

(The meeting will be closed to the public at this point.)

The remainder of the proposed meeting will be given to the consideration of specific applications (closed to the public for the reasons stated above).

Further information about this meeting can be obtained from Mr. David C. Fisher, Advisory Committee Management Officer, Washington, DC 20506, or call area code (202) 606-8322, TDD (202) 606-8282. Advance notice of any special needs or accommodations is appreciated.

**David C. Fisher,**

*Advisory Committee Management Officer.*

[FR Doc. 95-3007 Filed 2-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7536-01-M

---

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### DOE/NSF Nuclear Science Advisory Committee; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting.

*Name:* DOE/NSF Nuclear Science Advisory Committee.

*Date and Time:* February 24, 1995 from 8:30 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., February 25, 1995 from 8:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

*Place:* Arlington Renaissance Hotel, Gallery II, 950 North Stafford Street, Arlington, VA 22203.

*Type of Meeting:* Open.

*Contact Person:* John W. Lightbody, Program Director for Nuclear Physics, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA 22230, Telephone: (703) 306-1890.

*Minutes:* May be obtained from the contact person listed above.

*Purpose of Meeting:* To advise the National Science Foundation and the Department of Energy on scientific priorities within the field of basic nuclear science research.

#### Agenda

February 24, 1995

- Discussion of Budgets and Status of DOE and NSF Nuclear Physics Programs (D. Hendrie, DOE; J. Lightbody, NSF)

- Presentation of Preliminary Subcommittee Report regarding Additional Capital Equipment for the RHIC Facility (C. Gelbke)

- Reports of Town Meetings of the Division of Nuclear Physics of the American Physical Society (by conveners)

February 25, 1995

- Discussion of Town Meeting Reports
- Progress Reports of the Long Range Plan Working Groups (LRPWG)

- Discussion of process and plans for full LRPWG Meeting

- Public Comment (\*)

(\*) Persons wishing to speak should make arrangements through the Contact Person identified above.

Dated: February 2, 1995.

**M. Rebecca Winkler,**

*Committee Management Officer.*

[FR Doc. 95-2947 Filed 2-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

---

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-352 and 50-353]

### Philadelphia Electric Company; Limerick Generating Station, Units 1 and 2; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering two actions: (1) Issuance of an exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 50, Appendix J, and (2) an amendment to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-39 and NPF-85, issued to Philadelphia Electric Company (the licensee), for operation of the Limerick Generating Station (LGS), Units 1 and 2, located in Montgomery County, Pennsylvania.

#### Environmental Assessment

##### Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would grant (1) an exemption from 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, Sections II.H.4, III.C.2, and III.C.3, and (2) an amendment to change the Technical Specifications (TS) for the Limerick Generating Station (LGS), Units 1 and 2, in conjunction with the removal of the main steam isolation valve (MSIV) leakage control system (LCS) and the proposed use of an alternate leakage pathway.

10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, Sections II.H.4 and III.C.2 require leak rate testing of MSIVs at the calculated peak containment pressure related to the design basis accident, and Section III.C.3 requires that the measured MSIV leak rates be included in the combined local leak rate test results. The proposed deletion of the MSIV LCS and proposed use of an alternate leakage pathway affects the description of an existing exemption (NUREG-0991, and its Supplement 3), which allows the leak rate testing of the MSIVs at a reduced pressure and allows exclusion of the measured MSIV leakage from the combined local leak rate test results.

The proposed TS amendment would permit an increase in the allowable MSIV leakage rate from 11.5 standard cubic feet per hour (scfh) to 100 scfh for any one MSIV and a combined maximum pathway leakage rate of 200 scfh for all four main steam lines, and would delete TS requirements for the currently installed MSIV LSC, because

the proposed system removal makes the TS inapplicable.

The proposed action for the TS amendments is in accordance with the licensee's application for amendment dated January 14, 1994, as supplemented by letters dated August 1, October 25, December 13, and December 22, 1994; and the proposed action for the exemption is in accordance with the letter dated December 22, 1994.

#### The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed exemption is similar to the current exemption from 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, Sections II.H.4 and III.C.2. The exemption is needed since the design of the MSIVs is such that testing in the reverse direction tends to unseat the valve and would result in a meaningless test. The total observed MSIV leak rate resulting from a leakage test where two MSIVs on one steam line are tested utilizing a reduced pressure (22 psig) will continue to be assigned to the penetration. The proposed exemption is also similar to the current exemption from 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, Section III.C.3. The licensee proposes that the MSIV leakage rate will continue to be accounted for separately in the radiological site analysis in accordance with the existing exemption. However, the existing exemption from 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, Section III.C.3 will not be applicable when the MSIV LCS is replaced with an Alternate Treatment Path (ATP) (main steam lines and condenser).

