

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**Office of the Secretary****Joint Advisory Committee on Nuclear Weapons Surety; Meeting**

ACTION: Notice of Advisory Committee Meeting.

SUMMARY: The Joint Advisory Committee (JAC) on Nuclear Weapons Surety will meet in closed session on March 16-17, 1995, at the Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC), San Diego, California.

The Joint Advisory Committee is charged with advising the Secretary of Defense, Secretary of Energy, and the Joint Nuclear Weapons Council on nuclear weapons systems surety matters. At this meeting, the Joint Advisory Committee will receive classified briefings on the capability of the DoD to maintain nuclear readiness, the status of the Stockpile Stewardship program and conduct an independent review of the role of the Nevada Test Site (NTS) in supporting Defense programs.

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, as amended, Title 5, U.S.C. App. II, (1988)), this meeting concerns matters, sensitive to the interests of national security, listed in 5 U.S.C. section 552b (c)(1) and accordingly this meeting will be closed to the public.

Dated: February 6, 1995.

Patricia L. Toppings,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 95-3312 Filed 2-9-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

Department of the Army**Notice of Availability of the Final Environment Impact Statement for Disposal and Reuse of Fort Benjamin Harrison, Indiana**

AGENCY: Department of the Army, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the President's Council on Environmental Quality, the Army has prepared a Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) for disposal of lands that will become surplus at Fort Benjamin Harrison, Indiana. The Primary Army action analyzed in the FEIS is the disposal of 2,357 acres of surplus property at Fort Benjamin Harrison (FBH). In addition, the FEIS analyzes impacts associated with potential reuse activities as a secondary

action to be accomplished by other (non-Army) entities.

The Army disposal action is evaluated in the context of three alternatives: (1) the No Action Alternative (NAA) which analyzes the effects of maintaining the installation in caretaker status after closure; (2) the Encumbered Disposal (ED) Alternative which analyzes the effects of the Army disposing property with certain reuse constraints (encumbrances) on future owners as a condition of disposal and reuse; and (3) the Unencumbered Disposal (UD) Alternative which analyzes the effects of removing existing constraints to allow property disposal with less or no Army-imposed restrictions to future use. The EIS identifies the Encumbered Disposal Alternative as the preferred Army action. No significant adverse impacts are anticipated as a result of this Army action.

The Army does not have a preference regarding the reuse of FBH excess lands, nor does it have the ability to maintain direct control of the ultimate use of any property disposed. Therefore, surplus property reuse is analyzed as a secondary, non-Army action resulting from property disposal. The EIS evaluates three reuse alternatives that represent a range of reuse actions that could occur at FBH. The EIS identifies the type and relative magnitude of impacts that are expected to occur under each of the intensity-based reuse alternatives. In addition, the Fort Harrison Transition Task Force (FHTTF) submitted a Preliminary Community Concept Plan (PCCP) for reuse to the Army as part of their comments on the Draft EIS. A copy of the PCCP Executive Summary has been included as Appendix F of the FEIS; and an analysis of the impacts associated with the PCCP has been included as Appendix G. The FHTTF final reuse plan is not expected to change significantly from the PCCP.

DATES: The FEIS on the disposal and reuse of Fort Benjamin Harrison was distributed to interested agencies and the public prior to or simultaneously with filing of this Notice of Availability for the FEIS with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Following a 30 day post-filing waiting period, the Department of the Army will prepare a Record of Decision which will become part of the environmental documentation presented for the final decision.

ADDRESSES: Questions regarding the FEIS, or a request for copies of the document may be directed to Mr. William Ray Haynes, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Louisville District, P.O.

Box 59, Louisville, Kentucky 40201-0059, or call (502) 582-6475.

Dated: January 26, 1995.

Lewis D. Walker,

Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (Environment, Safety and Occupational Health) OASA (IL&E).

[FR Doc. 95-2940 Filed 2-9-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-08-M

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**Recognition of an Accrediting Agency**

AGENCY: Department of Education.

ACTION: Request for Comments on an Agency applying to the Secretary for a Change in the Agency's Requested Scope of Recognition. The Agency has also undergone a name change.

DATES: Commentors should submit their written comments by March 27, 1995 to the address below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carl S. Person, Chief, Accrediting Agency Evaluation Branch, U.S. Department of Education, 600 Independence Avenue SW., Room 3036 ROB-3, Washington, DC 20202-5171, telephone: (202) 708-7417.

SUBMISSION OF THIRD-PARTY COMMENTS: The Secretary of Education recognizes, as reliable authorities as to the quality of education offered by institutions or programs within their scope, accrediting agencies that meet certain criteria for recognition. The purpose of this notice is to invite interested third parties to present written comments on the agency listed in this notice which has applied for continued recognition.

The National Advisory Committee on Institutional Quality and Integrity (the "Advisory Committee") advises the Secretary of Education on the recognition of accrediting agencies and State approval agencies. The Advisory Committee is scheduled to meet May 24-26, 1995 in Washington, DC. All written comments received regarding the agency listed in this Notice will be considered by both the Advisory Committee and the Secretary.

The following agency will be reviewed during the May 1995 meeting of the Advisory Committee:

Nationally Recognized Accrediting Agencies and Associations

Request to Expand the Agency's Current Scope of Recognition

1. Commission on Occupational Education Institutions of the Council on Occupational Education [formerly the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools, Commission on Occupational