

summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA issued during the months of January and February, 1995.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA the following group eligibility requirements of Section 250 of the Trade Act must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof (including workers in any agricultural firm or appropriate subdivision thereof), have become totally or partially separated from employment and either—

(A) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely,

(B) That imports from Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have increased.

(c) That the increase in imports contributed importantly to such workers' separations or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or

(2) That there has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by the firm or subdivision.

Negative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

NAFTA-TAA-00320; Fenestra Corp., Erie, PA

The investigation revealed that criteria (3) and criteria (4) were not met. There was no shift in production from the subject facility to Mexico or Canada during the period under investigation, nor did the company import steel door and frames from Mexico or Canada.

NAFTA-TAA-00317; Nelson Yacht Corp., Snohomish, WA

The investigation revealed that criteria (1) was not met in conjunction with the requirements of Section 506(b)(2) of the Act. The firm closed in March 1993 and all worker separations from the subject firm occurred prior to December 8, 1993, the earliest possible reachback date.

NAFTA-TAA-00310; Tennessee Valley Steel Corp., Harriman/Rockwood, TN

The investigation revealed that criteria (3) and criteria (4) were not met. There was no shift in production from the subject facility to Mexico or Canada during the period under investigation, nor did the company import steel from Mexico or Canada. Customers did not

increase imports of steel products from Canada or Mexico during the periods under investigation.

NAFTA-TAA-00311; Indiana Sportswear, Clinton, IN
NAFTA-TAA-00311A; Columbus Sportswear, Columbus, IN

The investigation revealed that criteria (3) and criteria (4) were not met. Survey results revealed that customers did not import ladies jackets from Mexico or Canada during the periods under investigation. There was no shift in production from the subject facility to Mexico or Canada during the period under investigation, nor did the company import ladies jackets from Mexico or Canada.

Affirmative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

NAFTA-TAA-00318; Dover/Parkersburg, Falls River, MA

A certification was issued covering all workers at Dover/Parkersburg located in Falls River, MA separated on or after December 8, 1993.

NAFTA-TAA-00319; Woodward Governor Co., Aircraft Controls Group, Stevens Point, WI

A certification was issued covering all workers of Woodward Governor Co., Aircraft Group, Aircraft Parts Mfg, Stevens Point, WI separated on or after December 8, 1993.

NAFTA-TAA-00321; General Imaging Technology (USA), Inc., Denver Plant, Arvada, CO

A certification was issued covering all workers of the Slitting Division of General Imaging Technology (USA), Inc., Denver, CO separated on or after December 8, 1993.

NAFTA-TAA-00315; Mobil Chemical Co., Films Div., Macedon, NY

A certification was issued covering all workers of Mobil Chemical Co's Films Division plant, located in Macedon, NY separated on or after December 8, 1993.

NAFTA-TAA-00316; Ansell Pacific, Inc., Pacific Dunlop, Inc., Salem, OR

A certification was issued covering all workers of Ansell Pacific, Inc., Salem, OR separated on or after December 20, 1993.

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the months of January and February, 1995. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in Room C-4318, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: February 7, 1995.

Victor J. Trunzo,

Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95-3645 Filed 2-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

[TA-W-30,216]

AEG Transportation Systems; Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; Revised Determination on Reconsideration

On January 24, 1995, the Department issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration for workers and former workers of the subject firm. The notice will soon be published in the **Federal Register**.

New findings on reconsideration show that the subject firm lost a major bid for the construction of a rapid transit project to a Japanese firm. The loss of this contract contributed importantly to the layoff of personnel in 1993 and 1994 and to decreased sales and production in 1994.

Other findings show decreased production in 1993 compared to 1992 and decreased sales in the first six months of 1994 compared to the same period in 1993. Average employment declined in 1993 compared to 1992 and in the first six months of 1994 compared to the same period in 1993.

Conclusion

After careful consideration of the new facts obtained on reconsideration, it is concluded that workers and former workers of AEG Transportation Systems, Inc., Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania were adversely affected by increased imports of articles that are like or directly competitive with transit vehicle systems and related equipment.

In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following revised determination for workers of AEG Transportation Systems, Inc., in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

All workers of AEG Transportation Systems, Inc., in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after August 4, 1993 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 2nd day of February 1995.

Victor J. Trunzo,

Program Director, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95-3642 Filed 2-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M