

from multiple monitoring stations) annual radiation exposure as follows:

Year (FY)	Average readings (mrem)
1994	0.4
1993	0.5
1992	0.2
1991	0.1
1990	0.1
1989	0.2
1988	0.2
1987	1.2
1986	1.8
1985	2.2

Annual airborne effluent releases from the facility are given in the next table. FY 94 is presented in two half years periods because amendments to 10 CFR Part 20 became effective on January 1, 1994, which changed the regulatory limits for release concentrations to the environment for certain radionuclides. Total curies released during FY 94 is comparable to past years. The percent of Regulatory Limit column represents the percent of the regulatory limit for concentration of radionuclides in air after taking into account dilution from the release point.

Year (FY)	Stack release (curies)	% of regulatory limit
1/1/94 to 6/30/94	398	21.7
7/1/93 to 12/31/93	275	4.1
1993	923	6.0
1992	728	4.9
1991	684	4.4
1990	542	3.5
1989	1529	9.8
1988	2627	17
1987	4223	30
1986	3797	26
1985	4076	26

Annual liquid effluent releases are as follows:

Year (FY)	Total activity (curies)
1994	0.025
1993	0.007
1992	0.036
1991	0.121
1990	0.080
1989	0.110
1988	0.072
1987	0.098
1986	0.288
1985	0.099

Low level solid waste shipped from the facility is given in cubic feet and total activity in curies. Increased shipments in FY 1994 and FY 1993 represent an effort by the licensee to

remove solid waste from the facility before waste disposal site closures prevented future shipments of low level solid waste.

Year (FY)	Cubic feet	Total activity (curies)
1994	457	0.925
1993	210	0.218
1992	127	0.011
1991	116	0.125
1990	192	0.035
1989	135	0.053
1988	60	0.003
1987	112	0.082
1986	75	0.097
1985	120	0.067

These releases are well within regulatory limits and will not have a significant impact on the environment. Releases for the proposed license extension are estimated to continue at levels well within regulatory limits.

Alternative Use of Resources

One alternative to the proposed amendment request is to deny the request. If the request is denied, the MITR would be shut down or an application for license renewal would be developed and submitted before expiration of the current license on May 7, 1996. Shutting the reactor down would result in the loss of an educational tool for the training of students and the conduct of research in many areas including medical therapy. If the request is denied and the licensee proposes to renew the license, resources would have to be expended on the part of the licensee and the Commission sooner than if the request for license extension is granted. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

The NRC staff consulted no other agencies or persons in reviewing the request from the licensee.

Finding of No Significant Impact

The Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action based upon the foregoing environmental assessment. The Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment for the reasons set out above.

For detailed information with respect to this proposed action, see the application for amendment dated March 31, 1994, as supplemented, the Safety Evaluation prepared by the staff, the Negative Declaration Regarding Facility Operating License R-37 for the

Massachusetts Institute of Technology Research Reactor dated July 23, 1975, and the Environmental Impact Appraisal for the Massachusetts Institute of Technology Reactor dated July 23, 1975. These documents are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20555.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 2nd day of February 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Seymour H. Weiss,

Director, Non-Power Reactors and Decommissioning Project Directorate, Division of Project Support, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95-3087 Filed 2-7-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards; Subcommittee Meeting on Thermal Hydraulic Phenomena

The ACRS Subcommittee on Thermal Hydraulic Phenomena will hold a meeting on February 15 and 16, 1995, Room T-2B3, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

The meeting will be closed to public attendance to discuss Westinghouse proprietary information pursuant to (5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(4)), with the exception of a 1-2 hour session on Thursday, February 16, 1995, that will be open to the public.

The agenda for the subject meeting shall be as follows:

Wednesday, February 15, 1995—8:30 a.m. until the conclusion of business; and

Thursday, February 16, 1995—8:30 a.m. until the conclusion of business.

The Subcommittee will continue its review of the Westinghouse COBRA/TRAC thermal hydraulic code. The purpose of this meeting is to gather information, analyze relevant issues and facts, and to formulate proposed positions and actions, as appropriate, for deliberation by the full Committee.

Oral statements may be presented by members of the public with the concurrence of the Subcommittee Chairman; written statements will be accepted and made available to the Committee. Electronic recordings will be permitted only during those portions of the meeting that are open to the public, and questions may be asked only by members of the Subcommittee, its consultants, and staff. Persons desiring to make oral statements should notify the cognizant ACRS staff engineer named below five days prior to the meeting, if possible, so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

During the initial portion of the meeting, the Subcommittee, along with any of its consultants who may be present, may exchange preliminary views regarding matters to be considered during the balance of the meeting.

The Subcommittee will then hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the Westinghouse Electric Corporation, NRC staff, their consultants, and other interested persons regarding this review.

