

For further information concerning these investigations see the Commission's notices of investigations cited above and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A and C (19 CFR part 207).

Authority: These investigations are being conducted under authority of the Tariff Act of 1930, title VII. This notice is published pursuant to section 207.20 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: February 9, 1995.

Donna R. Koehnke,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-3758 Filed 2-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

[Investigation No. 337-TA-368]

Certain Rechargeable Nickel Metal Hydride Anode Materials and Batteries, and Products Containing Same; Notice of Decision Not To Review Initial Determination Granting Joint Motion To Terminate the Investigation With Respect to Respondents Toshiba Battery Co., Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc., and Toshiba America Consumer Products, Inc., on the Basis of a License Agreement

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (ID) (Order No. 10) issued on January 12, 1995, by the presiding administrative law judge (ALJ) in the above-captioned investigation granting the joint motion of complainants Energy Conversion Devices, Inc. and Ovonic Battery Co., Inc. and respondents Toshiba Battery Co., Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc., and Toshiba America Consumer Products, Inc. (collectively "the Toshiba companies") to terminate the investigation as to the Toshiba companies on the basis of a licensing agreement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marc A. Bernstein, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-3087.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation, which concerns allegations of section 337 violations in the importation, sale for importation, and sale after importation of certain

rechargeable nickel metal hydride anode materials and batteries and products containing same, on September 8, 1994. Complainants allege infringement of claims 1-17, 22, 23, 25, 27, and 32 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,623,597 ("the '597 patent").

On December 22, 1994, complainants and the Toshiba companies filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation with respect to the Toshiba companies on the basis of a licensing agreement. The ALJ issued an ID granting the joint motion and terminating the investigation as to the Toshiba companies. No petitions for review of the ID were filed. No agency or public comments were received.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and Commission rule 210.42, 19 CFR 210.42.

Copies of the nonconfidential version of the ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

By order of the Commission.

Dated: February 6, 1995.

Donna R. Koehnke,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-3759 Filed 2-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Interoperable System Project Foundation

Notice is hereby given that, on July 5, 1994, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. § 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), the InterOperable System Project Foundation ("ISPF") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages

under specified circumstances. Specifically, the identities of the new members are as follows: ABB Corporate Research, Dept. KL, Vasteras, Sweden; Apparatebau Hundsbach GmbH, Baden-Baden, Germany; Beamex Oy Ab, Pietarsaari, Finland; Bray International, Inc., Houston, TX; Chevron Research and Technology Co., Richmond, CA; ifak, Barleben, Germany; Knick Elektronische Meßgeräte GmbH & Co., Berlin, Germany; Politecnico di Torino-Dai, Torino, Italy; Ramsey Technology, Inc., Minneapolis MN; Rosemount Analytical Inc., Eden Prairie, MN; Simrad Albatross AS, Kongsberg, Norway; and Toshiba Corporation, Tokyo, Japan. The following parties are no longer members of ISP: KDG Mobrey Ltd.; Fachhochschule Landshut; Asea Brown Boveri; and Rosemount Measurement Division.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and ISPF intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On May 7, 1993, ISPF filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on September 23, 1993 (58 FR 49529).

The last notification was filed with the Department on April 5, 1994. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on May 18, 1994 (59 FR 25960).

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 95-3723 Filed 2-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Edison Industrial Systems Center

Notice is hereby given that, on December 21, 1994, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. § 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Edison Industrial Systems Center has filed written notification simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) identities of the parties and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of