

of Firststar Credit Card Bank, N.A., Gurnee, Illinois, a *de novo* bank.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, February 7, 1995.

**Jennifer J. Johnson,**

*Deputy Secretary of the Board.*

[FR Doc. 95-3491 Filed 2-10-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-F

**Jamestown Union Bancshares, Inc.;**  
**Notice of Application to Engage de**  
**novo in Permissible Nonbanking**  
**Activities**

The company listed in this notice has filed an application under § 225.23(a)(1) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.23(a)(1)) for the Board's approval under section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.21(a) of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.21(a)) to commence or to engage *de novo*, either directly or through a subsidiary, in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.25 of Regulation Y as closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, such activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

The application is available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices." Any request for a hearing on this question must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of the proposal.

Comments regarding the application must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than February 27, 1995.

**A. Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta**  
(Zane R. Kelley, Vice President) 104  
Marietta Street, N.W., Atlanta, Georgia  
30303:

*1. Jamestown Union Bancshares, Inc.,* Jamestown, Tennessee; to engage *de novo* through its finance company subsidiary, Jamestown Loan & Thrift Co., Jamestown, Tennessee, in credit-related insurance agency activities, pursuant to Sections 225.25(b)(8)(i) and (ii) of the Board's Regulation Y. The proposed activity will be conducted throughout the State of Tennessee.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, February 7, 1995.

**Jennifer J. Johnson,**

*Deputy Secretary of the Board.*

[FR Doc. 95-3492 Filed 2-10-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-F

**FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION**

[Dkt. C-2858]

**California and Hawaiian Sugar**  
**Company, et al.; Prohibited Trade**  
**Practices and Affirmative Corrective**  
**Actions**

**AGENCY:** Federal Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Modifying order.

**SUMMARY:** This order reopens a 1977 consent order that settled allegations that the respondents deceptively advertised that sugar derived from Hawaiian sugar cane is different from or superior to other sugars, particularly those derived from beets. This order modifies the consent order so that the respondents may make claims about objective differences in granulated white sugars with respect to health, safety, nutritional quality, or purity, as long as it has competent and reliable evidence to substantiate such claims. The Commission found that the public interest warranted reopening and modifying the 1977 order.

**DATES:** Consent order issued January 6, 1977. Modifying order issued January 17, 1995.<sup>1</sup>

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Justin Dingfelder or Robert Frisby, FTC/S-4631, Washington, DC 20580. (202) 326-3017 or 326-2098.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In the Matter of California and Hawaiian Sugar Company, et al. The prohibited trade practices and/or corrective actions as set forth at 42 FR 6800, are changed, in part, as indicated in the summary.

<sup>1</sup> Copies of the Modifying Order and Commissioner Starek's statement are available from the Commission's Public Reference Branch, H-130, 6th Street and Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20580.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interprets or applies sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 45, 52)

**Donald S. Clark,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-3539 Filed 2-10-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

[Dkt. 9081]

**Levi Strauss & Co.; Prohibited Trade**  
**Practices and Affirmative Corrective**  
**Actions**

**AGENCY:** Federal Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Modifying order.

**SUMMARY:** This order reopens a 1978 consent order that settled allegations that the respondent had engaged in a number of anticompetitive practices, including fixing the resale prices at which retailers sold its products, and modifies the consent order by adding a provision to clarify that the order does not prohibit conduct by the respondent that is necessary to form and operate wholly-owned retail stores, or retail stores partially owned by the respondent in lawful joint ventures. The Commission found that the respondent had satisfactorily met its burden of showing that changed conditions of fact required the modification.

**DATES:** Consent order issued July 12, 1978. Modifying order issued December 20, 1994.<sup>1</sup>

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Daniel Ducore, FTC/S-2115, Washington, DC 20580. (202) 326-2526.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In the Matter of Levi Strauss & Co. The prohibited trade practices and/or corrective actions as set forth at 43 FR 35262, are changed, in part, as indicated in the summary.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interprets or applies sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 45)

**Donald S. Clark,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-3540 Filed 2-10-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

[Dkt. C-3551]

**Notations, Inc., et al.; Prohibited Trade**  
**Practices, and Affirmative Corrective**  
**Actions**

**AGENCY:** Federal Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Consent order.

<sup>1</sup> Copies of the Modifying Order are available from the Commission's Public Reference Branch, H-130, 6th Street and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580.

**SUMMARY:** In settlement of alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair acts and practices and unfair methods of competition, this consent order prohibits, among other things, a Pennsylvania company and its president from misbranding any textile product by mentioning or implying that the product contains a fiber without using the generic fiber name required by the Textile Fiber Products Identification Act and the Federal Trade Commission rules, or by mentioning or implying that it contains a fiber when it, in fact, does not. The respondents also are required to file with the Commission a continuing guaranty applicable to all textile products they handle in the future.