Further information regarding topics to be discussed, whether the meeting has been cancelled or rescheduled, the Chairman's ruling on requests for the opportunity to present oral statements and the time allotted therefor can be obtained by contacting the cognizant ACRS staff engineer, Mr. Paul A. Boehnert (telephone 301/415-8065) between 7:30 a.m. and 4:15 p.m. (EST). Persons planning to attend this meeting are urged to contact the above named individual one to two working days prior to the meeting to be advised of any potential changes in the proposed agenda, etc., that may have occurred.

Dated: February 1, 1995.

Sam Duraiswamy,

Chief, Nuclear Reactors Branch.

[FR Doc. 95-3090 Filed 2-7-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket Nos. 50-361 and 50-362]

Southern California Edison Co.; San Diego Gas and Electric Co.; the City of Riverside, California; the City of Anaheim, California; and San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units Nos. 2 and 3

Notice is hereby given that the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering approval under 10 CFR 50.80 of the proposed corporate restructuring of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E), one of the co-owners of San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3. By letter dated November 11, 1994, Richard A. Meserve of Covington & Burling, Counsel for SDG&E, informed the Commission that a corporate restructuring of SDG&E has been proposed that will result in the creation of a holding company under the temporary name SDO Parent Co., Inc. ("Parent Company") of which SDG&E would become a subsidiary. Under the restructuring, the holders of SDG&E common stock will become the holders of common stock of the Parent Company on a share-by-share basis. After the restructuring, SDG&E will continue to be a public utility providing the same

utility services as it did immediately prior to the reorganization. SDG&E will continue to be a licensee of the San Onofre units, and no transfer of the operating licenses or interests in the units will result from the restructuring. Control of the operating licenses for the San Onofre units, now held by SDG&E and its co-owners, will remain with SDG&E and the same owners and will not be affected by the restructuring.

Pursuant to 10 CFR part 80, the Commission may approve the transfer of control of a license, after notice to interested persons. Such action is contingent upon the Commission's determination that the holder of the license and the transfer of such control is otherwise consistent with applicable provisions of law, regulations, and orders of the Commission.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 31st day of January 1995.

Mel B. Fields,

Project Manager, Project Directorate IV-2, Division of Reactor Projects III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95-3088 Filed 2-7-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

Advisory Committee on Nuclear Waste; Notice of Meeting

The Advisory Committee on Nuclear Waste (ACNW) will hold its 71st meeting on February 21-22, 1995, in Room T-2B3, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland. The entire meeting will be open to public attendance, with the exception of portions that may be closed to discuss information the release of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(6) and to project information provided in confidence by a foreign source pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(4).

The agenda for the subject meeting shall be as follows:

Tuesday, February 21, 1995—8:30 A.M. until 6:00 P.M.

Wednesday, February 22, 1995—8:30 A.M. until 6:00 P.M.

During this Meeting the Committee plans to consider the following:

A. Proposed EPA Standards for Land Disposal of Low-Level Radioactive Waste—The Committee will hear presentations and hold discussions with representatives of the Environmental Protection Agency and NRC staff on the pre-proposal version of a standard for land disposal of low-level waste. The emphasis will be on commercial disposal.

B. Meet with the Director, NRC's Division of Waste Management, NMSS—

The Director will provide information to the Committee on current waste management issues: issues may include groundwater travel time associated with a mined geologic disposal system, a branch technical position on low-level radioactive waste and key technical uncertainties associated with high-level waste disposal.

C. Review Draft Regulatory Guide DG-3009—The Committee will review, "Topical Guidelines For The Licensing Support System." The Licensing Support System is an electronic information management system designed to provide for the entry of the access to potentially relevant licensing information.

D. Model Validation—The NRC staff will discuss perspectives from a joint coordination effort between the NRC and the Swedish Nuclear Power Inspectorate on validation strategies for computer models and conceptual models. Portions may be closed to protect information provided in confidence by a foreign source pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(4).

E. Preparation of ACNW Reports—The Committee may prepare reports on issues considered during this meeting and possible additional topics such as safety goals applicable to nuclear waste disposal and generic issues involving the direction of radioactive waste research.

F. Lessons Learned From the Attempt to Site a Low-Level Radioactive Waste Disposal Facility in Martinsville, Illinois—The Committee will hold a discussion with individuals formerly associated with the Illinois Siting Commission to gain their perspective on the Martinsville experience.

G. Meeting with NRC Commissioner de Planque—The Committee will meet with Commissioner de Planque to discuss items of interest. Topics might include: the use of multipurpose canisters in high-level radioactive waste disposal, the role of expert judgment in high-level waste disposal, and DOE's program approach for site suitability at Yucca Mountain.

H. Meeting with the Director, NRC's Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research—The Committee will take part in a discussion with the Director on his vision of safety research over the coming ten years with a focus on radioactive waste disposal.

I. Committee Activities/Future Agenda—The Committee will consider topics proposed for future consideration by the full Committee and working groups. The Committee will also discuss organizational and personnel matters related to ACNW members and ACNW staff. A portion of this session may be