

Dated: January 31, 1995.

**Timothy M. Sullivan,**

*Advisory Committee Management Officer.*

[FR Doc. 95-2745 Filed 2-3-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510-01-M

[Notice 95-017]

**Solar System Exploration  
Subcommittee of the Space Science  
Advisory Committee**

**AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and  
Space Administration.

**ACTION:** Notice of Meeting.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Pub. L. 92-463, as amended, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration announces a forthcoming meeting of the NASA Advisory Council, Space Science Advisory Committee, Solar System Exploration Subcommittee.

**DATES:** Wednesday, March 1, 1995, 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.; and Thursday, March 2, 1995, 8:30 a.m. to 3:30 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** NASA Headquarters, 300 E Street, SW, Conference Room MIC 6A, West, (March 1) Conference Room MIC 5A, West, (March 2) Washington, DC 20546.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** William L. Piotrowski, Code SL, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC 205 46, (202) 358-0316.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The meeting will be open to the public up to the capacity of the room. The agenda for the meeting includes the following topics:

- Overview of Solar System Exploration Division Status
- Mission Reports
- Advanced Study Reports
- Technology Report
- Complex Report
- Strategic Planning Approach
- Discussion and Formulation of Recommendations/Action Items

It is imperative that the meeting be held on these dates to accommodate the scheduling priorities of the key participants. Visitors will be requested to sign a visitor's register.

Dated: January 31, 1995.

**Timothy M. Sullivan,**

*Advisory Committee Management Officer.*

[FR Doc. 95-2744 Filed 2-3-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510-01-M

**NUCLEAR REGULATORY  
COMMISSION**

[Docket No. 50-413]

**Duke Power Company, et al.; Notice of  
Consideration of Issuance of  
Amendment to Facility Operating  
License, Proposed No Significant  
Hazards Consideration Determination,  
and Opportunity for a Hearing**

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-35 issued to Duke Power Company (the licensee) for operation of the Catawba Nuclear Station, Unit 1, located in York County, South Carolina.

The proposed amendment would change Technical Specification (TS) 3.6.1.2 to defer the next scheduled containment integrated leak rate test (ILRT) at Catawba Unit 1 for one outage, from the end-of-cycle (EOC) 8 refueling outage (scheduled for February 1995) to EOC 9 (scheduled for June 1996). Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, part 50, Appendix J, requires that three ILRTs be performed at approximately equal intervals during each 10-year service period at a nuclear station. "Approximately equal intervals" is defined in Catawba's TS as 40 plus or minus 10 months. The proposed one-time change would allow Catawba to extend that interval to 60 plus or minus 10 months.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

The following analysis is presented, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.91, to demonstrate that the proposed change will not create a Significant Hazard Consideration.

1. The proposed change will not involve a significant increase in the probability or

consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

Containment leak rate testing is not an initiator of any accident; the proposed interval extension does not affect reactor operations or accident analysis, and has no radiological consequences. Therefore, this proposed change will not involve an increase in the probability or consequences of any previously evaluated accident.

2. The proposed change will not create the possibility of any new accident not previously evaluated.

The proposed change does not affect normal plant operations or configuration, nor does it affect leak rate test methods. The test history at Catawba (no ILRT [integrated leak rate test] failures) provides continued assurance of the leak tightness of the containment structure.

3. There is no significant reduction in a margin of safety.

It has been documented in draft NUREG-1493 that an increase in the ILRT interval from 1 test every 3 years to 1 test every 10 years would result in a population exposure risk in the vicinity of 5 representative plants from .02% to .14%. The proposed change included herein, an increase from 40 (plus or minus) 10 months to 60 (plus or minus) 10 months, represents a small fraction of that already very small increase in risk. Therefore, it may be concluded that no significant reduction in a margin of safety will occur.

Based on the above, no significant hazards consideration is created by the proposed change.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The