**DATES:** Complaint and Order issued January 18, 1995.<sup>1</sup>

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Katharine Alphin, Atlanta Regional Office, 1718 Peachtree Street NW., Room 1000, Atlanta, GA. 30367. (404) 347-4837.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On Monday, October 31, 1994, there was published in the **Federal Register**, 59 FR 54462, a proposed consent agreement with analysis in the Matter of Notations, Inc., et al., for the purpose of soliciting public comment. Interested parties were given sixty (60) days in which to submit comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed form of the order.

No comments having been received, the Commission has ordered the issuance of the complaint in the form contemplated by the agreement, made its jurisdictional findings and entered an order to cease and desist, as set forth in the proposed consent agreement, in disposition of this proceeding.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; 72 Stat. 1717; 15 U.S.C. 45, 70)

**Donald S. Clark,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-3541 Filed 2-10-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

[File No. 942 3029]

### **Orchid Technology; Proposed Consent Agreement With Analysis To Aid Public Comment**

**AGENCY:** Federal Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed consent agreement.

**SUMMARY:** In settlement of alleged violations of federal law prohibiting

unfair acts and practices and unfair methods of competition, this consent agreement, accepted subject to final Commission approval, would prohibit, among other things, a California-based company from falsely representing that any of its computer peripheral products had been rated, reviewed or endorsed by any person or publication, and from misrepresenting the results of any test, study or evaluation in connection with marketing its computer peripheral equipment. The consent agreement also would require the respondent to possess competent and reliable evidence to substantiate performance claims.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before April 14, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should be directed to: FTC/Office of the Secretary, Room 159, 6th Street and Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20580.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Matthew Gold or Jeffrey Klurfeld, San Francisco Regional Office, Federal Trade Commission, 901 Market St., Suite 570, San Francisco, CA 94103. (415) 744-7920.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to Section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 38 Stat. 721, 15 U.S.C. 46 and Section 2.34 of the Commission's Rules of Practice (16 CFR 2.34), notice is hereby given that the following consent agreement containing a consent order to cease and desist, having been filed with and accepted, subject to final approval, by the Commission, has been placed on the public record for a period of sixty (60) days. Public comment is invited. Such comment or views will be considered by the Commission and will be available for inspection and copying at its principal office in accordance with Section 4.9(b)(6)(ii) of the Commission's Rules of Practice (16 CFR 4.9(b)(6)(ii)).

#### **Agreement Containing Consent Order To Cease and Desist**

The Federal Trade Commission having initiated an investigation of certain acts and practices of Orchid Technology, a corporation, ("proposed respondent"), and it now appearing that the proposed respondent is willing to enter into an agreement containing an order to cease and desist from the use of the acts and practices being investigated,

*It is hereby agreed* by and between Orchid Technology, a corporation, by its duly authorized officer, and its attorney, and counsel for the Federal Trade Commission that:

1. Proposed respondent Orchid Technology is a corporation organized, existing and doing business under and by virtue of the laws of the State of

California, with its office and principal place of business located at 45365 Northport Loop West, Fremont, California 94538.

2. Proposed respondent admits all the jurisdictional facts set forth in the draft of complaint.

3. Proposed respondent waives:

a. Any further procedural steps;  
b. The requirement that the Commission's decision contain a statement of findings of fact and conclusions of law; and  
c. All rights to seek judicial review or otherwise to challenge or contest the validity of the order entered pursuant to this agreement.

4. This agreement shall not become part of the public record of the proceeding unless and until it is accepted by the Commission. If this agreement is accepted by the Commission, it, together with the draft of complaint contemplated thereby, will be placed on the public record for a period of sixty (60) days and information in respect thereto publicly released. The Commission thereafter may either withdraw its acceptance of this agreement and so notify the proposed respondent, in which event it will take such action as it may consider appropriate, or issue and serve its complaint (in such form as the circumstances may require) and decision, in disposition of the proceeding.

5. This agreement is for settlement purposes only and does not constitute an admission by proposed respondent of facts, other than jurisdictional facts, or of violations of law as alleged in the draft of complaint.

6. This agreement contemplates that, if it is accepted by the Commission, and if such acceptance is not subsequently withdrawn by the Commission pursuant to the provisions of Section 2.34 of the Commission's Rules, the Commission may, without further notice to proposed respondent, (a) issue its complaint corresponding in form and substance with the draft of complaint and its decision containing the following order to cease and desist in disposition of the proceeding and (b) make information public in respect thereto. When so entered, the order to cease and desist shall have the same force and effect and may be altered, modified or set aside in the same manner and within the same time provided by statute for other orders. The order shall become final upon service. Delivery by the U.S. Postal Service of the complaint and decision containing the agreed-to order to proposed respondent's address as stated in this agreement shall constitute service. The proposed respondent

<sup>1</sup> Copies of the Complaint and the Decision and Order are available from the Commission's Public Reference Branch, H-130, 6th Street & Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20580.