

interest groups, interested individuals, newspapers, and parties to this proceeding.

A limited number of copies of the EA are available from: Mr. Steve Grape, Environmental Project Manager, Environmental Review and Compliance Branch II, Office of Pipeline Regulation, Room 7312, PR-11.2, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20426, (202) 208-1046.

Any person wishing to comment on the EA may do so. Written comments must reference Docket No. CP94-715-000 and be addressed to: Office of the Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426.

Comments should be filed as soon as possible, but must be received no later than March 15, 1995, to ensure consideration prior to a Commission decision on this proposal. A copy of any comments should also be sent to Mr. Steve Grape, Environmental Project Manager, at the above address.

Comments will be considered by the Commission but will not serve to make the commentor a party to the proceeding. Any person seeking to become a party to the proceeding must file a motion to intervene pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214).

The date for filing timely motions to intervene in this proceeding has passed. Therefore, parties now seeking to file late interventions must show good cause, as required by section 385.214(b)(3), why this time limitation should be waived. Environmental issues have been viewed as good cause for late intervention. You do not need intervenor status to have your comments considered.

Additional information about this project is available from Mr. Steve Grape, Environmental Project Manager.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-4145 Filed 2-17-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket Nos. ES95-15-001, ES95-15-002 and ES95-15-003]

Citizens Utilities Company; Notice of Amended Application

February 14, 1995.

Take notice that on February 6, February 9, and February 14, 1995, Citizens Utilities Company (Citizens), filed amendments to its application under §204 of the Federal Power Act requesting an order: (a) Disclaiming jurisdiction over the assumption by

Citizens as guarantor or otherwise of all obligations of a its subsidiaries under a lease facility relating to nonjurisdictional equipment and facilities with a cost of up to \$110 million; or (b) in the alternative and without prejudice to any determination of jurisdiction, authorizing the assumption by Citizens as guarantor or otherwise of said lease obligations. Also, Citizens requests exemption from the Commission's competitive bidding and negotiated placement regulations.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426 in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before February 24, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-4105 Filed 2-17-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP95-185-000]

Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

February 14, 1995.

Take notice that on January 30, 1995, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia), 1700 MacCorkle Avenue, SE., Charleston, West Virginia 25314-1599, filed in Docket No. CP95-185-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act for authorization to construct and operate a new point of delivery for firm transportation service to NEA Cross of N.Y., Inc. (NEA), in Chautauqua County, New York, under its blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP83-76-000, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Columbia states that NEA has requested the new point of delivery for firm transportation service for commercial use. Columbia further states that it will provide the transportation service pursuant to its blanket certificate

issued in Docket No. CP-86-240-000. Columbia indicates that the estimated maximum daily quantity and the estimated annual quantity of natural gas that it will provide through the new delivery point is 35 Dth and 12,775 Dth, respectively. Additionally, Columbia states that the quantities to be provided through the new delivery point will be provided pursuant to an existing service agreement and therefore, there is no impact on Columbia's existing peak day obligations to its other customers as a result of the construction and operation of the proposed new point of delivery. Columbia indicates that it proposes to convert an existing receipt meter from NEA to a point of delivery to serve a resort area and NEA has agreed to purchase the existing 4-inch receipt meter and appurtenant facilities at a depreciated cost of \$1,225. Columbia estimates that the cost to construct facilities is approximately \$15,250. According to Columbia, NEA has agreed to reimburse Columbia for the actual total cost of converting the interconnection to a point of delivery.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the date after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-4107 Filed 2-17-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP95-118-000]

East Tennessee Natural Gas Company; Amended Notice of Technical Conference

February 14, 1995.

In response to a request from Atlanta Gas Light Company and Chattanooga Gas Company, intervenors in a certificate proceeding in Docket No. CP95-118-000, and with the concurrence of East Tennessee Natural Gas Company (East Tennessee), East

Tennessee, its shippers and the commission staff will meet on February 22, 1995, to discuss Order No. 636 restructuring issues that have become known after on year's experience under East Tennessee's restructured tariff. The meeting will take place following a technical conference in Docket No. CP95-118-000 which will commence at 9:30 a.m., in Room 2402-A at the offices of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 N. Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

For additional information, contact Timothy W. Gordon at (202) 208-2265.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-4106 Filed 2-17-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP94-575-000]

El Paso Natural Gas Company; Notice of Site Visit

February 14, 1995.

