

of this proposed interim approval. The principal purposes of the docket are:

(1) To allow interested parties a means to identify and locate documents so that they can effectively participate in the approval process, and

(2) To serve as the record in case of judicial review. The EPA will consider any comments received by March 16, 1995.

B. Executive Order 12866

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this action from Executive Order 12866 review.

C. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The EPA's actions under section 502 of the Act do not create any new requirements, but simply address operating permits programs submitted to satisfy the requirements of 40 CFR part 70. Because this action does not impose any new requirements, it does not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 70

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Air pollution control, Intergovernmental relations, Operating permits, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: February 3, 1995.

Jack W. McGraw,

Acting Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 95-3659 Filed 2-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Part 80

[AMS-FRL-5154-7]

RIN 2060-AD71

Regulation of Fuels and Fuel Additives: Standards for Deposit Control Gasoline Additives

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Reopening of comment period.

SUMMARY: Section 211(l) of the Clean Air Act requires the Environmental Protection Agency to establish specifications for deposit control detergent additives. On November 22, 1993, the Environmental Protection Agency issued a notice of proposed rulemaking for standards for deposit control detergent additives. On October 15, 1994, EPA promulgated a final regulation (published in the **Federal Register** on November 1, 1994 (59 FR 54678)), with an interim program for detergent additives, which will be

replaced by a full certification detergent program in a subsequent action.

On December 28, 1994 (59 FR 66860), EPA issued a supplemental notice reopening the comment period for the final detergent additive certification program and requesting comment on issues related to the final detergent additive certification program. This document extends the public comment period for the supplemental notice.

DATES: The comment period for the supplemental notice will be extended from the original closing date of January 27, 1995 to February 21, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this document should be submitted in duplicate to: EPA Air Docket Section (LE-131); Attention: Public Docket No. A-91-77; Room M-1500, 401 M Street S.W., Washington, DC 20460. (Phone 202-260-7548; FAX 202-260-4000). This docket is open for public inspection from 8:00 a.m. until 4:00 p.m. except on government holidays. As provided in 40 CFR part 2, a reasonable fee may be charged for copying docket materials.

Electronic copies of this and other documents related to this rulemaking are available through the Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards (OAQPS) Technology Transfer Network Bulletin Board System (TTNBBS).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For general information and information related to technical issues contact: Mr. Jeffery A. Herzog, U.S. EPA (RDSD-12), Regulation Development and Support Division, 2565 Plymouth Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48105; Telephone: (313) 668-4227, FAX: (313) 741-7816. For information on enforcement related issues contact: Judith Lubow, U.S. EPA, Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance, Western Field Office, 12345 West Alameda Parkway, Suite 300, Lakewood, CO 80228; Telephone: (303) 969-6483, FAX: (303) 966-6490.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 80

Environmental protection, Fuel additives, Gasoline detergent additives, Gasoline motor vehicle pollution, Penalties, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: February 7, 1995.

Richard D. Wilson,

Acting Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation.

[FR Doc. 95-3603 Filed 2-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 90

[PR Docket No. 93-144 and PP Docket No. 93-253; DA 95-67]

Facilitation of Future Development of SMR Systems in the 800 MHz Frequency Band; Implementation of Section 309(j) of the Communications Act—Competitive Bidding, 800 MHz SMR

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule; extension of time.

SUMMARY: On November 4, 1994, the Commission released a Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making, FCC 94-271, concerning establishment of a flexible regulatory scheme and competitive bidding procedures for Specialized Mobile Radio (SMR) systems in the 800 MHz band.

Based on the number of initial comments received and the variety of views expressed in this proceeding, this Order extends the deadline for reply comments from January 20 to March 1, 1995. The intended effect of this action is to provide members of the SMR industry with an opportunity to further evaluate, discuss, and attempt to reach consensus regarding the proposals presented and issues addressed both in the Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making and the initial comments submitted in this proceeding.

DATES: Reply comments must be filed on or before March 1, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Federal Commission, 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: D'wana R. Speight, Legal Branch, Commercial Radio Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, (202) 418-0620.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Order Extending Reply Comment Period

Adopted: January 18, 1995

Released: January 18, 1995

By the Acting Chief, Commercial Radio Division:

1. We have received requests from the American Mobile Telecommunications Association, Inc. ("AMTA"), Personal Communications Industry Association ("PCIA"), and SMR WON for an extension of time for filing Reply Comments in response to the *Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making* on this

proceeding.¹ AMTA's and SMR WON's motions, both filed on January 11, 1995, request that the Commission extend the deadline for filing reply comments (currently January 20, 1995) by 60 days. PCIA's motion, also filed on January 11, 1995, requests that the deadline be extended by 30 days. To date, no opposition to these requests has been filed.

2. AMTA seeks an extension in order to "facilitate continued industry efforts to resolve certain of the matters on which no consensus has yet been achieved." In its motion, SMR WON notes that additional time is necessary to "work out a consensus acceptable to all major interested parties, even though SMR WON and other trade associations held weekly meetings and conference calls throughout November and December." In addition, PCIA observes that "the number of Comments, the controversial issues discussed, and the complexity of the proceeding dictate that careful consideration be given to the Comments filed by all parties." As a result, AMTA, SMR WON and PCIA agree that an extension of time would allow interested and affected parties to submit well-reasoned options and comments on the complex issues addressed in this proceeding.

