

Advisory Committee hereby gives notice that it will meet on February 21, 1995, in Room 1C30 at the Commission's main offices, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC, beginning at 9 a.m. The meeting will be open to the public.

The Committee's responsibilities include assisting the Commission in identifying investor problems and being more responsible to their needs. The Committee will explore fundamental issues of concern to investors, including matters currently under consideration by the SEC and topics of emerging concern to investors and the financial services industry.

The purpose of this meeting will be to consider disclosure reform proposals; municipal securities; litigation reform; broker-dealer sales practices; and investor educational projects to be undertaken by the Office of Consumer Affairs.

Dated: February 3, 1995.

Jonathan G. Katz,

Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 95-3243 Filed 2-6-95; 1:13 pm]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

[Release No. 34-35315; File No. SR-CBOE-95-11]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change by Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc. Relating to Listing Standards for Options on Securities Issued in Certain Corporate Restructuring Transactions

February 1, 1995.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"), 15 U.S.C. § 78s(b)(1), notice is hereby given that on January 26, 1995, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc. ("CBOE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission" or "SEC") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to incorporate in its rules listing standards applicable to options on securities issued in certain corporate restructuring transactions. The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Office of the Secretary, CBOE, and at the Commission.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The self-regulatory organization has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend its rules to permit the earlier listing of options on securities issued by companies in connection with certain corporate restructuring transactions ("New Securities"). Currently, certain of the Exchange's rules preclude the listing of options on any security until that security has been actively traded at or above a specific price level for a certain period of time. For example, under Exchange Rule 5.3, Interpretation and Policy .01(b)(1), trading volume in an underlying security must be at least 2,400,000 shares during the preceding twelve months (the "Volume Test"). Further, under Exchange Rule 5.3, Interpretation and Policy .01(b)(2), the market price for an underlying security must be at least \$7.50 for the majority of business days during the three calendar month period preceding the date the security is selected as an underlying security (the "Price Test").

The proposed rule change would facilitate the earlier listing of options on New Securities by permitting the Exchange to determine whether a New Security satisfies the Volume Test and Price Test by reference to the trading volume and market price history of an outstanding equity security (the "Original Security") previously issued by the issuer of the New Security (or an affiliate thereof). Specifically, if (a) the aggregate market value, assets or revenue attributable to a New Security is at least a stated percentage of the same measure attributable to the Original Security and if a stated minimum value of assets or revenues represented by the New Security, as applicable, is satisfied or (b) the aggregate market value of the New

Security is not less than \$500 million,¹ then the Exchange would be permitted to determine whether a New Security satisfies the Volume Test and Price Test by reference to the trading volume and market price history of the Original Security. Reference may be made to the trading volume and market price history of the Original Security only for trading days occurring prior to the ex-date for the transaction in which the New Security is issued² and prior to any trading day for which these tests are determined to be satisfied by reference to the trading volume and market price history of the New Security. If reference is made to either the trading volume or market price history of the Original Security for this purpose for any period of time, then reference must be made to both such criteria in respect of the Original Security for that period.

In addition, if the New Security is to be listed on an exchange or in an automatic quotation system that has an initial listing requirement equivalent to

¹ The proposed rule change would apply to a New Security if at least one of the following conditions is met:

(1) Any one or more of (A) the aggregate market value of the New Security, (B) the aggregate book value of the assets attributed to the business represented by the New Security, or (C) the revenues attributed to the business represented by the New Security are at least 25% of the same measure determined with respect to the Original Security or the business represented by the Original Security, as applicable, calculated in a comparable manner on a basis that reflects the inclusion of the business represented by the New Security, provided that in the case of the qualification of a New Security under clause (B), the aggregate book value of the assets attributed to the business represented by the New Security is not less than \$50 million, and in the case of the qualification of a New Security under clause (C), the revenues attributed to the business represented by the New Security are not less than \$50 million;