On February 23 through 24, 1995, members of the environmental staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) will conduct a site visit to facilities being proposed by El Paso Natural Gas Company (El Paso) in the above docket. The site visit will include an inspection of the proposed route of the San Juan Triangle Expansion Project loop pipeline in San Juan County, New Mexico.

Because the proposed loop would be on allotted, trust, and reservation lands administered by the Navajo Nation, the number of people who may participate in the site visit is limited. Interested parties should contact Jeanene Hafen of El Paso at (915) 541-3410. All parties who attend must provide their own transportation. For any other information, contact Paul Friedman, the FERC Environmental Project Manager, at (202) 208-1108.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-4102 Filed 2-17-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP95-159-000]

Florida Gas Transmission Co.; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

February 15, 1995.

Take notice that on February 10, 1995, Florida Gas Transmission Company (FGT), tendered for filing to become part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheet:

Original Sheet No. 125A

FGT states that its currently effective FERC Gas Tariff does not contain provisions for disposition of Unauthorized Gas delivered to FGT's system. Unauthorized Gas is defined in the proposed tariff provisions as volumes of gas delivered to FGT that FGT cannot identify as being delivered pursuant to a transportation nomination on behalf of an existing shipper.

FGT proposes that upon becoming aware of Unauthorized Gas, FGT will post notice on its Electronic Bulletin Board (EBB) the volume, the production month received and the point of receipt of such volumes. From the date of posting, claimants will then have thirty (30) calendar days to provide evidence of ownership. If a valid claim is received in the thirty (30) day period, FGT will purchase the Unauthorized Gas at a price equal to eighty percent (80%) of the Tivoli Index, as contained in the Cash-Out Provisions of Section 14 of the General Terms and Conditions of FGT's tariff, for the month in which the Unauthorized Gas is delivered into FGT or the month of settlement, whichever is less.

FGT states that it shall purchase a maximum of 12,000 MMBtu during a twelve (12) month calendar period from any single claimant or at any single receipt point. FGT has included these provisions to avoid becoming an unwilling "purchaser of last resort" for producers willing to accept 80% of the Tivoli Index who might intentionally deliver Unauthorized Gas to FGT. FGT believes the proposed changes provide an efficient and equitable mechanism for resolving Unauthorized Gas.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426 in accordance with §§ 385.211 and 385.214 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before February 23, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-4153 Filed 2-17-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP95-197-000]

Koch Gateway Pipeline Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

February 14, 1995.

Take notice that on February 3, 1995, Koch Gateway Pipeline Company (Koch Gateway), P.O. Box 1478, Houston, Texas 77251-1478 filed in Docket No. CP95-197-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211) for authorization to construct and operate facilities at an existing meter station site in Calcasieu Parish, Louisiana under Koch Gateway's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-430-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Koch Gateway proposes to increase natural gas delivery at the existing meter station for serving the Firestone plant on behalf of Koch Gas Services Company (KGSC). The proposed revisions will increase delivery capacity from 2,400 MMBtu to 6,500 MMBtu per day. Koch Gateway states it has been authorized to provide this natural gas transportation service to Firestone on behalf of KGSC under an April 1, 1994 transportation agreement.

Koch Gateway will replace a 2-inch meter with a 3-inch meter and will install a flow computer at an existing meter station site on its Iowa Field-Cities Service Refinery line near Lake Charles in Calcasieu Parish, Louisiana. The delivery tap and meter station construction will be aboveground and entirely within the existing pipeline right of way on Firestone's plant property. The estimated cost is \$9,000. Koch Gateway's service provided to KGSC will be interruptible, is within the current certificated level, will have no impact on Koch Gateway's curtailment plan or affect service to other existing customers.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn