

Section B listed above. The respondent's proposed solution statement should be complete and specific, but reasonably concise. See examples above in Form for a Solution Statement.

Section D. Type of Solution

To aid in consolidating the actions being proposed by each solution statement, select an action or actions for each solution from the listing below:

- D1. A new or revised regulation that requires changes in industry design practices
- D2. A new or revised regulation that requires changes in industry construction practices
- D3. A new or revised regulation that requires changes in industry operational and maintenance practices
- D4. A new or revised regulation that requires changes in industry reporting policies
- D5. A new or revised OPS enforcement policy concerning and existing regulation
- D6. A new or revised OPS audit or inspection practice
- D7. A research activity to improve OPS/industry knowledge concerning the causes and effects of pipeline accidents
- D8. A research activity to improve OPS/industry knowledge concerning the effects of proposed risk-reduction technologies
- D9. Other (Please specify)

Section E. Type of Facility

- E1 Hazardous liquid gathering pipelines.
- E2 Hazardous liquid transportation pipelines.
- E3 Two-phase pipelines.
- E4 Gas gathering pipelines.
- E5 Gas transmission pipelines.
- E6 Gas distribution pipelines.
- E7 Gas master meter systems.
- E8 LPG distribution systems.
- E9 LNG facilities.
- E10 All liquid pipelines.
- E11 All gas pipelines.
- E12 All pipelines.
- E13 Other (Specify)

Authority: 49 U.S.C. § 60101 et seq.; 49 CFR 1.53.

Issued in Washington, DC on February 2, 1995.

George W. Tenley, Jr.,

Associate Administrator for Pipeline Safety.

[FR Doc. 95-3154 Filed 2-7-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-60-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Fiscal Service

[Dept. Circ. 570, 1994—Rev., Supp. No. 8]

Surety Companies Acceptable on Federal Bonds; Millers' Mutual Insurance Association of Illinois

Millers' Mutual Insurance Association of Illinois, an Illinois corporation, has formally changed its name to Millers Mutual Insurance Association, effective September 19, 1994. The Company was last listed as an acceptable surety on Federal bonds at 59 FR 34166, July 1, 1994.

A Certificate of Authority as an acceptable surety on Federal bonds, dated today, is hereby issued under Sections 9304 to 9308 of Title 31 of the United States Code, to Millers Mutual Insurance Association, Alton, IL. This new Certificate replaces the Certificate of Authority issued to the Company under its former name. The underwriting limitation of \$3,637,000 established for the Company as of July 1, 1994, remains unchanged until June 30, 1995.

Certificates of Authority expire on June 30, each year, unless revoked prior to that date. The Certificates are subject to subsequent annual renewal as long as the Company remains qualified (31 CFR part 223). A list of qualified companies is published annually as of July 1, in the Department Circular 570, which outlines details as to underwriting limitations, areas in which licensed to transact surety business and other information. Federal bond-approving officers should annotate their reference copies of the Treasury Circular 570, 1994 Revision, at page 34166 to reflect this change.

Questions concerning this notice may be directed to the Department of the Treasury, Financial Management Service, Funds Management Division, Surety Bond Branch, 3700 East-West Highway, Room 6F04, Hyattsville, MD 20782, Telephone (202/FTS) 874-6507.

Dated: February 2, 1995.

Charles F. Schwan III,

*Director, Funds Management Division,
Financial Management Service.*

[FR Doc. 95-3102 Filed 2-7-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-35-M

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

Environmental Impact Statement; Water Supply Development for the Catoosa Utility District and Upper Cumberland Plateau Region of East Tennessee

AGENCY: Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA).

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: This notice is provided in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and Rural Utilities Service (RUS) and TVA's implementing procedures. TVA in conjunction with RUS has decided to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) on alternatives for water supply development for the Catoosa Utility District and the upper Cumberland Plateau region of East Tennessee. The EIS will consider the potential environmental impacts of alternatives to meet the water supply needs of the district and region over a 30-year planning horizon. Alternatives to be considered will range from the construction of a water supply dam and impoundment on Clear Creek or other water course to the installation of a water pipeline from Watts Bar, Center Hill, or Dale Hollow Reservoirs. The objective of the action is to satisfy the water supply needs in the project area. With this notice, RUS and TVA are inviting comments on the scope of the EIS analysis.

