

and historic preservation matters must be filed within 15 days after the EA becomes available to the public.

Environmental, historic preservation, public use, or trail use/rail banking conditions will be imposed, where appropriate, in a subsequent decision.

Decided: February 10, 1995.

By the Commission,

David M. Konschnik,

Director, Office of Proceedings.

Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-4032 Filed 2-16-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-P

[Docket No. AB-3 (Sub-No. 120X)]

**Missouri Pacific Railroad Company—
Abandonment Exemption—in Cowley
County, KS (Winfield Industrial Lead)**

Missouri Pacific Railroad Company (MP) has filed a notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1152 Subpart F—*Exempt Abandonments* to abandon a portion of its railroad, known as the Winfield Industrial Lead, from milepost 513.50 (at the end of the line) to milepost 514.41, near Winfield, a distance of approximately 0.91 mile, in Cowley County, KS.

MP has certified that: (1) No local traffic has moved over the line for at least 2 years; (2) no overhead traffic has moved over the line; (3) no formal complaint filed by a user of rail service on the line (or by a State or local government entity acting on behalf of such user) regarding cessation of service over the line either is pending with the Commission or with any U.S. District Court or has been decided in favor of the complainant within the 2-year period; and (4) the requirements at 49 CFR 1105.7 (environmental reports), 49 CFR 1105.8 (historic reports), 49 CFR 1105.11 (transmittal letter), 49 CFR 1105.12 (newspaper publication), and 49 CFR 1152.50(d)(1) (notice to governmental agencies) have been met.

As a condition to use of this exemption, any employee adversely affected by the abandonment shall be protected under *Oregon Short Line R. Co.—Abandonment—Goshen*, 360 I.C.C. 91 (1979). To address whether this condition adequately protects affected employees, a petition for partial revocation under 49 U.S.C. 10505(d) must be filed.

Provided no formal expression of intent to file an offer of financial assistance (OFA) has been received, this exemption will be effective on March 19, 1995, unless stayed pending reconsideration. Petitions to stay that do

not involve environmental issues,¹ formal expressions of intent to file an OFA under 49 CFR 1152.27(c)(2),² and trail use/rail banking requests under 49 CFR 1152.29³ must be filed by February 27, 1995. Petitions to reopen or requests for public use conditions under 49 CFR 1152.28 must be filed by March 9, 1995, with: Office of the Secretary, Case Control Branch, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, DC 20423.

A copy of any pleading filed with the Commission should be sent to applicant's representative: Joseph D. Anthofer, 1416 Dodge St., Room 830, Omaha, NE 68179.

If the notice of exemption contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*.

MP has filed an environmental report which addresses the abandonment's effects, if any, on the environmental and historic resources. The Section of Environmental Analysis (SEA) will issue an environmental assessment (EA) by February 22, 1995. Interested persons may obtain a copy of the EA by writing to SEA (Room 3219, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, DC 20423) or by calling Elaine Kaiser, Chief of SEA, at (202) 927-6248.

Comments on environmental and historic preservation matters must be filed within 15 days after the EA is available to the public.

Environmental, historic preservation, public use, or trail use/rail banking conditions will be imposed, where appropriate, in a subsequent decision.

Decided: February 13, 1995.

By the Commission, David M. Konschnik,
Director, Office of Proceedings.

Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-4030 Filed 2-16-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Information Collections Under Review

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has been sent the following

¹ A stay will be issued routinely by the Commission in those proceedings where an informed decision on environmental issues (whether raised by a party or by the Commission's Section of Environmental Analysis in its independent investigation) cannot be made prior to the effective date of the notice of exemption. See *Exemption of Out-of-Service Rail Lines*, 5 I.C.C.2d 377 (1989). Any entity seeking a stay on environmental concerns is encouraged to file its request as soon as possible in order to permit this Commission to review and act on the request before the effective date of this exemption.

² See *Exempt. of Rail Abandonment—Offers of Finan. Assist.*, 4 I.C.C.2d 164 (1987).

³ The Commission will accept a late-filed trail use request as long as it retains jurisdiction to do so.

collection(s) of information proposals for review under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 USC Chapter 35) and the Paperwork Reduction Reauthorization Act since the last list was published. Entries are grouped into submission categories, with each entry containing the following information:

- (1) The title of the form/collection;
- (2) The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection.
- (3) Who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract;
- (4) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond;
- (5) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection; and,
- (6) An indication as to whether Section 3504(h) of Public Law 96-511 applies.

Comments and/or suggestions regarding the item(s) contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated respond time, should be directed to the OMB reviewer, Mr. Jeff Hill on (202) 395-7340 and to the Department of Justice's Clearance Officer, Mr. Robert B. Briggs, on (202) 514-4319. If you anticipate commenting on a form/collection, but find that time to prepare such comments will prevent you from prompt submission, you should notify the OMB reviewer and the Department of Justice Clearance Officer of your intent as soon as possible. Written comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of the collection may be submitted to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503, and to Mr. Robert B. Briggs, Department of Justice Clearance Officer, Systems Policy Staff/Information Resources Management/Justice Management Division, Suite 850, WCTR, Washington, DC 20530.