3. Based on the number of comments received and the variety of views expressed in this proceeding, it appears that an extension of the reply comment period is warranted. We agree with both AMTA and SMR WON that the public interest would be served by granting an extension so that members of the SMR industry can further evaluate, discuss, and attempt to reach consensus regarding the proposals presented and issues addressed both in the *Further Notice* and the initial comments submitted in this proceeding. Both parties indicate that discussions among industry members have been ongoing and that the members are continuing their efforts towards developing consensus positions. We believe that additional time is needed to allow this process to continue. We also agree with PCIA that additional time is needed to enable industry members to review the extensive comment record filed in this proceeding (over 80 comments have been filed) and to submit thorough and well-reasoned reply comments. We

¹ *Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making*, Amendment of Part 90 of the Commission's Rules to Facilitate Future Development of SMR Systems in the 800 MHz Frequency Band and Implementation of Section 309(j) of the Communications Act—Competitive Bidding, 800 MHz SMR, PR Docket No. 93-144 and PP Docket No. 93-253, FCC 94-271, adopted October 20, 1994, released November 4, 1994, 59 FR 60111, published November 22, 1994 (*Further Notice*).

nevertheless remain concerned about avoiding a substantial delay in the resolution of issues presented in this proceeding. Thus, we believe that a 40-day extension of the reply comment period is appropriate. We emphasize that in granting this extension, we expect SMR industry representatives to use the additional time productively by continuing their efforts to find solutions to the issues presented in this proceeding that will be broadly supported by industry members.

4. Accordingly, it is hereby ordered that the Motions of Extension of Time filed by the American Mobile Telecommunications Association, the Personal Communications Industry Association, and SMR WON are hereby GRANTED to the extent stated herein.

5. It is further ordered, pursuant to § 1.46 of the Commission's Rules, 47 CFR 1.46, that the deadline for filing reply comments in this proceeding is extended from January 20, 1995 to March 1, 1995.

Federal Communications Commission.

Rosalind K. Allen,

*Acting Chief, Commercial Radio Division,
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau.*

[FR Doc. 95-3575 Filed 2-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

50 CFR Part 17

RIN 1018-AC99

Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Extension of Comment Period on Proposed Endangered Status for Four Plants and Threatened Status for Six Plants From the Foothills of the Sierra Nevada Mountains of California

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rule; notice of extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: The Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) provides notice that the comment period on the proposed determination of endangered status for four plants and threatened status for six plants from the foothills of the Sierra Nevada Mountains of California is extended. The species proposed for endangered status are *Brodiaea pallida*, *Calyptidium pulchellum*, *Lupinus citrinus* var. *deflexus*, and *Mimulus shevockii*, while the species proposed for threatened status are *Allium tuolumnense*, *Carpenteria californica*,

Clarkia springvillensis, *Fritillaria striata*, *Navarretia setiloba*, and *Verbena californica*.

DATES: The comment period, which originally closed on December 5, 1994, and was reopened and extended to February 13, 1995, now closes on June 4, 1995. Any comments received by the closing date will be considered in the final decision on this proposal.

ADDRESSES: Comments and materials should be submitted to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Sacramento Field Office, 2800 Cottage Way, E-1803, Sacramento, California 95825-1846. Comments and materials received will be available for public inspection during normal business hours, by appointment, at the above address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ken Fuller (see **ADDRESSES** section) or at 916/979-2120.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Allium tuolumnense (Rawhide Hill onion), *Brodiaea pallida* (Chinese Camp brodiaea), *Calyptidium pulchellum* (Mariposa pussypaws), *Carpenteria californica* (carpenteria), *Clarkia springvillensis* (Springville clarkia), *Fritillaria striata* (Greenhorn adobe lily), *Lupinus citrinus* var. *deflexus* (Mariposa lupine), *Mimulus shevockii* (Kelso Creek monkeyflower), *Navarretia setiloba* (Piute Mountains navarretia), and *Verbena californica* (Red Hills vervain) are plant species found in the foothills of the Sierra Nevada Mountains of California. These ten plants are restricted to various substrate-specific habitats in Fresno, Kern, Madera, Mariposa, Tulare, and Tuolumne Counties. These plants face ongoing threats from one or more of the following: urbanization, inadequate regulatory mechanisms, random stochastic events, off-highway vehicle use, logging, overgrazing, illegal dumping, alteration of natural fire regimes, maintenance of roads and rights-of-ways, insect predation, agricultural land conversion, mining, proposed highway projects, and competition from brush species and nonnative grass species.

On October 4, 1994, the Service published a proposed rule to list *Brodiaea pallida*, *Calyptidium pulchellum*, *Lupinus citrinus* var. *deflexus*, and *Mimulus shevockii* as endangered, and list *Allium tuolumnense*, *Carpenteria californica*, *Clarkia springvillensis*, *Fritillaria striata*, *Navarretia setiloba*, and *Verbena californica* as threatened (59 FR 50540). The comment period on this proposal originally closed on December 5, 1994.