The proposed action regarding the TS amendment will reduce the need for repairs of the MSIVs, resolve concerns associated with the current LCS performance capability at high MSIV leakage rates, and provide an effective method for dealing with a potential MSIV leakage during a postulated loss-of-coolant accident (LOCA). Many boiling water reactors (BWRs) have difficulty meeting their MSIV leakage rate limits. Extensive repair, rework, and retesting efforts have negative effects on the outage costs and schedules, as well as significant impact on the licensee's as low as is reasonably achievable (ALARA) radiological exposure programs. The alternatives proposed by the licensee to deal with MSIV leakage make use of components (main steam lines and condenser) that are expected to remain intact and serviceable following a design basis LOCA.

#### Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed actions related to the granting of an exemption

from 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, Section 11.H.4, III.C.2, and III.C.3, and for the TS changes proposed by the licensee, and concludes that the proposed actions will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure.

Regarding the exemption, the MSIV leakage, along with the containment leakage is used to calculate the maximum radiological consequences of a design basis accident. Section 15.6.5 of the LGS Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR) identifies that standard and conservative assumptions have been used to calculate the offsite and control room doses, including the doses due to MSIV leakage, which could potentially result from a postulated LOCA. Further, the control room and offsite doses resulting from a postulated LOCA have recently been recalculated using currently accepted assumptions and methods. These analyses have demonstrated that the total leakage rate of 200 scfh results in dose exposures for the control room and offsite that remain within the requirements of 10 CFR Part 100 for offsite doses and 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix A, for the control room doses.

Regarding the TS change, deletion of the MSIV LCS will reduce the overall occupational dose exposures and reduce the generation of low level radioactive waste due to the elimination of maintenance and surveillance activities associated with the system. The dose exposure associated with deleting the system will satisfy the ALARA requirements, and will be less than the dose which would result from maintenance and surveillance activities associated with the present system, if utilized for the remainder of the plant life. Thus, radiological releases will not differ significantly from those determined previously, and the proposed amendment does not otherwise affect facility radiological effluent or occupational exposures.

Therefore, there will not be a significant increase in the types and amounts of any effluent that may be released offsite and, as such, the proposed amendment does not alter any initial conditions assumed for the design basis accidents previously evaluated and the alternate system is capable of mitigating the design basis accidents.

Furthermore, the proposed exemption will not result in a significant increase to the LOCA doses previously evaluated against offsite and main control room

dose limits contained in 10 CFR Part 100 and 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix A, General Design Criteria 19.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed actions involve features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. They do not affect nonradiological plant effluents and have no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed actions.

#### *Alternatives to the Proposed Action*

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed actions, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed actions, the staff considered denial of the proposed actions. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

#### *Alternative Use of Resources*

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the LGS, Units 1 and 2.

#### *Agencies and Persons Consulted*

In accordance with its stated policy, the staff consulted with the Pennsylvania State official regarding the environmental impact of the proposed actions. The State official had no comments.

#### **Finding of No Significant Impact**

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed actions will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed actions.

For further details with respect to the proposed actions, see the licensee's letter dated January 14, 1994, as supplemented by letters dated August 1, October 25, December 13, and December 22, 1994 (two submittals), which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Pottstown Public Library, 500 High Street, Pottstown, PA 19464.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 1st day of February 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Frank Rinaldi,**

*Acting Director, Project Directorate I-2,  
Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of  
Nuclear Reactor Regulations.*

[FR Doc. 95-2956 Filed 2-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket Nos. 50-424 and 50-425]

#### **Georgia Power Company, et al.; Notice of Withdrawal of Application for Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses**

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has granted the request of Georgia Power Company, et al. (the licensee) to withdraw its January 22, 1993, application and August 6, 1993, supplement for proposed amendments to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-68 and NPF-81 for the Vogle Electric Generating Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, located in Burke County, Georgia.

The proposed amendments would have revised the Technical Specifications to clarify and add requirements regarding the automatic load sequencers.

The Commission had previously issued a Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments published in the **Federal Register** on March 31, 1993 (58 FR 16860). However, by letter dated December 29, 1994, the licensee withdrew the proposed change.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendments dated January 22, 1993, as supplemented August 6, 1993, and the licensee's letter dated December 29, 1994, which withdrew the application for license amendments. The above documents are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Burke County Library, 412 Fourth Street, Waynesboro, Georgia.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 24th day of January 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Lois L. Wheeler,**

*Project Manager, Project Directorate II-3,  
Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of  
Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 95-2957 Filed 2-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M