

pursuant to the Reverse Auction Cost Recovery Mechanism established in Northern's Global Settlement. Therefore Northern has filed Third Revised Sheet No. 68 to reflect these amounts in its Tariff and will commence billing such amounts effective March 1, 1995.

Northern states that copies of this filing were served upon the company's customers and interested State Commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with §§ 385.211 and 385.214 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before February 7, 1995. All protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate proceeding, but will not serve to make protestant a party to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for inspection.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-2761 Filed 2-3-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP95-138-000]

**Granite State Gas Transmission, Inc.; Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff**

January 31, 1995.

Take notice that on January 27, 1995, Granite State Gas Transmission, Inc. (Granite State), filed Second Revised Sheet No. 31 in its FERC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1, containing changes in rates for effectiveness on February 1, 1995.

According to Granite State, the direct bill charges on Second Revised Sheet No. 31 allocate to its former sales customers, Bay State Gas Company and Northern Utilities, Inc., accumulated deferred gas costs in its A/C 191 attributable to additional out-of-period billings and credits it received from former gas suppliers during the twelve month period ending November 30, 1994. Granite State further states that during the twelve month period ending November 30, 1994, it was billed an additional \$208,727.63 in demand charges by former suppliers and received credits for volumetric charges in the amount of \$174,140.96 attributable to gas purchases during the months of September and October, 1993, before it commenced restructured

operations on November 1, 1993. Granite State proposes to direct bill the net balance of \$47,727.42, which includes carrying charges, to its former sales customers as transitional costs pursuant to Order Nos. 636, et seq.

Granite State states that copies of its filing have been served upon its customers, Bay State Gas Company and Northern Utilities, Inc., and the regulatory commissions of the States of Maine, Massachusetts and New Hampshire.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Section 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedures (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before February 7, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-2762 Filed 2-3-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP95-139-000]

**Texas Gas Transmission Corporation; Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff**

January 31, 1995.

Take notice that on January 27, 1995, Texas Gas Transmission Corporation (Texas Gas), tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, the following revised tariff sheets, with an effective date of March 1, 1995:

Sixth Revised Seventh Revised Sheet No. 10  
Sixth Revised Fourth Revised Sheet No. 11  
Fourth Revised First Revised Sheet No. 11.1  
Fourth Revised Ninth Revised Sheet No. 12

Texas Gas states that the revised tariff sheets are being filed pursuant to Section 33.3 of the General Terms and Conditions of Texas Gas's FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, to recover ninety percent (90%) of its Gas Supply Realignment costs from its firm transportation customers and ten percent (10%) of its Gas Supply Realignment Costs from its IT customers. The GSR costs, including applicable interest, proposed to be

recovered by Texas Gas's fifth GSR recovery filing total \$3,900,070.

Texas Gas states that copies of the revised tariff sheets are being mailed to Texas Gas's affected jurisdictional customers, those appearing on the applicable service lists, and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with §§ 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before February 7, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-2763 Filed 2-3-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

[FRL-5150-2]

**Acid Rain Program: NO<sub>x</sub> Compliance Plans**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of Status of NO<sub>x</sub> Compliance Plans in Final Acid Rain Permits.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is providing notice of the status of NO<sub>x</sub> compliance plans in final Acid Rain permits that were issued prior to November 29, 1994, the date on which the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit issued a decision vacating the Acid Rain NO<sub>x</sub> regulations contained in part 76. Prior to November 29, 1994, EPA had issued draft NO<sub>x</sub> compliance plans for public comment and, after the close of the public comment periods, issued final permits or permit revisions that included approved NO<sub>x</sub> compliance plans. Under the plans, units were required to meet the standard NO<sub>x</sub> emission limitations or the requirements of NO<sub>x</sub> averaging plans, and some units were granted 15-month NO<sub>x</sub> compliance extensions. The