(2) Any one or more of (A) the aggregate market value of the New Security, (B) the aggregate book value of the assets attributed to the business represented by the New Security, or (C) the revenues attributed to the business represented by the New Security are at least 33 1/3% of the same measure determined with respect to the Original Security or the business represented by the Original Security, as applicable, calculated in a comparable manner on a basis that reflects the exclusion of the business represented by the New Security, provided that in the case of the qualification of a New Security under clause (B), the aggregate book value of the assets attributed to the business represented by the New Security is not less than \$50 million, and in the case of the qualification of a New Security under clause (C), the revenues attributed to the business represented by the New Security are not less than \$50 million; or

(3) The aggregate market value represented by the New Security is at least five hundred million dollars (\$500,000,000).

² Under the proposed rule change, options contracts may not initially be listed for trading in respect of a New Security until such time as shares of the New Security are issued and outstanding and are the subject of trading that is not on a "when issued" basis or in any other way contingent on the issuance or distribution of the shares.

the requirement of paragraph (a)(2) of Interpretation and Policy .01 under Exchange Rule 5.3 (number of shareholders must be at least 2,000), that requirement would be deemed to be satisfied. Finally, if at least 40 million shares of a New Security will be outstanding in a restructuring, the Exchange may assume that the New Security will satisfy the listing criteria of both paragraphs (a)(1) (sufficient public float) and (a)(2) of Interpretation and Policy .01 under Exchange Rule 5.3. Before relying on either of the assumptions described above, the Exchange must make a reasonable investigation as to the number of shareholders and public float of the New Security and must not have determined that the requirements of paragraphs (a)(1) and (a)(2) will, in fact, not be satisfied.

The proposed rule change also would revise one of the Exchange's guidelines relating to the withdrawal of approval of underlying securities. Currently, under Exchange Rule 5.4, Interpretation and Policy .01(c) and .01(d), an underlying security will not be deemed to satisfy the Exchange's listing criteria if the trading volume of the underlying security in all markets was less than 1,800,000 shares in the preceding twelve months (the "Maintenance Volume Test") or if the market price of the underlying security closed below \$5 on a majority of business days during the preceding six months (the "Market Price Test"). Because New Securities have limited trading history, they may be unable to satisfy the Maintenance Volume Test or the Market Price Test at the time options on such securities are first listed for trading on the Exchange. Accordingly, the proposed rule change would add a new Interpretation and Policy .01(g) to Exchange Rule 5.4 to provide that the Exchange may determine whether a New Security satisfies the Maintenance Volume and Market Price Test set forth in paragraphs (c) and (d) of that Interpretation, as well as the comparable tests set forth in Interpretation and Policy .04 of Exchange Rule 5.4, by reference to the trading volume and price history of the Original Security prior to commencement of trading in the New Security, including "when issued" trading.

2. Statutory Basis

The proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act, in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) in particular, by removing impediments to a free and open market in options covering

securities issued by companies engaged in corporate restructuring transactions.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The CBOE does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 35 days of the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such other period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

(A) By order approve the proposed rule change, or

(B) Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. § 552, will be available for inspection and copying at the Commission's Public Reference Section, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the CBOE. All submissions should refer to File No. SR-CBOE-95-11 and should be submitted by March 1, 1995.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

Margaret H. McFarland,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-3109 Filed 2-7-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

[Release No. 34-35324; International Series Release No. 781 File No. SR-CBOE-95-12]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change by the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc. Relating to Currency Warrants Based on the Value of the U.S. Dollar in Relation to the Mexican Peso

February 2, 1995.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on January 27, 1995, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc. ("CBOE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The CBOE proposes to list and trade warrants based upon the value of the U.S. dollar in relation to the Mexican peso ("Mexican Peso Warrants"). The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Office of the Secretary, CBOE, and at the Commission.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of the basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The CBOE has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections (A), (B), and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1) (1988).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4 (1991).