Extension of a Currently Approved Collection

- (1) Document Verification Request.
- (2) G-845. Immigration and Naturalization Service, United States Department of Justice.
- (3) Primary=Individuals and Households, Others=None. This form is an integral part of the Systematic Alien Verification for Entitlement (SAVE) Program. It will provide direct access to the Immigration and Naturalization Service's Alien Status Verification Index (ASVI).
- (4) 500,000 annual respondents at .083 hours per response.

(5) 41,500 annual burden hours.
(6) Not applicable under Section 3504(h) of Public Law 96-511.

Public comment on this item is encouraged.

Dated: February 13, 1995.

Robert B. Briggs,

Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 95-4005 Filed 2-16-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-10-M

Information Collections Under Review

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has been sent the following collection(s) of information proposals for review under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) and the Paperwork Reduction Reauthorization Act since the last list was published. Entries are grouped into submission categories, with each entry containing the following information:

- (1) The title of the form/collection;
- (2) The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection.
- (3) Who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract;
- (4) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond;
- (5) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection; and
- (6) An indication as to whether Section 3504(h) of Public Law 96-511 applies.

Comments and/or suggestions regarding the item(s) contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the OMB reviewer, Mr. Jeff Hill on (202) 395-7340 and to the Department of Justice's Clearance Officer, Mr. Robert B. Briggs, on (202) 514-4319. If you anticipate commenting on a form/collection, but find that time to prepare such comments will prevent you from prompt submission, you should notify the OMB reviewer and the Department of Justice Clearance Officer of your intent as soon as possible. Written comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of the collection may be submitted to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503, and to Mr. Robert B. Briggs, Department of Justice Clearance Officer, Systems Policy Staff/Information Resources Management/Justice Management Division, Suite 850, WCTR, Washington, DC 20530.

Extension of a Currently Approved Collection

(1) Application—Land Border Facilitation PORTPASS Program
(2) I-823, I-823A, I-823B, I-823C, and I-823D. Immigration and Naturalization Service, United States Department of Justice.

(3) Primary = Individuals and Households, Others = None. This form covers two land border programs. At participating ports-of-entry, this form will be used by frequent crossers to voluntarily apply for permission to use the dedicated commuter lane, or to enter through an Automated Permit Port.

(4) 200,000 annual respondents at .73 hours (44 minutes) per response.

(5) 132,800 annual burden hours.

(6) Not applicable under Section 3504(h) of Public Law 96-511.

Public comment on this item is encouraged.

Dated: February 13, 1995.

Robert B. Briggs,

Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 95-4006 Filed 2-16-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-10-M

Antitrust Division

United States v. Sabreliner Corporation; Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)-(h), that a proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in *United States of America v. Sabreliner Corporation*.

The Complaint in this case alleges that the acquisition of Midcoast Aviation, Inc. ("Midcoast") by Sabreliner Corporation ("Sabreliner") may substantially lessen competition in the sale of jet fuel to transient general aviation aircraft at Lambert-St. Louis International airport ("Lambert") in violation of Section 7 of the Clayton Act.

Sabreliner and Midcoast are the only two fixed base operators ("FBOs") at Lambert Field. Fixed base operators provide terminaling services, such as aircraft cleaning, de-icing and fueling to general aviation aircraft. These services are typically included in the price of jet fuel sold to the general aviation customer. This acquisition, left unchallenged, would result in a monopoly in the provision of jet fuel to transient general aviation customers at Lambert.

The proposed Final Judgment requires Sabreliner to divest either its transient general aviation fueling facilities at Lambert, or, if necessary to attract a purchaser, its entire FBO operation at Lambert. If defendant does not complete the divestiture by the allotted time, a trustee will be appointed to conduct the divestiture.

Public comment on the proposed Final Judgment is invited within the statutory 60-day comment period. Such comments, and responses thereto, will be published in the **Federal Register** and filed with the Court. Comments should be directed to Roger W. Fones, Chief, Transportation, Energy, and Agriculture Section, Antitrust Division, Room 9104, Judiciary Center Building, 555 4th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20001 (202-307-6351).

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

United States of America; Plaintiff; vs. Sabreliner Corporation, a corporation; Defendant.

[Docket Number: 95-0241]

Stipulation

It is stipulated by and between the undersigned parties, by their respective attorneys, as follows:

(1) The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and over each of the parties hereto, and venue of this action is proper in the District for the District of Columbia.

(2) The parties consent that a Final Judgment in the form hereto attached may be filed and entered by the Court, upon the motion of any party or upon the Court's own motion, at any time after compliance with the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act (15 U.S.C. 16), and without further notice to any party or other proceedings, provided that plaintiff has not withdrawn its consent, which it may do at any time before the entry of the proposed final Judgment by serving notice thereof on defendant and by filing that notice with the Court.

(3) In the event plaintiff withdraws its consent or if the proposed Final Judgment is not entered pursuant to this Stipulation, this Stipulation shall be of no effect whatever, and the making of this Stipulation shall be without prejudice to any party in this or any other proceeding.

Dated: November 2, 1994.