding. Any person seeking to become a party to the proceeding must file a motion to intervene pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214).

The date for filing timely motions to intervene in this proceeding has passed. Therefore, parties now seeking to file late interventions must show good cause, as required by section 385.214(b)(3), why this time limitation should be waived. Environmental issues have been viewed as good cause for late intervention. You do not need intervenor status to have your comments considered.

Additional information about this project is available from Mr. Steve Grape, Environmental Project Manager.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-4145 Filed 2-17-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket Nos. ES95-15-001, ES95-15-002 and ES95-15-003]

Citizens Utilities Company; Notice of Amended Application

February 14, 1995.

Take notice that on February 6, February 9, and February 14, 1995, Citizens Utilities Company (Citizens), filed amendments to its application under § 204 of the Federal Power Act requesting an order: (a) Disclaiming jurisdiction over the assumption by

Citizens as guarantor or otherwise of all obligations of a its subsidiaries under a lease facility relating to nonjurisdictional equipment and facilities with a cost of up to \$110 million; or (b) in the alternative and without prejudice to any determination of jurisdiction, authorizing the assumption by Citizens as guarantor or otherwise of said lease obligations. Also, Citizens requests exemption from the Commission's competitive bidding and negotiated placement regulations.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426 in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before February 24, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-4105 Filed 2-17-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP95-185-000]

Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

February 14, 1995.

Take notice that on January 30, 1995, Columbia Transmission Corporation (Columbia), 1700 MacCorkle Avenue, SE., Charleston, West Virginia 25314-1599, filed in Docket No. CP95-185-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act for authorization to construct and operate a new point of delivery for firm transportation service to NEA Cross of N.Y., Inc. (NEA), in Chautauqua County, New York, under its blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP83-76-000, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Columbia states that NEA has requested the new point of delivery for firm transportation service for commercial use. Columbia further states that it will provide the transportation service pursuant to its blanket certificate

issued in Docket No. CP-86-240-000. Columbia indicates that the estimated maximum daily quantity and the estimated annual quantity of natural gas that it will provide through the new delivery point is 35 Dth and 12,775 Dth, respectively. Additionally, Columbia states that the quantities to be provided through the new delivery point will be provided pursuant to an existing service agreement and therefore, there is no impact on Columbia's existing peak day obligations to its other customers as a result of the construction and operation of the proposed new point of delivery. Columbia indicates that it proposes to convert an existing receipt meter from NEA to a point of delivery to serve a resort area and NEA has agreed to purchase the existing 4-inch receipt meter and appurtenant facilities at a depreciated cost of \$1,225. Columbia estimates that the cost to construct facilities is approximately \$15,250. According to Columbia, NEA has agreed to reimburse Columbia for the actual total cost of converting the interconnection to a point of delivery.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules or Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the date after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-4107 Filed 2-17-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP95-118-000]

East Tennessee Natural Gas Company; Amended Notice of Technical Conference

February 14, 1995.

In response to a request from Atlanta Gas Light Company and Chattanooga Gas Company, intervenors in a certificate proceeding in Docket No. CP95-118-000, and with the concurrence of East Tennessee Natural Gas Company (East Tennessee), East