DATES: Comments on the scope of the EIS must be received on or before March 10, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be sent to Dale V. Wilhelm, NEPA Liaison, Tennessee Valley Authority, 400 West Summit Hill Drive, WT 8B, Knoxville, Tennessee 37902.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jack L. Davis, Manager, Water Resource Projects, Tennessee Valley Authority, 400 West Summit Hill Drive, Knoxville, Tennessee 37902, phone (615) 632-7183.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: During the dry time of the year, water supplies are stressed in the Catoosa Water Supply District and other areas of the upper Cumberland Plateau region in East Tennessee. Projected growth for the region indicates a worsening of the situation. Presently, the Catoosa Utility District purchases potable water from the City of Crossville in Crossville, Tennessee, which must first meet the needs of its own customers, especially during drought conditions. In 1992, the Catoosa Utility District requested aid from RUS to develop a reliable and

adequate water supply for its district by constructing a water supply dam and 100 acre impoundment on Clear Creek. Clear Creek is a tributary to the Obed River which, along with part of Clear Creek, was designated a wild and scenic river in 1976 by Public Law 94-486. Engineering and preliminary environmental studies were performed for two alternative dam sites on Clear Creek at approximately river miles 33 and 40.

Based on information gathered during the initial environmental review of the Catoosa proposal, RUS and TVA propose to evaluate alternatives to meet the increasing water supply needs of the upper Cumberland Plateau region rather than limiting the scope of the action to the Catoosa proposal. The agencies decided to prepare an EIS for this action in order to obtain public input on the proposal.

RUS has received funding to address the Catoosa Utility District water supply needs. RUS requested that TVA participate in the preparation of the EIS because of TVA's expertise and experience in regional water supply development and because TVA must approve obstructions or dams along the Tennessee River and its tributaries under Section 26a of the TVA Act.

The first step in the preparation of the EIS will be the determination of the

scope of the EIS. It is anticipated that the scope will include construction of a dam on Clear Creek as originally proposed by the Catoosa Utility District, alternative dam sites, and other potential water supply sources including in-stream flows and pipeline sources. Different design concepts will also be addressed. Potentially significant issues for discussion in the EIS include:

1. Effects on stream discharge, water quality, and availability;
2. Impacts on terrestrial and aquatic ecology, including threatened and endangered species;
3. Impacts on floodplains, wetlands, recreation, and existing land use; and
4. Socioeconomic and cultural effects associated with completion of the project and alternatives to it.

This list is not intended to be all inclusive, nor is it intended to be a predetermination of impacts. As scoping and preparation of the EIS proceeds, other issues may be revealed which will necessitate further analyses.

RUS and TVA invite interested persons and agencies to comment on the above suggested scope of the EIS. The agencies also request comments on environmental issues which should not be viewed as significant and which should not be discussed in detail in the EIS.

A public meeting will be held in the project area to receive oral and written comments. Details about this meeting will be announced later in area newspapers and direct mailings. Comments received at this meeting will be accorded the same weight as written comments. The United States Army Corps of Engineers will participate in this EIS process as a cooperating agency. The National Park Service may also become a cooperating agency.

After the scoping process and the initial environmental analysis are completed, RUS and TVA will issue a Draft EIS. A Notice of Availability of the Draft EIS will be published in the **Federal Register** and area newspapers, and public comments will again be solicited. Those persons who choose not to comment on the scope of the document at this time but desire a copy of the Draft EIS should send their names and addresses to Dale V. Wilhelm at the address listed above. RUS and TVA anticipate releasing a final EIS in about 20 months.

Dated: January 24, 1995.

Kathryn J. Jackson,

*Senior Vice President, Resource Group,
Tennessee Valley Authority.*

[FR Doc. 95-2883 Filed 2-7-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8120-01-M