

proceeding.¹ AMTA's and SMR WON's motions, both filed on January 11, 1995, request that the Commission extend the deadline for filing reply comments (currently January 20, 1995) by 60 days. PCIA's motion, also filed on January 11, 1995, requests that the deadline be extended by 30 days. To date, no opposition to these requests has been filed.

2. AMTA seeks an extension in order to "facilitate continued industry efforts to resolve certain of the matters on which no consensus has yet been achieved." In its motion, SMR WON notes that additional time is necessary to "work out a consensus acceptable to all major interested parties, even though SMR WON and other trade associations held weekly meetings and conference calls throughout November and December." In addition, PCIA observes that "the number of Comments, the controversial issues discussed, and the complexity of the proceeding dictate that careful consideration be given to the Comments filed by all parties." As a result, AMTA, SMR WON and PCIA agree that an extension of time would allow interested and affected parties to submit well-reasoned options and comments on the complex issues addressed in this proceeding.

3. Based on the number of comments received and the variety of views expressed in this proceeding, it appears that an extension of the reply comment period is warranted. We agree with both AMTA and SMR WON that the public interest would be served by granting an extension so that members of the SMR industry can further evaluate, discuss, and attempt to reach consensus regarding the proposals presented and issues addressed both in the *Further Notice* and the initial comments submitted in this proceeding. Both parties indicate that discussions among industry members have been ongoing and that the members are continuing their efforts towards developing consensus positions. We believe that additional time is needed to allow this process to continue. We also agree with PCIA that additional time is needed to enable industry members to review the extensive comment record filed in this proceeding (over 80 comments have been filed) and to submit thorough and well-reasoned reply comments. We

nevertheless remain concerned about avoiding a substantial delay in the resolution of issues presented in this proceeding. Thus, we believe that a 40-day extension of the reply comment period is appropriate. We emphasize that in granting this extension, we expect SMR industry representatives to use the additional time productively by continuing their efforts to find solutions to the issues presented in this proceeding that will be broadly supported by industry members.

4. Accordingly, it is hereby ordered that the Motions of Extension of Time filed by the American Mobile Telecommunications Association, the Personal Communications Industry Association, and SMR WON are hereby GRANTED to the extent stated herein.

5. It is further ordered, pursuant to § 1.46 of the Commission's Rules, 47 CFR 1.46, that the deadline for filing reply comments in this proceeding is extended from January 20, 1995 to March 1, 1995.

Federal Communications Commission.

Rosalind K. Allen,

*Acting Chief, Commercial Radio Division,
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau.*

[FR Doc. 95-3575 Filed 2-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

50 CFR Part 17

RIN 1018-AC99

Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Extension of Comment Period on Proposed Endangered Status for Four Plants and Threatened Status for Six Plants From the Foothills of the Sierra Nevada Mountains of California

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rule; notice of extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: The Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) provides notice that the comment period on the proposed determination of endangered status for four plants and threatened status for six plants from the foothills of the Sierra Nevada Mountains of California is extended. The species proposed for endangered status are *Brodiaea pallida*, *Calyptroidium pulchellum*, *Lupinus citrinus* var. *deflexus*, and *Mimulus shevockii*, while the species proposed for threatened status are *Allium tuolumnense*, *Carpenteria californica*,

Clarkia springvillensis, *Fritillaria striata*, *Navarretia setiloba*, and *Verbena californica*.

DATES: The comment period, which originally closed on December 5, 1994, and was reopened and extended to February 13, 1995, now closes on June 4, 1995. Any comments received by the closing date will be considered in the final decision on this proposal.

ADDRESSES: Comments and materials should be submitted to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Sacramento Field Office, 2800 Cottage Way, E-1803, Sacramento, California 95825-1846. Comments and materials received will be available for public inspection during normal business hours, by appointment, at the above address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ken Fuller (see **ADDRESSES** section) or at 916/979-2120.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Allium tuolumnense (Rawhide Hill onion), *Brodiaea pallida* (Chinese Camp brodiaea), *Calyptroidium pulchellum* (Mariposa pussypaws), *Carpenteria californica* (carpenteria), *Clarkia springvillensis* (Springville clarkia), *Fritillaria striata* (Greenhorn adobe lily), *Lupinus citrinus* var. *deflexus* (Mariposa lupine), *Mimulus shevockii* (Kelso Creek monkeyflower), *Navarretia setiloba* (Piute Mountains navarretia), and *Verbena californica* (Red Hills vervain) are plant species found in the foothills of the Sierra Nevada Mountains of California. These ten plants are restricted to various substrate-specific habitats in Fresno, Kern, Madera, Mariposa, Tulare, and Tuolumne Counties. These plants face ongoing threats from one or more of the following: urbanization, inadequate regulatory mechanisms, random stochastic events, off-highway vehicle use, logging, overgrazing, illegal dumping, alteration of natural fire regimes, maintenance of roads and rights-of-ways, insect predation, agricultural land conversion, mining, proposed highway projects, and competition from brush species and nonnative grass species.

On October 4, 1994, the Service published a proposed rule to list *Brodiaea pallida*, *Calyptroidium pulchellum*, *Lupinus citrinus* var. *deflexus*, and *Mimulus shevockii* as endangered, and list *Allium tuolumnense*, *Carpenteria californica*, *Clarkia springvillensis*, *Fritillaria striata*, *Navarretia setiloba*, and *Verbena californica* as threatened (59 FR 50540). The comment period on this proposal originally closed on December 5, 1994.

¹ *Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making*, Amendment of Part 90 of the Commission's Rules to Facilitate Future Development of SMR Systems in the 800 MHz Frequency Band and Implementation of Section 309(j) of the Communications Act—Competitive Bidding, 800 MHz SMR, PR Docket No. 93-144 and PP Docket No. 93-253, FCC 94-271, adopted October 20, 1994, released November 4, 1994, 59 FR 60111, published November 22, 1994 (*Further Notice*).

On December 29, 1994, the Service reopened and extended the public comment period until February 13, 1995, to accommodate a public hearing held on January 31, 1995, in Bakersfield, California (59 FR 67268). In response to a letter dated January 25, 1995, from Congressmen Richard Pombo and William M. Thomas of California requesting an extension in the public comment period until June and several other similar requests made in writing or given in testimony at the public

hearing, the Service extends the comment period to allow for the collection of additional data during 1995 field season on the status and distribution of the proposed plants. Written comments may now be submitted until June 4, 1995, to the Service in the **ADDRESSES** section.

Author

The primary author of this notice is Jim A. Bartel, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Ecological Services, 911 N.E.

11th Avenue, Portland, Oregon 97232-4181.

Authority

The authority for this action is the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*)

Dated: February 8, 1995.

Mollie H. Beattie,

Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc. 95-3620 Filed 2-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-P